

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > Oct

## UFO UpDates Mailing List Oct 2010

### Oct 1:

[Re: Scientists Find Potentially Habitable Planet](#) - Nick Balaskas [58]  
[Ufology The Wiki-Way?](#) - Diana Cammack [116]  
[Skyline - The Movie](#) - Dave Haith [18]  
[Re: Ufology The Wiki-Way?](#) - Steven Kaeser [21]  
[Re: Blind Astronomer](#) - Ray Dickenson [21]  
[Re: Skyline - The Movie](#) - William Treurniet [10]  
[Re: Scientists Find Potentially Habitable Planet](#) - Kathy Kasten [22]

### Oct 2:

[C.E.: Ray Fowler On UFOs & Nukes](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [111]  
[Re: Blind Astronomer](#) - Kathy Kasten [16]  
[Defense Industry Update/Raytheon](#) - Kathy Kasten [18]  
[FOTOCAT Blog Update](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [19]  
[Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [107]  
[New Oz Footage Of Armstrong's Moon Walk](#) - Joachim Koch [37]  
[News Links - 01-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [112]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Dave Haith [47]  
[Re: Blind Astronomer](#) - Ray Dickenson [16]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [17]  
[ET Secrecy & Human Coping \[was: Shostak's Search\]](#) - Ray Dickenson [20]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Kathy Kasten [20]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Jason Gammon [63]

### Oct 3:

[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Stanton Friedman [31]  
[Robots Taught How To Decieve](#) - Jason Gammon [20]  
[Royal Society Meeting on Alien Life](#) - Nick Pope [29]  
[How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm Abductees](#) - Jason Gammon [33]  
[News Coverage Of The Press Conference](#) - Robert Hastings [25]  
[\\$1,000 Reward for Nuke Base Whistleblower](#) - Dave Haith [15]  
[UFO Disclosure - Two Music Videos](#) - Dave Haith [20]  
[Re: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm](#) - Thiago Ticchetti [12]

### Oct 4:

[How To Build A Warpdrive Using Metamaterials](#) - Jason Gammon [13]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Jason Gammon [18]  
[Re: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm](#) - Jason Gammon [19]  
[UFO & Nukes - New Audios](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [27]  
[Mission To Search For Alien Life In Outer](#) - Jason Gammon [13]  
[Re: Robots Taught How To Decieve](#) - Carol Maltby [10]  
[Media Coverage Of Leslie Kean's Book Continues](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [21]  
[Network Of UFO Webcams In San Luis Valley Colorado](#) - Eleanor White [28]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - J. Maynard Gelinias [77]  
[Arabic In The Sky](#) - Terry W. Colvin [16]  
[Cosmic Loneliness](#) - Diana Cammack [86]

## Oct 5:

[Re: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm](#) - Thiago Luiz Ticchetti [16]  
[Re: Robots Taught How To Decieve](#) - Jason Gammon [18]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - David Rudiak [112]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Eleanor White [12]  
[BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [88]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Stanton Friedman [91]  
[Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico](#) - Kathy Kasten [43]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Jerome Clark [19]  
[Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico](#) - Don Ledger [37]

## Oct 6:

[Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico](#) - Kathy Kasten [36]  
[New UFO Documentary On Line](#) - Dave Haith [41]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Gerald O'Connell [45]  
[Re: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study](#) - Bruce Maccabee [15]  
[UFOB/CIRVIS/USAF F-84Es](#) - Terry W. Colvin [73]  
[Blue Book - Flying Saucer/Canadian Baptist Mission/](#) - Terry W. Colvin [116]  
[News Links - 05-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [59]  
[Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico](#) - Carol Maltby [11]  
[Yahoo "Trending Now"](#) - Joe Merrell [12]  
[Re: Yahoo "Trending Now"](#) - David Rudiak [11]  
[Re: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [29]  
[Re: Yahoo "Trending Now"](#) - Terry Groff [10]  
[Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico](#) - Don Ledger" [17]  
[Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico](#) - Carol Maltby [12]  
[Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico](#) - Don Ledger [41]

## Oct 7:

[Re: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study](#) - Gerald O'Connell [19]  
[Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico](#) - Steven Kaeser [22]  
[Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico](#) - Kathy Kasten [29]  
[Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico](#) - Terry W. Colvin [25]  
[News Links - 06-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [41]  
[Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico](#) - Kathy Kasten [20]

## Oct 8:

[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [214]  
[Two Interesting Links](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [36]  
[YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [23]  
[Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico](#) - Carol Maltby [19]  
[News Links - 07-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [69]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Robert Powell [10]  
[Re: News Links - 07-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [15]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Jerome Clark [40]

## Oct 9:

[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [18]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - David Rudiak [83]  
[An Even More Secret Space Program?](#) - Jay Nelson [72]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Stanton Friedman [61]

## Oct 10:

[News Links - 09-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [61]

## Oct 11:

[Re: An Even More Secret Space Program?](#) - David Rudiak [49]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Kathy Kasten [19]  
[Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [21]  
[Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview](#) - Dave Haith [23]  
[News Links - 10-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [47]

## Oct 12:

[Texas UFO Network PodCast](#) - Steve Hudgeons [12]  
[Detection Of ET Life And Consequences](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [31]  
[Re: News Links - 10-10-10](#) - Kathy Kasten [27]  
[Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA](#) - Bruce Maccabee [42]

## Oct 13:

[Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Online Interview](#) - Ron Eastwood [111]  
[Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview](#) - Dave Haith [21]  
[Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA](#) - Diana Cammack [12]  
[UFO Highway Site Closes?](#) - Roy Hale [25]  
[Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA](#) - John Rimmer [20]  
[UFOs & E-Books](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [24]  
[Re: UFOs & E-Books](#) - Greg Boone [66]  
[Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Online Interview](#) - Dave Haith [56]  
[The UFO Mafia Radio Program](#) - Greg Boone [45]  
[Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview](#) - William Treurniet [18]

## Oct 14:

[Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [21]

[Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview](#) - Dave Haith [31]  
[Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness](#) - Dave Haith [44]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [111]  
[Re: Detection Of ET Life And Consequences](#) - Nick Pope [20]  
[Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA](#) - Terrence Brown [9]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - Stanton Friedman [17]

## Oct 13:

[News Links - 12-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [42]

## Oct 14:

[Re: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness](#) - Carol Maltby [36]  
[Re: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness](#) - Jason Gammon [25]  
[Manhattan UFOs](#) - Joe Merrell [13]  
[Re: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness](#) - Dave Haith [50]  
[Re: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness](#) - Martin Shough [9]

## Oct 15:

[Re: Manhattan UFOs](#) - Don Ledger [16]  
[Re: Manhattan UFOs](#) - Jeff Ritzmann [14]  
[Re: News Links - 07-10-10](#) - Rich Heiden [26]  
[Re: Leslie Kean On Wisconsin Public Radio](#) - Rich Heiden [17]  
[Kean & NASA's Kendall On UFOs](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [19]  
[Stunning CE-3 In La Pampa Argentina](#) - Scott Corrales [72]

## Oct 16:

[New York City UFO Mystery Solved?](#) - Jeff Ritzmann [9]  
[Re: Manhattan UFOs](#) - Jason Gammon [11]  
[UFOs & Nukes Follow-Up Press Release](#) - Robert Hastings [64]  
[Re: Manhattan UFOs](#) - Steve Sawyer [25]  
[Re: Shostak's Search Shift?](#) - David Rudiak [51]  
[News Links - 15-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [91]  
[Re: Manhattan UFOs](#) - Jim Deardorff [74]  
[Re: Manhattan UFOs](#) - Greg Boone [20]

## Oct 17:

[Monthly UFOB Summary, Oct 55 - 01 Of 03](#) - Terry W. Colvin [134]  
[Monthly UFOB Summary, Oct 55 - 02 Of 03](#) - Terry W. Colvin [132]  
[Re: Monthly UFOB Summary, Oct 55 - 032 Of 03](#) - Terry W. Colvin [155]  
[MJ-12 Redux \[was: Shostak's Search Shift?\]](#) - Kevin Randle [99]

## Oct 18:

[Another Interesting Sighting Video](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [25]  
[Re: Manhattan UFOs](#) - Steve Sawyer [128]  
[Re: Manhattan UFOs](#) - Jeff Ritzmann [16]  
[More Mysterious Lights Over El Paso](#) - Jeff Olson [12]

[Re: Manhattan UFOs](#) - William Treurniet [38]  
[Proof Of The UFO Coverup?](#) - Nick Balaskas [117]

## Oct 19:

[UFOs Over Manhattan Were Balloons](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [16]  
[Re: Manhattan UFOs](#) - Jim Deardorff [51]  
[Re: More Mysterious Lights Over El Paso](#) - Terrence Brown [15]  
[Balloons? I Think Not](#) - Greg Boone [16]  
[News Links - 18-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [60]  
[Royal Society Article](#) - Nick Pope [21]

## Oct 20:

[Re: Balloons? I Think Not](#) - Bruce maccabee [11]  
[Re: Balloons? I Think Not](#) - Franck Boitte [18]  
[Re: Balloons? I Think Not](#) - Kathy Kasten [16]  
[Weird Photo And Nightline UFO Video](#) - Bruce Maccabee [26]  
[Re: MJ-12 Redux](#) - Stanton Friedman [106]

## Oct 21:

[Re: Balloons? I Think Not](#) - Greg Boone [12]  
[Re: Balloons? I Think Not](#) - SMiles Lewis [29]  
[Re: Weird Photo And Nightline UFO Video](#) - Jim Deardorff [20]  
[Re: Balloons? I Think Not](#) - William Treurniet [44]  
[New UFO Video From Russia?](#) - Greg Boone [16]  
[News Links - 20-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [65]  
[Amaury Rivera Abduction?](#) - Thiago Luiz Ticchetti [17]

## Oct 22:

[UFO Videoed Over Edinburgh Scotland](#) - Thiago Luiz Ticchetti [14]  
[Re: New UFO Video From Russia?](#) - Thiago Luiz Ticchetti [11]  
[Re: Balloons? I Think Not](#) - Jeff Ritzmann [41]  
[Animal Mutilation In Ceara State Brazil](#) - Thiago Luiz Ticchetti [34]  
[Re: Balloons? I Think Not](#) - Kathy Kasten [20]  
[Re: More Mysterious Lights Over El Paso](#) - William Treurniet [21]  
[Re: Amaury Rivera Abduction?](#) - Stanton Friedman [10]  
[Invention Secrecy Still Going Strong](#) - Ray Dickenson [42]  
[Re: Weird Photo And Nightline UFO Video](#) - Bruce Maccabee [16]  
[Re: New UFO Video From Russia?](#) - Sergey Shpakovsky [16]  
[1955 Astronomer's ATIC UFO Report](#) - Terry W. Colvin [20]  
[Re: Balloons? I Think Not](#) - Don Ledger [14]

## Oct 23:

[Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash](#) - D. R. Denocla [34]  
[Re: Balloons? I Think Not](#) - Kathy Kasten [18]  
[Re: Balloons? I Think No](#) - William Treurniet [60]  
[Re: Balloons? I Think No](#) - Ron Jorgenson [13]  
[Re: MJ-12 Redux](#) - Kevin Randle [127]

[Wikileaks And UFOs](#) - Greg Boone [20]

## Oct 24:

[Robert Hastings Requests Your Assistance](#) - Robert Hastings [95]

[Re: MJ-12 Redux](#) - Kathy Kasten [20]

[Re: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash](#) - Kathy Kasten [16]

[Re: Balloons? I Think Not](#) - Kathy Kasten [13]

[Re: Balloons? I Think Not](#) - Don Ledger [18]

[Re: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash](#) - Michael Tarbell [15]

[Re: Wikileaks And UFOs](#) - Steven Kaeser [25]

[Re: Wikileaks And UFOs](#) - Gerald O'Connell [15]

[UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010](#) - William Treurniet [22]

[Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Greg Boone [55]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Jerome Clark [22]

## Oct 25:

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Jason Gammon [13]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Jeff Ritzmann [23]

[Re: Wikileaks And UFOs](#) - Gildas Bourdais [16]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Michael Tarbell [15]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [119]

[Re: MJ-12 Redux](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [108]

[Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010](#) - Bruce Maccabee [25]

[Re: MJ-12 Redux](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [20]

[Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010](#) - Nick Balaskas [53]

[Re: Balloons? I Think No](#) - Jeff Ritzmann [77]

[Re: Balloons? I Think Not](#) - William Treurniet [10]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Jerome Clark [19]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [16]

[Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010](#) - Martin Shough [27]

[Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010](#) - William Treurniet [35]

[Red Sprites And Blue Jets](#) - Don Ledger [46]

## Oct 26:

[Zecharia Sitchin Passes](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [20]

[Re: MJ-12 Redux](#) - Kathy Kasten [49]

[Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010](#) - Kathy Kasten [15]

[Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010](#) - Bruce Maccabee [49]

[Re: Red Sprites And Blue Jets](#) - Ray Dickenson [17]

[Why Scientists Think Life Exists On Other Planets](#) - Diana Cammack [86]

[Re: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash](#) - Gildas Bourdais [12]

## Oct 27:

[Missile Silos "Power Failure"?](#) - Ed Gehrman [28]

[Re: MJ-12 Redux](#) - Jerome Clark [19]

[Re: MJ-12 Redux](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [24]

[Post-Disclosure](#) - Bruce Maccabee [13]

[Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?](#) - Carol Maltby [26]

[Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?](#) - Steven Kaeser [31]

## Oct 28:

[Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [15]

[Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?](#) - Don Ledger [10]

[Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?](#) - Steve Sawyer [51]

[Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?](#) - Steve Sawyer [26]

[Re: Post-Disclosure](#) - Rick Nielsen [62]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Greg Boone [12]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Greg Boone [23]

[Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?](#) - Stephen Bassett [18]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Jerome Clark [15]

## Oct 29:

[Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?](#) - Ray Dickenson [12]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Jeff Ritzmann [50]

[USS Franklin D. Roosevelt Sighting Entries](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [40]

[Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?](#) - Robert Hastings [11]

[Raytheon Defense Division Update](#) - Kathy Kasten [69]

[The Project Portal Fraud Is Back!](#) - Thiago Luiz Ticchetti [93]

[Re: Praying Mantis Type Alien](#) - Larry W Bryant [35]

## Oct 25:

[News Links - 24-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [106]

## Oct 29:

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Jerome Clark [41]

[Re: Raytheon Defense Division Update](#) - Don Ledger [14]

## Oct 30:

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Don Ledger [33]

[Re: The Project Portal Fraud Is Back!](#) - Kathy Kasten [24]

[Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?](#) - Kathy Kasten [18]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Stephen Bassett [37]

[Re: Raytheon Defense Division Update](#) - Gerald O'Connell [11]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - John Rimmer [10]

## Oct 31:

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Ed Gehrman [15]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Greg Boone [36]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Greg Boone [47]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - William Treurniet [34]

[Re: The Project Portal Fraud Is Back!](#) - Thiago Ticchetti [15]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Gerald O'Connell [13]

## Oct 28:

[News Links - 27-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [93]

## Oct 31:

[News Links - 30-10-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [74]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Stephen Bassett [45]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Jerome Clark [82]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Greg Boone [38]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Don Ledger [65]

[Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam](#) - Jerome Clark [36]

*The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.*

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 1](#)

## Re: Scientists Find Potentially Habitable Planet

From: Nick Balaskas <[nikolaos.nul](mailto:nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 01 Oct 2010 02:02:47 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 01 Oct 2010 06:52:51 -0400  
Subject: Re: Scientists Find Potentially Habitable Planet

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 29 Sep 2010 19:53:36 EDT  
>Subject: Scientists Find Potentially Habitable Planet Near Earth

>Something is up with this story:

><http://tinyurl.com/23k7jyd>

>Best keep a weather eye out for it.

<snip>

Hi Greg, Everyone!

I first learned about the exciting discovery of an Earth-sized planet orbiting within the "habitable zone" of Gliese 581 from CBC's Internet news article '1st Habitable Distant Planet Found' that was posted on Wednesday, September 29 at 5:49 p.m. ET.

<http://tinyurl.com/244yp2r>

Although Gliese is unlike the two Sun-like stars of the Zeta Reticuli star system which we have good reasons to believe is the home of the ETs that Betty and Barney Hill encountered back in September 1961 on their way home from vacation in Canada, this star with its 6 known planets is twice as close to us than Zeta Reticuli!

Below is the comment I posted on the CBC website after I read their news story.

-----

1stToWalkOnMars wrote:  
Posted 2010/09/30 at 1:32 AM ET

At 20 light years away, although it is not possible for humans using 20th century science and technology to send an unmanned space probe to any of the 6 (or more?) planets orbiting Gliese 581 that can get there in our lifetime, "astronauts" from Earth may have already colonized these and many other habitable exoplanets in our corner of the Milky Way, thanks to Nature.

Since science claims that life on Earth goes back for many billions of years; that Earth was bombarded by asteroids over this period and that certain living things (extremophiles) can survive the extreme conditions of interstellar space, it is very likely that any life we discover on these Earth-like exoplanets originated from Earth - the only place in the universe we know where life exists. When life bearing rocks from Earth are blasted off its surface at "escape velocity" speeds (about 5 miles per second or higher), it would take Nature as little as a few tens of thousands of years to seed the planets orbiting Gliese 581 with life from Earth and not just once but many times over the past few billion years.

If we do discover life on this first habitable planet, we should not be too surprised to learn that these ETs and us have common

ancestors - and possibly even the same Creator!

-----

Research into advanced propulsion technologies and anti-gravity studies seem to have gone underground since about the middle of the 20th century with hardly anything exciting about this subject matter in the public domain. One recent notable exception that shows us humans a way to reach the stars in our lifetime is the book 'Field Propulsion by Control of Gravity: Theory and Experiments' written by Stoyan Sarg, a space scientist colleague at York University. It is the only book with actual experiments that replicate the flight characteristics of "flying saucers" but also explains how they fly through space from our investigations into alien science and technology.

Stoyan's book is available through amazon.com.

Nick Balaskas

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 1](#)

## Ufology The Wiki-Way?

From: Diana Cammack <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 09:59:36 +0200  
Archived: Fri, 01 Oct 2010 07:14:27 -0400  
Subject: Ufology The Wiki-Way?

This forwarded from a friend. Is there a lesson here for UFO research & rapid info-sharing?

Diana

-----

Source: The Economist

<http://www.economist.com/node/17091709>

Sep 23rd 2010

Schumpeter

The Wiki Way

Two cyber-gurus take a second look at how the internet is changing the world

After Kenya's disputed election in 2007 Ory Okolloh, a local lawyer and blogger, kept hearing accounts of atrocities. State media were not interested. Private newspapers lacked the money and manpower to investigate properly. So Ms Okolloh set up a website that allowed anyone with a mobile phone or an internet connection to report outbreaks of violence. She posted eyewitness accounts online and even created maps that showed where the killings and beatings were taking place.

Ms Okolloh has since founded an organisation called Ushahidi, which puts her original idea into practice in various parts of the world. It has helped Palestinians to map the violence in Gaza and Haitians to track the impact of the earthquake that devastated their nation in January. It even helped Washingtonians cope with the "snowmageddon" that brought their city to a halt this year. Ushahidi's success embodies the principles of wikinomics.

Don Tapscott and Anthony Williams coined the term "wikinomics" in their 2006 tome of that name. Their central insight was that collaboration is getting rapidly cheaper and easier. The web gives amateurs access to world-class communications tools and worldwide markets. It makes it easy for large groups of people who have never met to work together. And it super-charges innovation: crowds of people can develop new ideas faster than isolated geniuses and disseminate them even faster.

Mr Tapscott and Mr Williams have now written a follow-up to their bestseller. They solicited 150 suggestions online for a snappy title. The result, alas, was a bit dull: "Macrowikinomics: Rebooting Business and the World". But the book is well worth reading, for two reasons.

The first is that four years is an eternity in internet time. The internet has become much more powerful since "Wikinomics" was published. YouTube serves up 2 billion videos a day. Twitterers tweet 750 times a second. Internet traffic is growing

by 40% a year. The internet has morphed into a social medium. People post 2.5 billion photos on Facebook every month. More than half of American teens say they are "content creators". And it is not only people who log on to the internet these days. Appliances do, too. Nokia, for example, has produced a prototype of an "ecosensor" phone that can detect and report radiation and pollution.

The second reason is that the internet's effects are more widely felt every day. In "Wikinomics" the authors looked at its impact on particular businesses. In their new book they look at how it is shaking up some of the core institutions of modern society: the media, universities, government and so on. It is a Schumpeterian story of creative destruction.

Two of the most abject victims of wikinomics are the newspaper and music industries. Since 2000, 72 American newspapers have folded. Circulation has fallen by a quarter since 2007. By some measures the music industry is doing even worse: 95% of all music downloads are illegal and the industry that brought the world Elvis and the Beatles is reviled by the young. Why buy newspapers when you can get up-to-the-minute news on the web? Why buy the latest Eminem CD when you can watch him on YouTube for free? Or, as a teenager might put it: what's a CD?

Other industries are just beginning to be transformed by wikinomics. The car industry is a model of vertical integration; yet some entrepreneurs plot its disintegration. Local Motors produces bespoke cars for enthusiasts using a network of 4,500 designers (who compete to produce designs) and dozens of microfactories (which purchase parts on the open market and then assemble them). Universities are some of the most conservative institutions on the planet, but the Massachusetts Institute of Technology has now put all of its courses online. Such a threat to the old way of teaching has doubtless made professors everywhere spit sherry onto the common-room carpet. Yet more than 200 institutions have followed suit.

Wikinomics is even rejuvenating the fusty old state. The Estonian government approved a remarkable attempt to rid the country of unsightly junk: volunteers used GPS devices to locate over 10,000 illegal dumps and then unleashed an army of 50,000 people to clean them up. Other governments are beginning to listen to more entrepreneurial employees. Vivek Kundra, now Barack Obama's IT guru, designed various web-based public services for Washington, DC, when he worked for the mayor. Steve Ressler, another American, created a group of web-enthusiasts called Young Government Leaders and a website called GovLoop.

FixTheState.com

How can organisations profit from the power of the web rather than being gobbled up by it? Messrs Tapscott and Williams endorse the familiar wiki-mantras about openness and "co-creation". But they are less starry-eyed than some. They not only recognise the importance of profits and incentives. They also argue that monetary rewards can be used to improve the public and voluntary sectors. NetSquared, a non-profit group, introduced prizes for the best ideas about social entrepreneurship. Public-sector entrepreneurs such as Mr Kundra are excited by the idea of creating "app stores" for the public sector.

Messrs Tapscott and Williams sometimes get carried away with their enthusiasm for the web. Great innovators often need the courage to ignore the crowd. (Henry Ford was fond of saying that if he had listened to his customers he would have produced a better horse and buggy.) Great organisations need time to cook up world-changing ideas. Hierarchies can be just as valuable to the process of creative destruction as networks. But the authors are nevertheless right to argue that the web is the most radical force of our time. And they are surely also right to predict that it has only just begun to work its magic.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 1](#)

## Skyline - The Movie

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 09:46:14 +0100  
Archived: Fri, 01 Oct 2010 07:21:34 -0400  
Subject: Skyline - The Movie

Oh dear!

Just when Robert Hastings and company were trying to persuade us they might be friendly...

Here comes Skyline 2010...

Official HD trailer:

<http://tinyurl.com/378wqmv>

Longer version here:

<http://tinyurl.com/3xny72t>

Actually it looks like the same old space aliens, disaster stuff - pretty spectacular though!

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 1](#)

## Re: Ufology The Wiki-Way?

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 08:07:37 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 01 Oct 2010 08:20:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: Ufology The Wiki-Way?

>From: Diana Cammack <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 09:59:36 +0200  
>Subject: Ufology The Wiki-Way?

>This forwarded from a friend. Is there a lesson here for UFO  
>research & rapid info-sharing?

>Diana

>-----

>Source: The Economist

><http://www.economist.com/node/17091709>

>Sep 23rd 2010

>Schumpeter

>The Wiki Way

I guess it depends on the level of sharing and how much vetting  
the information is going to receive.

Wikipedia is a great tool, but you have to check the information  
for accuracy. I've seen information wars between individuals  
who keep updating UFO sightings with their own version of the  
tale. Neither really wins, and the person that can hold out the  
longest has their version represented as fact.

I've suggested a full blown 'Forum' to some people, but they've  
all rejected it as too much work.

Technology can help to get information to the masses, but the  
masses are now really controlling the genre on the Internet.

Most of the 'old-guard nuts-n-bolt' researchers have faded from  
view and are now in the background on most Lists just shaking  
their heads.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 1](#)

## Re: Blind Astronomer

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 13:18:40 +0100  
Archived: Fri, 01 Oct 2010 12:54:01 -0400  
Subject: Re: Blind Astronomer

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.virgin.net](mailto:r.dickenson.virgin.net)>  
>Date: Mon, 6 Sep 2010 18:09:28 +0100  
>Archived: Mon, 06 Sep 2010 13:18:51 -0400  
>Subject: Blind Astronomer [was: News Links - 02-09-10]

>>News Links - 02-09-10

>>Why Astronomers Don't Report UFOs  
>><http://tinyurl.com/38mbudv>

>Public domain reports show that professional astronomers made  
>many reports of Unidentified Flying Objects, both in Earth's  
>atmosphere and further afield.  
>See [www.perceptions.couk.com/fortrel883.html](http://www.perceptions.couk.com/fortrel883.html)  
<snip>

Hello List, re that 'Bad Astronomy' bloke: Phil Plait, and his  
bland 'assurances' (not to say - lies).

The Blue Book Archive shows that back in 1952 professional  
astronomers were still sighting UFOs but were coming under  
pressure not to report them:

<http://tinyurl.com/fjx9c>

and here's Hynek admitting 'Astronomers do see UFOs':

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kDr3sRU6kw>

Where he said a Stanford questionnaire of all members of  
American Astronomical Society found a majority wanted UFO  
investigation - and that many had sightings (unreported?).

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 1](#)

## Re: Skyline - The Movie

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 01 Oct 2010 08:40:29 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 01 Oct 2010 12:54:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: Skyline - The Movie

>From: Dave Haith<[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 09:46:14 +0100  
>Subject: Skyline - The Movie

>Oh dear!

>Just when Robert Hastings and company were trying to persuade us  
>they might be friendly...

>Here comes Skyline 2010...

>Official HD trailer:

><http://tinyurl.com/378wqmv>

>Longer version here:

><http://tinyurl.com/3xny72t>

>Actually it looks like the same old space aliens, disaster stuff  
>- pretty spectacular though!

I agree - the trailer reminds me of War of the Worlds and The Matrix. Will the baddies be cybernetic organisms?

wct

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 1](#)

## Re: Scientists Find Potentially Habitable Planet

From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 17:10:48 +0000  
Archived: Fri, 01 Oct 2010 13:30:17 -0400  
Subject: Re: Scientists Find Potentially Habitable Planet

>From: Nick Balaskas <[nikolaos.nul](mailto:nikolaos.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 01 Oct 2010 02:02:47 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Scientists Find Potentially Habitable Planet Near Earth

><snip>

>Hi Greg, Everyone!'

>1stToWalkOnMars wrote:  
>Posted 2010/09/30 at 1:32 AM ET

>At 20 light years away, although it is not possible for humans  
>using 20th century science and technology to send an unmanned  
>space probe to any of the 6 (or more?) planets orbiting Gliese  
>581 that can get there in our lifetime, "astronauts" from Earth  
>may have already colonized these and many other habitable  
>exoplanets in our corner of the Milky Way, thanks to Nature.

>Since science claims that life on Earth goes back for many  
>billions of years; that Earth was bombarded by asteroids over  
>this period and that certain living things (extremophiles) can  
>survive the extreme conditions of interstellar space, it is very  
>likely that any life we discover on these Earth-like exoplanets  
>originated from Earth - the only place in the universe we know  
>where life exists. When life bearing rocks from Earth are  
>blasted off its surface at "escape velocity" speeds (about 5  
>miles per second or higher), it would take Nature as little as a  
>few tens of thousands of years to seed the planets orbiting  
>Gliese 581 with life from Earth and not just once but many times  
>over the past few billion years.

>If we do discover life on this first habitable planet, we should  
>not be too surprised to learn that these ETs and us have common  
>ancestors - and possibly even the same Creator!

>-----

>Research into advanced propulsion technologies and anti-gravity  
>studies seem to have gone underground since about the middle of  
>the 20th century with hardly anything exciting about this  
>subject matter in the public domain. One recent notable  
>exception that shows us humans a way to reach the stars in our  
>lifetime is the book 'Field Propulsion by Control of Gravity:  
>Theory and Experiments' written by Stoyan Sarg, a space  
>scientist colleague at York University. It is the only book with  
>actual experiments that replicate the flight characteristics of  
>"flying saucers" but also explains how they fly through space  
>from our investigations into alien science and technology.

>Stoyan's book is available through amazon.com.

Nick:

When I heard the news, I went to my star charts to figure out  
when Omega Centaurus will be visible on my southern horizon. I  
will have to wait until March and April. Then, I will train my  
telescope in that area and dream what life is like on at least

one of those planets.

I already had an altered state visit. The planet, in my imagination, was inhabited by some very strange creatures. They were worm like, moved through the liquid surface in a corkscrew fashion and communicated telepathically. Nothing like the humanoids of The Event, but truly alien.

I suppose a TV show like The Event doesn't have the budget to create really alien looking entities with other world technology such as my imagination created.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 2](#)

## C.E.: Ray Fowler On UFOs & Nukes

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 07:21:18 -0400  
Archived: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 07:21:18 -0400  
Subject: C.E.: Ray Fowler On UFOs & Nukes

From: Francis Ridge <[nicap.nul](mailto:nicap.nul)>  
To: [currentencounters.nul](mailto:currentencounters.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 01 Oct 2010 11:13:11 -0500  
Subject: [Current Encounters] Hastings and Salas

-----

From: Ray Fowler <[rfowler400.nul](mailto:rfowler400.nul)>  
To: Francis Ridge <[nicap.nul](mailto:nicap.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 01 Oct 2010 10:36:23 -0400  
Subject: Hastings and Salas

Hi Fran,

I havn't heard from either of these guys, have you? What is your take on it so far? I was wondering what their reaction is to Carlson's ebook.

Richard Dolan is writing an article about the latest back and forths. I sent him the following and referred him to more info in my books. I am not sure what his conclusions are going to be. You can use the following if you have need. It was originally addressed to Richard but I have copied it to some of the negative blogs.

Best,

Ray

---

I worked for Sylvania Electric Systems (Then changed to GTE Government Systems) for 25 years. I worked on the Minuteman program for Twenty years. Sylvania was responsible for building, testing and shipping the Minuteman Ground Electric Systems equipment to Malmstrom and Grand Forks AFBs. In 1966 and 1967I was responsible for tracking the testing, deliveries, movements, etc. for this equipment. I was involved on a near daily communicating by phone and at Sylvania with all major contractors in-house and on-site. During this time, I began to hear about UFO sightings taking place on these SAC bases and of course enquired about them with these on-site contractors. I heard that a flight of missiles had shut down at Malmstrom during one of the incidents. I was curious to find out more so I had the in-house representative for Boeing (Gene Whittington) call the Assistant Base Manager at Malmstrom to ask him about the shut-down. I was with him when he phoned. He had a very short conversation, hung up the phone and glared at me saying that he was told that it was a "hot potato" and that he was not ever to ask about it again. He was not too happy with me for asking him to make the phone call. My phone calls about UFOs dried up. One of my confidants told me that his phone must have been monitored as he was told by his boss not to share any UFO information with me. During this time, I scratched down elements of information from these calls on note pads for my own information. Some got mislaid over time and some went with my files to Barry Greenwood who may still have what was left in my

Minuteman files.

I then left that particular job and became involved with Program Planning and Development and developed a new Cost Schedule Performance program for all contracts. However, because of my prior experience with Minuteman, I was made the in-house representative for the Minuteman Program. During this time I met two Launch Control Officers. One visited Sylvania as part of an orientation. I was introduced to him by our contract administrator named Irwin Saltzman. Irwin joked that I was interested in UFOs. The LCF officer surprised us both by stating that his LCF had been affected by a UFO. It turned out to be the August 25, 1966 incident that Hynek wrote about in the Saturday Evening Post, December 1966.

Still later, I became acquainted with another LCF officer that had joined Sylvania after his discharge. When the subject of UFOs came up one day during our conversation, he amazed me by stating that one time all of his flight of missiles went down. He nervously laughed when he continued that the personnel topside were sighting UFOs. I cannot remember his name and think that mostly likely he did not want his name to be used.

In 1973, the Christian Science Monitor interviewed me about some local UFO sightings. When the reporter, Stephen Webbe found out that I worked on Minuteman, he asked me questions about the missile. I casually mentioned that there was a UFO/Minuteman connection. This led to my telling him about what I had heard about UFOs affecting the capability of the missiles. Most of the interview was about local sightings so I got a big surprise when I returned to work shortly after. I found that SAC (Captain Rick Fuller) had called to complain about a headline story in the Christian Science Monitor about Minuteman being affected by UFOs. Then, one of the persons working for me happened to be in the Industrial Relations manager's office and heard him talking about me on the telephone. It turned out to be the UFO officer at the Pentagon - Colonel Colman.

I was chewed out by the Department Manager and the Vice President and General Manager was also upset. I was told that there were very unhappy with me if I had used my position at Sylvania to obtain this information. They said that if this were so that the Air Force threatened to send an official letter of displeasure to my file. I immediately thought of Doctor Hynek's article about the incident and said that UFOs affecting minuteman information was in the public domain. Hynek sent me some material and I brought it to the manager. No letter of displeasure was sent. If it had been sent, I could have lost my clearance which would have seriously affected by job.

In the meantime, Stephen Webbe was told by the Air force that the missiles had gone down due to a local power failure!!! I told Stephen that this was absurd and impossible. The missiles had back-up generators and acid (later Lithium built by Sylvania) batteries to keep the missiles operational. I don't think that the good colonel was happy when Stephen got back to him. But, the admission that a flight of missiles did go down was very interesting.

I hope that the above is helpful, I've glanced through other of my books and find bits and pieces of what I had heard from phone calls from on-site. If I had known that LCF officers were going to go public later on, I would have been more careful documenting such information.

-----

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 2](#)

## Re: Blind Astronomer

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 17:19:47 +0000  
Archived: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 07:24:22 -0400  
Subject: Re: Blind Astronomer

>Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 12:54:01 -0400  
>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: Blind Astronomer

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 13:18:40 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Blind Astronomer

>>>News Links - 02-09-10

>>>Why Astronomers Don't Report UFOs  
>>><http://tinyurl.com/38mbudv>

>>Public domain reports show that professional astronomers made  
>>many reports of Unidentified Flying Objects, both in Earth's  
>>atmosphere and further afield.  
>>See [www.perceptions.couk.com/fortrel883.html](http://www.perceptions.couk.com/fortrel883.html)

><snip>

>Hello List, re that 'Bad Astronomy' bloke: Phil Plait, and his  
>bland 'assurances' (not to say - lies).

>The Blue Book Archive shows that back in 1952 professional  
>astronomers were still sighting UFOs but were coming under  
>pressure not to report them:

><http://tinyurl.com/fjx9c>

>and here's Hynek admitting 'Astronomers do see UFOs':

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kDr3sRU6kw>

>Where he said a Stanford questionnaire of all members of  
>American Astronomical Society found a majority wanted UFO  
>investigation - and that many had sightings (unreported?).

Ray:

I am an amateur astronomer. This weekend I understand Steve Greer is holding sessions to make contact with ETs from the patio of a Rio Rico hotel.

Naturally, I will be watching the skies so I don't miss out on any stray UFOs attempting to make contact. Rio Rico is just down the road from me and I can easily see the skies over that area.

I will report any sightings I have to the List.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 2](#)

## Defense Industry Update/Raytheon

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 17:34:11 +0000  
Archived: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 07:26:21 -0400  
Subject: Defense Industry Update/Raytheon

Date: October 27, 2010

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Location: East Side Center

Green Valley, AZ  
Esperanza & Abrego

Lecture: Lt. General Thomas Keck retired military now a director  
at Raytheon.

Gen. Keck will be providing updates on Raytheon's new defense  
technology.

The public is invited but you have to be prepared to identify  
yourself.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 2](#)

## FOTOCAT Blog Update

From: **Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos** <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 19:28:43 +0000 (GMT)  
Archived: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 07:36:19 -0400  
Subject: FOTOCAT Blog Update

Dear List members:

The September 30, 2010 update of the FOTOCAT Project's blog has just been released. See:

<http://fotocat.blogspot.com/>

It includes a new Project publication, the .pdf paper: An Approach To UFO Photographs In France.

I hope you will find some information of interest in this edition.

Sincerely,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos  
Manager FOTOCAT Project  
Valencia, Spain

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 2](#)

## Shostak's Search Shift?

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 18:43:57 -0400  
Archived: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 07:45:17 -0400  
Subject: Shostak's Search Shift?

Source: PhysOrg.Com

<http://www.physorg.com/news205144539.html>

October 1, 2010

If we ever do receive a message from outer space, we'll want to know what kind of aliens sent it. SETI researcher Seth Shostak says we shouldn't expect them to be anything like us - in fact, they might not be biological at all, but instead, extraterrestrial machines.

ET Machines Sought By Astronomer  
By Shaun McCormack, AstroBio.net

People have always held a biased view of the world around them. It's an aspect of being human.

It took until the 17th century for us to reject Aristotle's vision of a universe where our Sun and the stars revolved around the Earth. Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence (SETI) Senior Astronomer Seth Shostak points out that up until a century ago, the scientific community believed a vast engineering society was responsible for building an irrigation system on the surface of Mars. Discovering the Martians could, in principle, be done by simply turning an Earth-based telescope in the direction of the Red Planet. Now it seems that our best chance for finding Martian life is to dig deep into the surface in search of subterranean microbes.

Our idea of extraterrestrial life has changed drastically in 100 years, but our search strategies have not kept up. In his upcoming paper "What ET will look like and why should we care?" for the November-December issue of Acta Astronautica, Shostak argues that SETI might be more successful if it shifts the search away from biology and focuses squarely on artificial intelligence. Shostak sees a clear distinction between life and intelligence: he says we should be searching for extraterrestrial machines.

"Continuing to hunt for our analogs - technically competent biological sentients - may be an enterprise with less than promising prospect, as it focuses on a highly transient prey," Shostak says.

Our own technological advances since World War II make a great case for his position. Medical advancements since the 1950s show human beings becoming more bionic as digital and mechanical breakthroughs have found their way into our bodies. The development of true Artificial intelligence (AI) is, by some estimates, just a few decades away. When considering Moore's Law=97which shows a pattern of accelerating returns in technological improvement=97Shostak is forced to believe humanity's main role in the universe might be the creation of its successor.

"The continued exponential growth in computer power implies that even consumer-grade computers will have the processing power of

a human brain by the year 2040," he says.

If and when we do create true AI, it would surpass us quickly. An AI would have the power to self-direct its own evolution.

"If we build a machine with the intellectual capability of one human, then within 5 years, its successor is more intelligent than all humanity combined," he says.

The window between a society's technological birth and its shift to artificial intelligence is amazingly small.

"Once any society invents the technology that could put them in touch with the cosmos, they are at most only a few hundred years away from changing their own paradigm of sentience to artificial intelligence," he says. Because artificial sentience would almost inevitably outlast and outperform its fleshy, needy predecessors, Shostak concludes that any aliens we detect will be machines.

ET machines would be infinitely more intelligent and durable than the biological intelligence that invented them. Intelligent machines would in a sense be immortal, or at least indefinitely repairable, and would not need to exist in the biologically hospitable "Goldilocks Zone" most SETI searches focus on. An AI could self-direct its own evolution. Every new instance of an AI would be created with the sum total of its predecessor's knowledge preloaded.

The machines would require two primary resources: energy to operate with and materials to maintain or advance their structure. Because of these requirements, Shostak thinks SETI ought to consider expanding its search to the energy- and matter-rich neighborhoods of hot stars, black holes and neutron stars.

Bok globules are another search target for sentient machines. These dense regions of dust and gas are notorious for producing multiple-star systems. At around negative 441 degrees Fahrenheit, they are about 160 degrees F colder than most of interstellar space.

This climate could be a major draw because thermodynamics implies that machinery will be more efficient in cool regions that can function as a large "heat sink". A Bok globule's super-cooled environment might represent the Goldilocks Zone for the machines, says Shostak. But because black holes and Bok globules are not hospitable to life as we know it, they are not on SETI's radar.

"Machines have different needs," he says. "They have no obvious limits to the length of their existence, and consequently could easily dominate the intelligence of the cosmos. In particular, since they can evolve on timescales far, far shorter than biological evolution, it could very well be that the first machines on the scene thoroughly dominate the intelligence in the galaxy. It's a "winner take all" scenario."

"While it's not easy trying to figure the best SETI strategy to uncover these super sentients, it seems worthwhile to spend at least some of our SETI efforts trying to establish their presence," he adds.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 2](#)

## New Oz Footage Of Armstrong's Moon Walk

From: Joachim Koch <[lists.nul](mailto:lists.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 09:15:08 +0200  
Archived: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 08:03:20 -0400  
Subject: New Oz Footage Of Armstrong's Moon Walk

Hi List,

I found this here:

-----

<http://tinyurl.com/28fah49>

New Australian footage of Neil Armstrong's moon walk

(AFP) -- 3 days ago

SYDNEY =97 Long-lost footage of Neil Armstrong descending the ladder of the Apollo 11 lunar module will be screened in public for the first time in Sydney next week, a prominent astronomer told AFP.

The footage runs for a few minutes and is considered to be some of the best footage of the historic 1969 moonwalk, but the film was lost in archives for many years and was badly damaged when found, said John Sarkissian.

It depicts the first few minutes of Armstrong's descent which was recorded in Australia as NASA was still scrambling for a signal, showing a far clearer image than was initially screened worldwide.

Telescopes in remote Australia played a key role in the Apollo 11 mission, including provision of the television signal, after Armstrong decided to attempt the moonwalk early, putting the United States just beyond the horizon.

<snip>

[More at site]

-----

And here are some interesting related links:

Why We Abandoned The Moon... Moon Tapes: Lost And Found

<http://www.viewzone.com/anomaluna.html>

Lunar Orbiter Tapes Found

<http://tinyurl.com/47v6ds>

Is this all known to you?

Joachim

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 2](#)

## News Links - 01-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 10:20:20 -0400  
Archived: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 10:20:20 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 01-10-10

News Links - 01-10-10

Doctor Swears He Saw UFO Over Cavendish Mall  
Montreal Gazette  
<http://tinyurl.com/3x3eb7r>

UFOs Over Montreal? Strange Sightings Reported  
CBC.ca  
<http://tinyurl.com/34g69wr>

Cote St. Luc Doctor Wonders If He Spotted A UFO  
CTV.ca  
<http://tinyurl.com/24c2uzt>

UFO Sighting Or Chopper Over Deisel Spill?  
CJAD - 800 AM - Montreal  
<http://tinyurl.com/23ws77z>

UFO Sighting Over Cavendish Mall 'Probably Explainable'  
Montreal Gazette  
<http://tinyurl.com/2eaq6y6>

UFO Over Barrow-In-Furness: Daytime Video Footage Captured By  
Dog Walker  
UFODigest  
<http://tinyurl.com/25hzb39>

New UFO Mystery  
Highland News  
<http://tinyurl.com/3xhzyn3>

UFO In Cumbria =96 Or Just A Blot On The Landscape?  
Metro  
<http://tinyurl.com/2g5lx6f>

Mainstream Media Reacts To Military Accounts Of UFOs Over  
American Bases  
Roger Marsh - Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bjbwxm>

Did UFOs Disarm Nuclear Weapons? And If So, Why?  
By Benjamin Radford - CSI/CSICOP  
LiveScience.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/25dyo7d>

UFO CNN - Nick Pope - Royal Society, UN, & Mazlan Othman - Video  
YouTube.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/22lyg6h>

Filer's Files #40 - 2010  
<http://tinyurl.com/26zna6x>

Beijing Review Interviews Chinese Researcher About UFOs  
<http://tinyurl.com/33ax5cw>

Defense, Intelligence Communities Face UFO Challenges

Transcendent TV & Media  
<http://tinyurl.com/23ayvwz>

UFOs Buzz The Private Sector  
Beijing Review  
<http://tinyurl.com/257emey>

UFOs Frequenting Over China - Chinese Academy Of Sciences Researcher  
Frontier India  
<http://tinyurl.com/2d2na78>

UFO Believers Say ET Could Come Calling On The Metro  
fox4kc.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/27af5n3>

Secret UFO Files: More Defence Force Disclosures + Video  
The Epoch Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/2vwkbo9>

The Truth Is Out There  
Boston Globe  
<http://tinyurl.com/2wtoy9w>

Ridge Report: UFO Spottings  
my.hsj.org  
<http://tinyurl.com/2e6zks9>

UFO Hotspots Announced  
The Blunt Blogger  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bnywza>

UFOs And The Bible: Close Encounters Of The Sacred Kind  
AOL News  
<http://tinyurl.com/23yvp3x>

Jupiter, Not UFO, Sheriff's Office Tells Callers  
Seattle Post Intelligencer  
<http://tinyurl.com/24maqan>

More Jupiter/Uranus UFO Disclosure  
BeliefNet.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/27hlulv>

=46rom Larry W. Bryant:

FOIA Request re UFO-Intrusion Readiness Plans at Malmstrom AFB  
<http://tinyurl.com/25netf9>

=46rom Scott Corrales' Inexplicata:

Silvia Perez Simondini: Chupacabras Attacks In Argentina  
<http://tinyurl.com/24vtokj>

Argentina: Victoria Receives A Visit from Space  
<http://tinyurl.com/368ases>

Argentina: Report Suggests UFO Flew Over Tandil  
<http://tinyurl.com/39hl672>

=46rom The 'Norm':

A Thing To Behold  
by Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/36crg3u>

We Can Be Heroes, Just For One Day  
by Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/2dabaul>

The Media, Um, Void, Contd.  
by Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/2e38vy6>

Overcoming The Image of Little Green Men: Astrosociology And  
SETI - .pdf  
<http://tinyurl.com/398uvsj>

Twelve Events That Will Change The World

<http://tinyurl.com/29gkvoh>

Media Distrust At Record High, but Why?

<http://tinyurl.com/372sy2m>

Government Accused Of Manipulating Science News

<http://tinyurl.com/2bymysx>

Airplane In Front Of The Moon - Astronomy Picture Of The Day

<http://tinyurl.com/39npers>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 2](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 14:08:01 +0100  
Archived: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 10:24:31 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 18:43:57 -0400  
>Subject: ET Machines Sought By Shostak

>Source: PhysOrg.Com

><http://www.physorg.com/news205144539.html>

>October 1, 2010

>If we ever do receive a message from outer space, we'll want to  
>know what kind of aliens sent it. SETI researcher Seth Shostak  
>says we shouldn't expect them to be anything like us - in fact,  
>they might not be biological at all, but instead,  
>extraterrestrial machines.

><snip>

Following that Washington Post ridiculing report on Hastings  
recent UFO/Nukes press conference, I noticed the below as one of  
the many comments below the story.

It has appeared following at least one other UFO discussion on  
the web and, although it may well be fictitious, I am doing my  
best to locate the author.

Anyway, in view of the Shostak views above, it is food for  
thought and speculation...

'eric70 - 9/27/2010 10:35:30 PM - wrote:

-----

I was invited to participate in a navy project that studied  
unidentified aerial phenomenon. I was never asked to sign a non  
disclosure document, so I feel justified in sharing what I know.

Some of the objects in and near earth's atmosphere are highly  
advanced mechanical objects with computer like brains that have  
enormous processing power. There are no 'aliens' inside them.  
The craft themselves are what is 'alive'.

The hypothesis is that since they are found near earth, there  
are likely trillions of these objects throughout the universe  
and the assumption is that they self replicate.

They are not 'visiting' the earth in any sense. They are simply  
near the earth, just as they are likely near every planet in the  
universe given the vast number of them in the universe. The  
population is billions of years old.

The reason for secrecy is that we really don't know if they are  
a threat. They don't appear to be a physical threat in that they  
seem to ignore, and selectively avoid collision with, civilian  
aircraft when they are encountered by pilots. They appear to be

networked together in a loose fashion, and they could conceivably be a threat on a psychological level.

Their intent could be to influence human thinking, or even inject a virtual reality into anyone who comes into contact with one of them. People who have close encounters with UFOs often report experiencing things, even though there is no physical evidence those things happened.

The other reason for secrecy is that people may not be able to cope with the knowledge that there are silicon based 'civilizations' throughout the universe that are advanced beyond our imagination. This fact touches the root of religion and human ego.

-----

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 2](#)

## Re: Blind Astronomer

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 14:06:59 +0100  
Archived: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 10:26:18 -0400  
Subject: Re: Blind Astronomer

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: post to ufoupdates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 17:19:47 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Blind Astronomer

<snip>

>Ray:

>I am an amateur astronomer. This weekend I understand Steve  
>Greer is holding sessions to make contact with ETs from the  
>patio of a Rio Rico hotel.

>Naturally, I will be watching the skies so I don't miss out on  
>any stray UFOs attempting to make contact. Rio Rico is just  
>down the road from me and I can easily see the skies over that  
>area.

>I will report any sightings I have to the List.

Hi Kathy

You've just got me wondering if groups of amateur or  
professional astronomers have means of telling each other about  
sightings without reporting them to the public and without  
suffering 'damage' to career or reputation which are usually  
handed out by the authorities?

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 2](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:15 -0400  
Archived: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 10:49:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 18:43:57 -0400  
>Subject: ET Machines Sought By Shostak

>Source: PhysOrg.Com

><http://www.physorg.com/news205144539.html>

Additionally, here's a Google Tech Talk given by Dr Shostak in 2007:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rEixLFEU6Gc>

When Will We Discover Extraterrestrials?

I watched it last night. He's a reasonably good speaker if one ignores his excessive joking and focuses on the content.

He makes many of the same points here as he did in the article, only with a slide show and in much more depth.

Finally, he took questions from an engaged and smart audience.

It lasts about fifty minutes.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 2](#)

## ET Secrecy & Human Coping [was: Shostak's Search]

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 16:05:01 +0100  
Archived: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 11:30:40 -0400  
Subject: ET Secrecy & Human Coping [was: Shostak's Search]

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 14:08:01 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

<snip>

>Their intent could be to influence human thinking, or even  
>inject a virtual reality into anyone who comes into contact with  
>one of them. People who have close encounters with UFOs often  
>report experiencing things, even though there is no physical  
>evidence those things happened.

>The other reason for secrecy is that people may not be able to  
>cope with the knowledge that there are silicon based  
>'civilizations' throughout the universe that are advanced beyond  
>our imagination. This fact touches the root of religion and  
>human ego.

Hello Dave,

I think that last bit goes to the heart of all the secrecy and  
suppression of the last sixty-odd years. That is, rulers and  
security-chiefs of all kinds tend to be humor-less and paranoid  
people - in fact many seem to be barking mad.

However I think most folk, like you and me, are more easygoing  
and would find some pleasing irony in your suggested scenario.

Cheers

Ray D

BTW - I agree that close encounters seem to permanently? open  
some experiencers' minds to stuff that we ordinary folk can't  
see - that's a bit mysterious.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 2](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 16:26:51 +0000  
Archived: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 12:40:17 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 10:39:15 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 18:43:57 -0400  
>>Subject: ET Machines Sought By Shostak

>>Source: PhysOrg.Com

>><http://www.physorg.com/news205144539.html>

>Additionally, here's a Google Tech Talk given by Dr Shostak in  
>2007:

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rEixLFEU6Gc>

>When Will We Discover Extraterrestrials?

>I watched it last night. He's a reasonably good speaker if  
>one ignores his excessive joking and focuses on the content.

>He makes many of the same points here as he did in the article,  
>only with a slide show and in much more depth.

>Finally, he took questions from an engaged and smart audience.

>It lasts about fifty minutes.

Seth Shostak made the cover of this month's Sky & Telescope with  
the title The Search For E.T. Speeds Up. I haven't read the  
article inside called Closing In On ET.

The photo attached to the article shows Shostak with the Allen  
Telescope Array. Shostak is claiming that with the new  
technology we are closer to finding other civilizations in the  
Universe.

Just briefly in scanning the article, I noted that the Harvard  
University team searches for "nanosecond laser pulses which  
outshine the light of an alien civilization's host star by a  
factor of 1,000 or more".

Should be an interesting article.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 2](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 12:50:40 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sat, 02 Oct 2010 13:20:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 14:08:01 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

<snip>

>'eric70 - 9/27/2010 10:35:30 PM - wrote:

>I was invited to participate in a navy project that studied  
>unidentified aerial phenomenon. I was never asked to sign a non  
>disclosure document, so I feel justified in sharing what I know.

>Some of the objects in and near earth's atmosphere are highly  
>advanced mechanical objects with computer like brains that have  
>enormous processing power. There are no 'aliens' inside them.  
>The craft themselves are what is 'alive'.

>The hypothesis is that since they are found near earth, there  
>are likely trillions of these objects throughout the universe  
>and the assumption is that they self replicate.

>They are not 'visiting' the earth in any sense. They are simply  
>near the earth, just as they are likely near every planet in the  
>universe given the vast number of them in the universe. The  
>population is billions of years old.

>The reason for secrecy is that we really don't know if they are  
>a threat. They don't appear to be a physical threat in that they  
>seem to ignore, and selectively avoid collision with, civilian  
>aircraft when they are encountered by pilots. They appear to be  
>networked together in a loose fashion, and they could  
>conceivably be a threat on a psychological level.

>Their intent could be to influence human thinking, or even  
>inject a virtual reality into anyone who comes into contact with  
>one of them. People who have close encounters with UFOs often  
>report experiencing things, even though there is no physical  
>evidence those things happened.

>The other reason for secrecy is that people may not be able to  
>cope with the knowledge that there are silicon based  
>'civilizations' throughout the universe that are advanced beyond  
>our imagination. This fact touches the root of religion and  
>human ego.

>-----

>Dave Haith

That would be the same "Eric" whose similar comment I posted to  
UFO UpDates a couple of weeks ago. Search for thread,  
"Interesting Comment on 'Mirage Men' Article". I believe he  
signed that comment as, "Eric from MI".

Of course this isn't anything new. Ray Kurzweil has been putting  
forth his views of a future in which man will merge with  
technology during a period of time referred to as "the

technological singularity". Kurzweil believes this to occur when machine intelligence reaches levels far beyond human intelligence.

According to Kurzweil, human version 2.0 will occur with the creation of advanced cyborgs. We are currently in the primitive beginning stage of this process. Human version 3.0 will occur when humans abandon their physical bodies altogether and upload their minds into machines.

Of course Kurzweil doesn't believe there will be any problems with developing these advanced A.I. because we will merge with them. However, other experts still believe that such machines may pose a threat and could view us as being unnecessary and may decide to wipe us out.

What makes Kurzweil's beliefs relevant to this topic is that according to Kurzweil, we as posthumans, the theoretical stage of human evolution in which humans are no longer human by today's standards, will spread out across the universe, replicating and assimilating. Other experts have suggested that it is our children, the advanced A.I. that will abandon us and spread out into the universe, possibly after they have used up all of earth's resources or after the earth being destroyed by the war between humans and machines.

So assuming Eric's comment is true, which I don't actually believe, it would seem as if people in that reported UFO study were familiar with the work of Ray Kurzweil and other experts and authors. The scenario is the same. The only difference is that Kurzweil is not a believer in the UFO phenomenon.

Again, for the sake of argument, assuming Eric's comments are true, I would like to see a wee bit more 'intelligent speculation', a coin I termed some years back.

Some of these intelligent machines or crafts may indeed have occupants. Such might be the creation of the machines themselves. For example, abductees report the harvesting of sperm and eggs and the creation of "hybrids". The only difference is that this is done by "grays". Well, what if the grays were the first generation of beings created by such advanced machine intelligences? Reference the recent re-imagined Battlestar Galactica series where the cylons have evolved into humanoid models.

I can't help but laugh at such scenarios because it's like the ultimate Turing test, but carried out by the machines themselves! If advanced A.I. can create beings that we humans mistake for an organic species or even mistake for being human, then these machines would be proving their own intelligence. However, it may simply be that the answer is far more sinister. The creation of these beings may imply that we are in the process of being assimilated.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 3](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 09:58:48 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Sun, 03 Oct 2010 08:54:38 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>Source: PhysOrg.Com

><http://www.physorg.com/news205144539.html>

>October 1, 2010

>If we ever do receive a message from outer space, we'll want to  
>know what kind of aliens sent it. SETI researcher Seth Shostak  
>says we shouldn't expect them to be anything like us - in fact,  
>they might not be biological at all, but instead,  
>extraterrestrial machines.

>ET Machines Sought By Astronomer  
>By Shaun McCormack, Astrobio.net

>People have always held a biased view of the world around them.  
>It's an aspect of being human.

>It took until the 17th century for us to reject Aristotle's  
>vision of a universe where our Sun and the stars revolved around  
>the Earth. Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence (SETI)  
>Senior Astronomer Seth Shostak points out that up until a  
>century ago, the scientific community believed a vast  
>engineering society was responsible for building an irrigation  
>system on the surface of Mars. Discovering the Martians could,  
>in principle, be done by simply turning an Earth-based telescope  
>in the direction of the Red Planet. Now it seems that our best  
>chance for finding Martian life is to dig deep into the surface  
>in search of subterranean microbes.

>Our idea of extraterrestrial life has changed drastically in 100  
>years, but our search strategies have not kept up. In his  
>upcoming paper "What ET will look like and why should we care?"  
>for the November-December issue of Acta Astronautica, Shostak  
>argues that SETI might be more successful if it shifts the  
>search away from biology and focuses squarely on artificial  
>intelligence. Shostak sees a clear distinction between life and  
>intelligence: he says we should be searching for  
>extraterrestrial machines.

>"Continuing to hunt for our analogs - technically competent  
>biological sentients - may be an enterprise with less than  
>promising prospect, as it focuses on a highly transient prey,"  
>Shostak says.

>Our own technological advances since World War II make a great  
>case for his position. Medical advancements since the 1950s show  
>human beings becoming more bionic as digital and mechanical  
>breakthroughs have found their way into our bodies. The  
>development of true Artificial intelligence (AI) is, by some  
>estimates, just a few decades away. When considering Moore's  
>Law= $E=80=94$  which shows a pattern of accelerating returns in  
>technological improvement= $E=80=94$  Shostak is forced to believe  
>humanity's main role in the universe might be the creation of  
>its successor.

>"The continued exponential growth in computer power implies that

>even consumer-grade computers will have the processing power of  
>a human brain by the year 2040," he says.

>If and when we do create true AI, it would surpass us quickly.  
>An AI would have the power to self-direct its own evolution.

>"If we build a machine with the intellectual capability of one  
>human, then within 5 years, its successor is more intelligent  
>than all humanity combined," he says.

>The window between a society's technological birth and its shift  
>to artificial intelligence is amazingly small.

>"Once any society invents the technology that could put them in  
>touch with the cosmos, they are at most only a few hundred years  
>away from changing their own paradigm of sentience to artificial  
>intelligence," he says. Because artificial sentience would  
>almost inevitably outlast and outperform its fleshy, needy  
>predecessors, Shostak concludes that any aliens we detect will  
>be machines.

>ET machines would be infinitely more intelligent and durable  
>than the biological intelligence that invented them. Intelligent  
>machines would in a sense be immortal, or at least indefinitely  
>repairable, and would not need to exist in the biologically  
>hospitable "Goldilocks Zone" most SETI searches focus on. An AI  
>could self-direct its own evolution. Every new instance of an AI  
>would be created with the sum total of its predecessor's  
>knowledge preloaded.

>The machines would require two primary resources: energy to  
>operate with and materials to maintain or advance their  
>structure. Because of these requirements, Shostak thinks SETI  
>ought to consider expanding its search to the energy- and  
>matter-rich neighborhoods of hot stars, black holes and neutron  
>stars.

>Bok globules are another search target for sentient machines.  
>These dense regions of dust and gas are notorious for producing  
>multiple-star systems. At around negative 441 degrees  
>Fahrenheit, they are about 160 degrees F colder than most of  
>interstellar space.

>This climate could be a major draw because thermodynamics  
>implies that machinery will be more efficient in cool regions  
>that can function as a large "heat sink". A Bok globule's super-  
>cooled environment might represent the Goldilocks Zone for the  
>machines, says Shostak. But because black holes and Bok globules  
>are not hospitable to life as we know it, they are not on SETI's  
>radar.

>"Machines have different needs," he says. "They have no obvious  
>limits to the length of their existence, and consequently could  
>easily dominate the intelligence of the cosmos. In particular,  
>since they can evolve on timescales far, far shorter than  
>biological evolution, it could very well be that the first  
>machines on the scene thoroughly dominate the intelligence in  
>the galaxy. It's a "winner take all" scenario."

>"While it's not easy trying to figure the best SETI strategy to  
>uncover these super sentients, it seems worthwhile to spend at  
>least some of our SETI efforts trying to establish their  
>presence," he adds.

Obviously the SETI community is unwilling to spend any time  
reviewing the enormous amount of evidence that aliens have  
been visiting Earth for at least many decades if not millennia.

Super sentients: YES! Aliens: NO! is the motto here.

It is clear from their books (which I have read) and their  
articles and lectures which I have reviewed, that they  
essentially never review the UFO evidence such as the 5 large  
scale scientific studies, the many multiple witness radar visual  
cases, the physical trace cases involving reports not only of  
flying saucers on the ground, but the 15% of those cases  
involving reports of humanoids. They know nothing about national  
security.

They also are unwilling to review the evidence of abductions. I can find no reason to accept proclamations from radio astronomers about the behavior of aliens, no less earthlings.

Of course the reason is obvious.

There is no need for listening for primitive signals from out there using technology compatible with ours. If aliens are visiting, as they surely are, SETI which is looking for signals not looking for aliens, is out of business. They are truly getting desperate. The collective rule is "Don't bother us with the facts, our minds are made up."

How pathetic and irrational.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 3](#)

## Robots Taught How To Decieve

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 13:08:07 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sun, 03 Oct 2010 09:00:13 -0400  
Subject: Robots Taught How To Decieve

An interesting article from a few weeks back, Georgia Tech Engineers have taught robots how to deceive:

<http://tinyurl.com/37w8p9g>

YouTube Video:

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KI9\\_hLgnZk](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KI9_hLgnZk)

Assimov's laws thrown right out the window!

Deception is one of the qualities that makes us human. Little children for example, are either incapable of deceiving or find it difficult, possibly because they believe adults have access to their thoughts.

Deception is also a very good tactic to be used in the achievement of a goal.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 3](#)

## Royal Society Meeting on Alien Life

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 19:28:29 +0200 (CEST)  
Archived: Sun, 03 Oct 2010 09:05:37 -0400  
Subject: Royal Society Meeting on Alien Life

The Royal Society is holding a meeting on October 4th and 5th, entitled Towards a Scientific and Societal Agenda on Extraterrestrial Life:

<http://royalsociety.org/extra-terrestrial-life/>

This is a follow-up to a meeting held in January and will discuss issues such as whether we should reply to any message received from extraterrestrials, who should send such a message and what it should say.

The meeting is already attracting considerable media attention, in relation to the issue of who speaks for Planet Earth. This led to reports that a Malaysian astrophysicist, Dr Mazlan Othman had been appointed as an "alien ambassador". The story stemmed from the view that the UN Office for Outer Space Affairs (which she heads) should play a co-ordinating role in composing any message to an extraterrestrial civilisation. I set the record straight on this story in a recent CNN interview:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gLXkS87Lc6o>

I shall be attending this meeting, as I attended the previous one, and discussing the issues with some of those attending.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 3](#)

## How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm Abductees

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 02:07:49 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sun, 03 Oct 2010 09:09:35 -0400  
Subject: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm Abductees

Assuming abductee accounts can be believed, a new DARPA technology might explain the reports from abductees of the aliens alleviating pain and calming abductees.

This new technology sends "transcranial pulsed ultrasound" into the brains of soldiers via helmets. The result of such on the brain is the diminishing of pain and stress without the use of drugs.

DARPA Working To Develop Helmets For US Army To Control Soldiers' Brains

<http://tinyurl.com/29lw5nz>

I would add my own speculation that if a more advanced form of this technology is being used by aliens to alleviate pain and stress during an abduction, then such may point to a cyborg or a robotic nature of the aliens if such ability originates within their being.

The article also gives a link to a scary form of mind control involving nanoparticle embedded in cell membranes. In studies in worms, such has provided a primitive form of mind control when the worm is exposed to magnetic fields that cause the nanoparticle to heat up. The result of the heating forces the animal to move and with the changing of the magnetic fields the worm can be made to move on demand.

Scientists Control Worms With Nanoparticles, Next Step 'Whole Animal'

<http://tinyurl.com/2w3epwg>

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 3](#)

## News Coverage Of The Press Conference

From: **Robert Hastings** <[ufohastings.nul](mailto:ufohastings.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 03:26:18 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sun, 03 Oct 2010 09:16:04 -0400  
Subject: News Coverage Of The Press Conference

All,

My UFO-Nukes Connection press conference at the National Press Club in Washington D.C. last Monday was streamed live by CNN. Part of it is at:

<http://tinyurl.com/2eppo25>

I also did a short follow-up interview with CNN on Wednesday:

<http://tinyurl.com/2wsxjr5>

(Once you hit "play" a short commercial runs first.)

Of the many articles about the press conference published nationally and internationally, at least those I have seen, this one is the most accurate:

<http://tinyurl.com/2dwod49>

This is not an ego thing for me. I'm just the stubborn cuss who got this event off the ground--after spending 37 years locating and interviewing 120+ ex-military sources. It's what the ex-Air Force officers have to say that's important.

Thanks,

Robert Hastings

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 3](#)

## \$1,000 Reward for Nuke Base Whistleblower

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 09:29:48 +0100  
Archived: Sun, 03 Oct 2010 09:21:00 -0400  
Subject: \$1,000 Reward for Nuke Base Whistleblower

Following the Washington UFO/Nukes press conference, UFO magazine columnist Larry W Bryant is offering \$1,000 reward for more smoking-gun evidence of UFO intrusion at Malmstrom Air Force base.

Here:

<http://tinyurl.com/25netf9>

Larry makes his offer...

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 3](#)

## UFO Disclosure - Two Music Videos

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 11:42:02 +0100  
Archived: Sun, 03 Oct 2010 09:23:17 -0400  
Subject: UFO Disclosure - Two Music Videos

OK dudes, time for a musical interlude on this rainy Sunday morning - in the Southern UK at least.

This first one's quite spectacular - Hubble telescope photos - the music's good too. Turn up your speakers!

It's all part of Richard Dolan's new book of the same name YouTube - A.D. After Disclosure (Life After Contact)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d40sMYu9AHw>

This next one's basically the same tune with lyrics - the first ever UFO Disclosure song!

Need-To-Know: The UFO Disclosure Song with lyrics

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RMyMcIVmbgw>

Enjoy!

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 3](#)

## Re: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm

From: **Thiago Ticchetti** <[ticchetti.nul](mailto:ticchetti.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 06:16:36 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Sun, 03 Oct 2010 09:38:05 -0400  
Subject: Re: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 02:07:49 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm Abductees

>Assuming abductee accounts can be believed, a new DARPA  
>technology might explain the reports from abductees of the  
>aliens alleviating pain and calming abductees.

>This new technology sends "transcranial pulsed ultrasound" into  
>the brains of soldiers via helmets. The result of such on the  
>brain is the diminishing of pain and stress without the use of  
>drugs.

>DARPA Working To Develop Helmets For US Army To Control  
>Soldiers' Brains

><http://tinyurl.com/29lw5nz>

Well, what I know reading books and doing some research, is that  
the abductees suffer terrible pain and stress. What the aliens  
do is make the abductees forget that event.

Am I right?

Thiago

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 4](#)

## How To Build A Warpdrive Using Metamaterials

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 13:38:34 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Mon, 04 Oct 2010 07:41:52 -0400  
Subject: How To Build A Warpdrive Using Metamaterials

Interesting article on the use of metamaterial. We just may be able to use these material to produce speeds up to a quarter of the speed of light.

How To Build A Warp Drive Using Metamaterials

<http://tinyurl.com/24rmhds>

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 4](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 13:50:54 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Mon, 04 Oct 2010 07:44:37 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 09:58:48 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

<snip>

>Obviously the SETI community is unwilling to spend any time  
>reviewing the enormous amount of evidence that aliens have  
>been visiting Earth for at least many decades if not millennia.

>Super sentients: YES! Aliens: NO! is the motto here.

>It is clear from their books (which I have read) and their  
>articles and lectures which I have reviewed, that they  
>essentially never review the UFO evidence such as the 5 large  
>scale scientific studies, the many multiple witness radar visual  
>cases, the physical trace cases involving reports not only of  
>flying saucers on the ground, but the 15% of those cases  
>involving reports of humanoids.They know nothing about national  
>security.

>They also are unwilling to review the evidence of abductions.  
>I can find no reason to accept proclamations from radio  
>astronomers about the behavior of aliens, no less earthlings.

>Of course the reason is obvious.

>There is no need for listening for primitive signals from out  
>there using technology compatible with ours.If aliens are  
>visiting, as they surely are, SETI which is looking for signals  
>not looking for aliens, is out of business. They are truly  
>getting desperate. The collective rule is "Don't bother us with  
>the facts,our minds are made up."

>How pathetic and irrational.

When I posted a similar article on this change of direction in SETI some months back, I believe I also commented on the fact that though Shostak now apparently believes we will find signals from advanced extraterrestrial machines in space, he still continues to hold on to the delusion that somehow such machines still can't get here from there.

I guess there's some form of force-field around the solar system that blocks ETs. Either that or perhaps these alien machines in space aren't smart enough yet.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 4](#)

## Re: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 13:59:42 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Mon, 04 Oct 2010 07:46:39 -0400  
Subject: Re: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm

>From: Thiago Ticchetti <[ticchetti.nul](mailto:ticchetti.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 06:16:36 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm Abductees

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 02:07:49 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm Abductees

>>Assuming abductee accounts can be believed, a new DARPA  
>>technology might explain the reports from abductees of the  
>>aliens alleviating pain and calming abductees.

>>This new technology sends "transcranial pulsed ultrasound" into  
>>the brains of soldiers via helmets. The result of such on the  
>>brain is the diminishing of pain and stress without the use of  
>>drugs.

>>DARPA Working To Develop Helmets For US Army To Control  
>>Soldiers' Brains

>><http://tinyurl.com/29lw5nz>

>Well, what I know reading books and doing some research, is that  
>the abductees suffer terrible pain and stress. What the aliens  
>do is make the abductees forget that event.

>Am I right?

They do seem to make them forget, however they also do things to  
alleviate or lessen pain as well as to calm abductees.

This is usually accomplished by staring into the abductees eyes  
at a close ranges, though I believe Betty Hill claims one of the  
aliens ran his hand over her face and the pain of a medical  
procedure went away.

Interesting, in both situations the aliens' focus is on the head  
(face) of the abductee and not the site where the pain is  
inflicted. If the stories of abductees are to be believed then  
the aliens are going to the source of the pain, the brain, and  
might possible be using an advanced form of this technology.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 4](#)

## UFO & Nukes - New Audios

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 20:16:31 +0200  
Archived: Mon, 04 Oct 2010 07:53:30 -0400  
Subject: UFO & Nukes - New Audios

Dear Colleagues,

Two new audios added to the archive batch of media coverage of the UFO & Nukes National Press Club Conference...

September 30, 2010  
Fox News Radio - The Alan Colmes Show

<http://tinyurl.com/351fpvd>

Robert Salas is interviewed, and Alan Colmes takes calls from listeners - filew is edited for UFO references only.

September 24, 2010  
The Bill Handel Show - KFI AM 640

<http://tinyurl.com/38swrsj>

This is really, really bad. Even from a comic perspective it is stereotypically uninventive. I was reluctant to post this, but in the end decided that it should go on the record for future generations and possible future university thesis about media approach on UFOs - this is good sample of bad example.

If you are considering this clip from the newsworthy perspective, it would be better to skip this one.

Best Wishes

Giuliano

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 4](#)

## Mission To Search For Alien Life In Outer

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 14:45:48 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Mon, 04 Oct 2010 07:55:35 -0400  
Subject: Mission To Search For Alien Life In Outer

There may exist extraterrestrial bacteria in the far outer atmosphere which might have been brought to our planet via meteors or asteroids.

Mission To Search For Alien Life In Outer Atmosphere

<http://tinyurl.com/3xet2p8>

-Jason Gamon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 4](#)

## Re: Robots Taught How To Decieve

From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 15:06:57 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 04 Oct 2010 07:56:41 -0400  
Subject: Re: Robots Taught How To Decieve

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 13:08:07 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Robots Taught How To Decieve

>An interesting article from a few weeks back, Georgia Tech  
>Engineers have taught robots how to deceive:

><http://tinyurl.com/37w8p9g>

>YouTube Video:

>[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KI9\\_hLgnZk](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KI9_hLgnZk)

>Assimov's laws thrown right out the window!

>Deception is one of the qualities that makes us human. Little  
>children for example, are either incapable of deceiving or find  
>it difficult, possibly because they believe adults have access  
>to their thoughts.

It appears you have never had little children, or encountered a  
possum.

Carol

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 4](#)

## Media Coverage Of Leslie Kean's Book Continues

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 21:28:22 +0200  
Archived: Mon, 04 Oct 2010 08:00:10 -0400  
Subject: Media Coverage Of Leslie Kean's Book Continues

Dear Colleagues,

The recent press conference on UFOs & Nukes gave additional fire to Leslie Kean's media wave. These are her recent interviews where UFO & Nukes Conference was also discussed: latest shows:

Sep30-2010-Mike McConnell Show-WGN Chicago

<http://tinyurl.com/3ynjv4x>

Sep28-2010-The John Williams Show-WGN Radio 720

<http://tinyurl.com/35keoon>

Sep30-2010-WTMY-Talk Sarasota

<http://tinyurl.com/2v8hyz4>

Sep30-2010-Be The Star You Are-World Talk Radio

<http://tinyurl.com/3x5towk>

Best Wishes

Giuliano

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 4](#)

## Network Of UFO Webcams In San Luis Valley Colorado

From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 19:26:43 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 04 Oct 2010 08:02:05 -0400  
Subject: Network Of UFO Webcams In San Luis Valley Colorado

Listers are probably way ahead of me on this, but I'm listening to the Sunday, October 3, 2010 Paracast broadcast, hour #1, by way of GCN network which has free archives.

To get to the free archives:

<http://www.gcnlive.com/listen.php>

Then scroll down to Paracast, click Archives, select October, and select October 3, hour #1. This is within the first ~20 minutes.

The guest is Chris O'Brien from the San Luis Valley who is describing this program, which seems to be more ambitious than the Hessdalen project in Norway as it will involve multiple webcams in different locations.

The cams are mounted on cell phone towers by permission of the tower owners - as opposed to the cell phone companies. Chris reports very positive experiences in getting permission and assistance for the cams.

Gene Steinberg is host, Nick Redfern is co-host.

For what it may be worth.

\* Which reminds me, when will we be able to see the CUFOS abductee monitoring data? It's been a while now.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 4](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 21:08:57 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 04 Oct 2010 08:04:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 09:58:48 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

Mr. Friedman,

I would like to offer a response and defense of the scientific establishment here. For while some of your criticisms are accurate, they are also infused with sarcasm belies a similar confirmation bias in your response. This is Kuhn playing itself out from both angles, without any reasonable common ground between the two sides. Yet neither you nor Dr. Shostak know the underlying reality here. Nor do I.

>Obviously the SETI community is unwilling to spend any time  
>reviewing the enormous amount of evidence that aliens have  
>been visiting Earth for at least many decades if not millennia.

>Super sentients: YES! Aliens: NO! is the motto here.

Yes. Let's be clear, Dr Shostak and the scientific majority do not view a "preponderance of the evidence" in the form of witness testimony and unverified documents to be science. Real scientists limit their factual statements highly specific claims based on repeat observations of controlled phenomena. UFOs are most certainly not under controls, and repetitions are intermittent and rarely recorded by instruments. Professional scientists should be highly skeptical. Further, since the phenomena doesn't fit within the scientific method for study, professional scientists are limited in what and how to perform quantifiable research.

That the majority have concluded - wrongly - that because they can't controllably test the phenomena therefore it must not exist is regrettable. Much time has been wasted. But it is not unreasonable. The difference between your claims about Roswell and the statements by Dr. Shostak are very simple: he is speculating; nothing more.

>It is clear from their books (which I have read) and their  
>articles and lectures which I have reviewed, that they  
>essentially never review the UFO evidence such as the 5 large  
>scale scientific studies, the many multiple witness radar visual  
>cases, the physical trace cases involving reports not only of  
>flying saucers on the ground, but the 15% of those cases  
>involving reports of humanoids. They know nothing about national  
>security.

Where are these humanoids? I've never seen one. I saw a flying saucer once; I definitely believe my own eyes. But I've never seen a humanoid alien. And I've got to say, the speculative arguments made by evolutionary biologists against the notion of so many reports of different bilateral bipedal aliens make a lot of sense. The Pre-Cambrian explosion is just one example of how weird the morphology of biological forms can take. Life found in and around hydrothermal vents are another example of life taking form and sustenance in ways that belie the notion of repeating bilateral bipedalism throughout the nearby galaxy.

There is simply no reason to assume that life repeats form across environments in that manner. From my perspective, those scientists have a strong point. The UFO community offers nothing to refute these arguments but testimony from alleged witnesses.

So, the division here is: informed speculation vs. unverified testimony. Who wins?

>They also are unwilling to review the evidence of abductions.  
>I can find no reason to accept proclamations from radio  
>astronomers about the behavior of aliens, no less earthlings.

>Of course the reason is obvious.

>There is no need for listening for primitive signals from out  
>there using technology compatible with ours.If aliens are  
>visiting, as they surely are, SETI which is looking for signals  
>not looking for aliens, is out of business. They are truly  
>getting desperate. The collective rule is "Don't bother us with  
>the facts,our minds are made up."

>How pathetic and irrational.

I could not disagree more. The SETI scientists have used what technology was available to conduct a search you almost certainly should approve of. Don't you want to find intelligent life outside Earth? He's trying! He has more money and resources than you, many smart scientists working very hard to determine new methods for search, and decades of negative findings behind him. I'm going to take a longshot guess that he's never seen a disc in broad daylight. Why should he take your word for it? Or anyone else's for that matter? And as for digging through FIOA documents and reading journalistic (Good) or historical (Nolan) accounts of the subject matter is simply not what that guy does for a living.

From my perspective, his words are neither irrational nor pathetic. Further, his insight on the potential for machine cognition as a means for life in space fits the known UFO facts just as well as those claims about biological entities. That is, if one takes the conservative perspective of Kean - that one should focus only on those cases with multiple simultaneous witnesses, radar records, and other physical evidence, then evidence for biological bipeds flying these things becomes somewhat scant.

I don't write this to personally insult you. I greatly admire the hard work you put in collecting testimony and FOIA documents. But I think your statements here show a belligerence toward traditional space science that works at odds to your stated purpose: to get to the bottom of this weird UFO mystery. Shostack probably isn't interested in UFOs, but he does want to find alien intelligence. In that regard, you both seek the same ends.

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 4](#)

## Arabic In The Sky

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 13:38:37 +0700 (GMT+07:00)  
Archived: Mon, 04 Oct 2010 08:09:14 -0400  
Subject: Arabic In The Sky

An excellent article on the influence of Arabic science and  
astronomers on the study of the heavens:

<http://www.saudiaramcoworld.com/issue/201005/arabic.in.the.sky.htm>

Terry

Terry W. Colvin  
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand  
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand  
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>  
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 4](#)

## Cosmic Loneliness

From: Diana Cammack <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 18:21:08 +0200  
Archived: Mon, 04 Oct 2010 12:57:15 -0400  
Subject: Cosmic Loneliness

Source: Discovery News

<http://tinyurl.com/2g9ghg5Discovery> News

Mon Oct 4, 2010

Will We Die Of Cosmic Loneliness?

Analysis by Ray Villard

Top astronomy news items last week wrestled with the question of whether we are alone in the universe.

One story gave a dire warning that aliens are already here because they are mad at us. The other report, that inhabitable planets are everywhere in our Milky Way galaxy.

In reality, our own civilization's biggest threat to long-term survival may be cosmic boredom, say a pair of theorists.

Things got started in a highly publicized event at the Washington Press Club where UFO investigator Robert Hastings offered spooky testimony by several retired military officers. They described seeing flying saucers shaped like "pregnant cigars" snooping around and sabotaging our nuclear missile bases.

His hypothesis: aliens are upset that we are "playing with fire."

How aliens would have become aware of our warlike shenanigans went unexplained. The burst of light from the first atom bomb test in New Mexico is presently only detectable to a distance of 65 light-years from Earth. What's more, it would be indistinguishable from the flash of a large meteor exploding in our atmosphere.

Later in the week, a team of astronomers announced the culmination of a 12-year search for the first planet found in the habitable zone around a star. This is a world where water oceans might exist. The super-Earth orbits a red dwarf star, as I predicted last July.

The big news is that the world lies just 20 light-years away. This implies that planets in the "sweet spot" around stars are very common in the galaxy.

But if this is true, why don't we have any bona fide evidence for intelligent life beyond Earth?

A pair of Russian theoreticians, I. Bezsudnov and . Snarskii, believe they have an answer. Alien civilizations might simply die of loneliness if they don't make interstellar contact with another intelligent species.

Extraterrestrials may wither away due to a loss of interest in the universe around them, or the atrophy of technological capability. Their brains might turn to mush as they become

totally preoccupied with their versions of Facebook, World of Warcraft and reality TV shows.

The team ran a computer model that factored in a finite longevity for alien races. Contrary to other simulations that assume civilizations go on indefinitely, the new simulations have alien cultures rise and fall according to a common modeling algorithm called cellular automata. This approach simulates the birth, development and death of organisms based on certain rules.

In the model, intelligent species that contact each other across interstellar space had their lifetimes boosted. "The meeting between civilizations generates the new purposes and objects of knowledge, necessity to use an intellect," the theoreticians maintain.

My caution is that other civilizations would be, well, too alien to care about us. We'd have to look for beings very much like ourselves with a comparable level of evolution and technology, similar curiosity, and parallel mastery of mathematics. Though there are likely many inhabited planets nearby, the odds of finding a species we can have a meaningful conversation with might be quite small.

On the other hand, the mantra to "seek out new life and new civilizations" is the embodiment of Star Trek's imaginary universe. Trek's United Federation of Planets is a cluster of inhabited star systems undergoing an interstellar Renaissance that engages them in cross-cultural activities, commerce, and exchange of species.

In the coming decades our cosmic loneliness and curiosity will drive us to spend many billions of dollars to build ever larger space telescopes to seek out inhabited planets. This will eventually lead to autonomous, miniaturized, life-seeking interstellar probes.

The Russian team's hypothesis is antithetical to the idea that extraterrestrials would devote an enormous amount of resources to physically travel here only to snoop around, be mischievous, yet avoid direct contact.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 5](#)

### Re: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm

From: **Thiago Luiz Ticchetti** <[ticchetti.nul](mailto:ticchetti.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 06:18:47 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Tue, 05 Oct 2010 09:54:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 13:59:42 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm Abductees

>>From: Thiago Ticchetti <[ticchetti.nul](mailto:ticchetti.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 06:16:36 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm Abductees

>>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 02:07:49 -0400 (EDT)  
>>>Subject: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm Abductees

>>>Assuming abductee accounts can be believed, a new DARPA  
>>>technology might explain the reports from abductees of the  
>>>aliens alleviating pain and calming abductees.

>>>This new technology sends "transcranial pulsed ultrasound" into  
>>>the brains of soldiers via helmets. The result of such on the  
>>>brain is the diminishing of pain and stress without the use of  
>>>drugs.

>>>DARPA Working To Develop Helmets For US Army To Control  
>>>Soldiers' Brains

>>><http://tinyurl.com/29lw5nz>

>>Well, what I know reading books and doing some research, is that  
>>the abductees suffer terrible pain and stress. What the aliens  
>>do is make the abductees forget that event.

>>Am I right?

>They do seem to make them forget, however they also do things to  
>alleviate or lessen pain as well as to calm abductees.

>This is usually accomplished by staring into the abductees eyes  
>at a close ranges, though I believe Betty Hill claims one of the  
>aliens ran his hand over her face and the pain of a medical  
>procedure went away.

>Interesting, in both situations the aliens' focus is on the head  
>(face) of the abductee and not the site where the pain is  
>inflicted. If the stories of abductees are to be believed then  
>the aliens are going to the source of the pain, the brain, and

Hi Jason,

Yes, in the Hill's case this happened, but in the Walton's case  
he suffered a lot. The aliens seemed not to worry about his  
pain.

It is a very interesting subject. I still believe that the  
aliens use the "forget technology" alleviate the pain.

Re: How Aliens Might Alleviate Pain & Calm

Thiago Luiz Ticchetti  
Coordenador da Revista UFO Brasil: [www.ufo.com.br](http://www.ufo.com.br)

Blog: <http://www.ufo.com.br/blog/thiagoticchetti>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 5](#)

## Re: Robots Taught How To Decieve

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 12:50:49 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Tue, 05 Oct 2010 09:56:13 -0400  
Subject: Re: Robots Taught How To Decieve

>From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 15:06:57 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Robots Taught How To Decieve

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 13:08:07 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Robots Taught How To Decieve

>>An interesting article from a few weeks back, Georgia Tech  
>>Engineers have taught robots how to deceive:

>><http://tinyurl.com/37w8p9g>

>>YouTube Video:

>>[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KI9\\_hLgnZk](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KI9_hLgnZk)

>>Assimov's laws thrown right out the window!

>>Deception is one of the qualities that makes us human. Little  
>>children for example, are either incapable of deceiving or find  
>>it difficult, possibly because they believe adults have access  
>>to their thoughts.

>It appears you have never had little children, or encountered a  
>possum.

>Carol

With the children comment I was actually referring to lying as  
deception. Children develop the ability to lie at around three  
years of age.

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lie>

Opossums, and other animals that 'play dead', are more all along  
the lines of robots with regard to deception. It's unlikely that  
these animals are consciously deceiving or even know what they  
are doing. It is simply a behavior that works in the long run.

My guess is that the U.S. military may want deceptive robots in  
the hopes of tricking enemy combatants.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 5](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 10:23:23 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Tue, 05 Oct 2010 09:59:16 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 21:08:57 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 09:58:48 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>It is clear from their books (which I have read) and their  
>>articles and lectures which I have reviewed, that they  
>>essentially never review the UFO evidence such as the 5 large  
>>scale scientific studies, the many multiple witness radar visual  
>>cases, the physical trace cases involving reports not only of  
>>flying saucers on the ground, but the 15% of those cases  
>>involving reports of humanoids. They know nothing about national  
>>security.

>Where are these humanoids? I've never seen one. I saw a flying  
>saucer once; I definitely believe my own eyes. But I've never  
>seen a humanoid alien. And I've got to say, the speculative  
>arguments made by evolutionary biologists against the notion  
>of so many reports of different bilateral bipedal aliens make a  
>lot of sense. The Pre-Cambrian explosion is just one example of  
>how weird the morphology of biological forms can take. Life  
>found in and around hydrothermal vents are another example of  
>life taking form and sustenance in ways that belie the notion of  
>repeating bilateral bipedalism throughout the nearby galaxy.  
>There is simply no reason to assume that life repeats form  
>across environments in that manner. From my perspective, those  
>scientists have a strong point. The UFO community offers nothing  
>to refute these arguments but testimony from alleged witnesses.

>So, the division here is: informed speculation vs. unverified  
>testimony. Who wins?

This point keeps coming up, that there are supposedly strong  
scientific arguments that (biological) space aliens would not be  
humanoid but some other unimaginable form. These arguments are  
neither strong, informed, nor particularly scientific, at best  
highly speculative, and also at odds with what we observe  
evolution creating here on planet Earth, where natural selection  
forces in particular environmental niches produce many examples  
of convergent evolution of form. Or as the jingle goes, form  
follows function.

Rather than rewrite the many counterarguments to the "they can't  
possibly look like us" argument, I'll quote from myself from  
just last year. Notice a major constraint in all this is that we  
are dealing with a technological, space-faring race able to  
build machines that can get them here. Thus you can  
automatically eliminate any life-form that cannot possibly  
develop a technological civilization at some point, even if they  
were very intelligent. Therefore, don't expect organic space  
aliens visiting us to look like octopi or porpoises or sponges  
or worms around underwater thermal vents. (However, all bets are  
off for the form of cybernetic intelligent beings not subject to  
normal evolutionary forces that shaped us.)

-----

<http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2009/may/m01-005.shtml>

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>  
Date: Fri, 1 May 2009 12:24:15 -0700  
Archived: Fri, 01 May 2009 16:30:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: Debunkers & ETH

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>  
>Date: Wed, 29 Apr 2009 17:02:31 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Debunkers & ETH

>2) Reports of various alien physiologies are too  
>anthropocentric.

This ignores the fact, observed on many species on earth, that particular evolutionary niches have a limited number of optimized morphological forms for survival, resulting in parallel evolution of form, or "form follows function." Thus flying insects, pterydactyls, birds, and bats, with very different evolutionary histories, all have wings and forward looking heads and eyes with feet for landing, are bilaterally symmetric, etc. Cephalod (squid, octopus, etc.) eyeballs are almost identical in structure to vertebrate eyes, though the lines diverged hundreds of millions of years ago when the "eye" was nothing more than a primitive pigmented optic pit.

A few weeks ago I was in central Nevada at Ichthyosaur State Park, up at 7000 feet. There are the fossilized bones of dozens of ichthyosaurs, marine reptiles dating from 220 million years ago, when North America was still attached to Pangea, there was no Atlantic Ocean, and the Pacific Coast ran through present-day Nevada.

The ichthyosaurs looked almost identical to present day porpoises, which are mammals. Both are believed to have evolved from land animals and gave birth to live young. Yet they evolved 200 million years apart from very different evolutionary lines.

Why is this so? Because both are marine predators relying on speed for basic survival. Thus they have similar streamlining, dorsal fins, tails, large eyes, long snouts with teeth, blowholes between the eyes, and so on. Form follows function. Sharks, barracudas, our submarines, etc., have similar streamlined shapes and features.

Or consider car "evolution", where constraints on fuel economy, safety, and missions (carry people and cargo) have led to very similar designs (similar streamlining, front and back crush spaces and places to put motor and cargo, etc.). It's often hard to tell one line of car from another.

So why shouldn't space-faring aliens have basic hominid features, such as large heads (big brains=intelligence), major senses (eyes, ears) close to the brains (for speed of high-bandwidth data processing), forward-looking binocular vision (for good depth perception, valuable in tool-making and hunting), arms with tool-manipulating appendages or hands (how else are they going to build a technical civilization leading to space-ships?), legs for locomotion (bipedal frees up two other limbs for manipulation or other tasks, such as bipedal birds with wings).

Internal skeletons that grow with the animal allow for larger animals (all exoskeleton animals are relatively small) and you can't have intelligent beings who can build spaceships unless they have larger brains, which require larger bodies. Don't expect space aliens to look like crabs with exoskeletons or octopi with no internal skeletons at all. You also need a skeleton to be a land animal, and you need to be a land animal to build fire, smelt metal, and eventually build a technological civilization. (Another reason alien space octopi will not emerge from a UFO, even if they are smart and can finely manipulate materials with their tentacles.)

Bilateral symmetry arose in the oceans and is a characteristic of all mobile species (radial or spherical symmetric species

move around slowly or not at all). Bilateral symmetry is also energy efficient, minimizing friction in the water (streamlining) and energy to move in a given direction (otherwise have to expend energy to keep from going in circles). Bilateral symmetry = minimal motion energy = speed = survival. Bilateral symmetry also conserves DNA information, since symmetrical left and right sides are just copies off the same genetic blueprint (minor left/right specialization arose later). Heads with brains, main sense organs, and mouths are going to be up front in the direction of motion and where the food is.

When you think about it, all our rapidly moving ships, water and air, adopt the same streamlined, bilateral symmetrical form. Why? Because it is an optimal solution. Form follows function. The eyes, brains, and control of an airplane (the pilots) also sit up in the front of the airplane (the head), not the tail, because this is the optimal place for them to be, just like it is for insects, birds, bats, and pterodactyls.

So why shouldn't at least some aliens resemble basic human form? The big point here is that not everything goes when it comes to form for an intelligent space-faring race. We shouldn't expect alien starfish or sea urchins or dolphins to emerge from UFOs, because they would never be capable of building a technological civilization, much less space-flight.

-----

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 5](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: **Eleanor White** <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 15:38:09 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 05 Oct 2010 10:00:20 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 21:08:57 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

<snip>

>That the majority have concluded - wrongly - that  
>because they can't controllably test the phenomena  
>therefore it must not exist is regrettable. Much time  
>has been wasted. But it is not unreasonable.

It's unreasonable in the extreme, because it bars "science" from  
investigating a huge range of real phenomena on the nonsensical  
basis that they can't recreate them on demand.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 5](#)

## BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 21:52:28 +0200  
Archived: Tue, 05 Oct 2010 10:04:06 -0400  
Subject: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

BBC's broadcast yesterday - a new piece on UFOs:

Audio: UFOs in Nevada - BBC, A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

BBC World Service  
Program: Newshour  
Title: UFOs In Nevada - .mp3

<http://tinyurl.com/39eg4jg>

UFOs in Nevada - BBC, A-12 OXCART and CIA study

As far as I am aware, last mainstream story on Area 51 goes back to April 2009, when LA Times published article The Road To Area 51, where ex-workers and engineers from Groom Lake, Colonel Hugh Slater, Edward Lovick, Kenneth Collins and Thornton Barnes, declared that high number of UFO sightings during cold war could be explained as test flights of top-secret Lockheed spy reconnaissance plane code-named OXCART. The OXCART was in operation from 1963 until 1968. In LA Times article, Annie Jacobsen writes that "commercial pilots cruising over Nevada at dusk would look up and see the bottom of OXCART whiz by at 2,000-plus mph. The aircraft's titanium body, moving as fast as a bullet, would reflect the sun's rays in a way that could make anyone think, UFO. In all, 2,850 OXCART test flights were flown out of Area 51 (while Colonel Slater was in charge)".

"That's a lot of UFO sightings!", Colonel Slater adds.

Those, so called revelations, which were in 2009 presented as breaking story, are nothing new. In fact that was a rehash of CIA's study, written by government historian Gerald K. Haines. It was published back in 1997 inside Studies in Intelligence, a classified journal for the intelligence community. The article in question was titled, "CIA's Role in the Study of UFOs, 1947-90". In one section of the study, there is a same story that will be published again 12 years later in LA Times article.

Haines claims, inside segment titled "CIA's U-2 and OXCART as UFOs," that many UFO sightings from the late 1950s and 1960s were caused by secret American spy planes. Of course, implications would be that most unknowns during Blue Book could be now explained by OXCART flights. So, in that way, it's a final solution of a UFO Phenomenon - it is "completely stripped from aura of mystery" (to borrow that famous quote from 1953).

On October 3rd, 2010, BBC broadcasted piece called "UFOs in Nevada", inside daily show "Newshour". As the name of the show says, it is devoted to summary of daily news world wide. Piece "UFOs in Nevada" talks about Area 51 "folklore". Keeping that in mind, I was not so interested about another popular speculation about Area 51, but I was curious to see how the anchor will justify the reason for broadcasting this story inside the show that deals with mainstream world news. As I mentioned above, last, so called "breaking story" on Area 51, was covered in media back in April 2009. There is nothing new or important that appeared in media after that. BBC even sent reporter Kevin Connelly to Nevada to report for this "newsworthy" piece. So, what are the legs for this story?

During announcement of the piece, Host Julian Marshall said that "(UFO) sightings are almost always happening near Air Bases". Could this be BBC's soft introduction to Robert Hastings conference on UFOs and Nukes? Great, I am so interested to hear their take on it. Naah! Reporter Kevin Connelly quickly destroys my hopes with Twilight Zone Tune. Soon, Connelly talks with Bill Ramsey, philosopher from University of Nevada, who is researching pseudo-scientific believes. Connelly adds that Ramsey would be regarded as a nut in town Rachell because he doesn't think that "aliens invented the toaster". So the stage is set.

In the second act, Connelly talks with Colonel Hugh Slater, ex commander of the base - yes the same Colonel Slater from the LA Times article published in April 2009. You guessed it right - BBC piece enriched us with the second rehash of the CIA's article. A-12 OXCART plane (bless him) is again star of the story - the plane that explains the unknowns.

Third act ends with the story of Priscilla Travis-Laudenklos that runs motel in Rachell. Priscilla states that she has a note "I'm not of your world", written on piece of paper. BBC ends the piece with the Star Trek tune.

Yes, my hopes were too high after all. So far there is not a single piece about UFO & Nukes conference broadcasted on BBC. But instead OXCART landed again in my lap as an explanation of unknowns. In fact, this piece doesn't have any connection with newsworthy developments inside the field (piece with different approach, for example, could include Leslie Kean's book or Robert Hastings conference - that would be by definition a newsworthy service - it would present events that are happening NOW and that are NEWS). But merging whole field with the silly aspects is visible again.

Happy listening - in case you have a good nerves.

Giuliano Marinkovic

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 5](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 13:52:31 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Tue, 05 Oct 2010 11:04:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 21:08:57 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 09:58:48 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>Mr. Friedman,

>I would like to offer a response and defense of the scientific  
>establishment here. For while some of your criticisms are  
>accurate, they are also infused with sarcasm belies a similar  
>confirmation bias in your response. This is Kuhn playing itself  
>out from both angles, without any reasonable common ground  
>between the two sides. Yet neither you nor Dr. Shostak know the  
>underlying reality here. Nor do I.

>>Obviously the SETI community is unwilling to spend any time  
>>reviewing the enormous amount of evidence that aliens have  
>>been visiting Earth for at least many decades if not millennia.

>>Super sentients: YES! Aliens: NO! is the motto here.

>Yes. Let's be clear, Dr Shostak and the scientific majority do  
>not view a "preponderance of the evidence" in the form of  
>witness testimony and unverified documents to be science. Real  
>scientists limit their factual statements highly specific claims  
>based on repeat observations of controlled phenomena. UFOs are  
>most certainly not under controls, and repetitions are  
>intermittent and rarely recorded by instruments. Professional  
>scientists should be highly skeptical. Further, since the  
>phenomena doesn't fit within the scientific method for study,  
>professional scientists are limited in what and how to perform  
>quantifiable research.

>That the majority have concluded - wrongly - that because they  
>can't controllably test the phenomena therefore it must not  
>exist is regrettable. Much time has been wasted. But it is not  
>unreasonable. The difference between your claims about  
>Roswell and the statements by >Dr. Shostak are very simple: he  
>is speculating; nothing more.

>>It is clear from their books (which I have read) and their  
>>articles and lectures which I have reviewed, that they  
>>essentially never review the UFO evidence such as the 5 large  
>>scale scientific studies, the many multiple witness radar visual  
>>cases, the physical trace cases involving reports not only of  
>>flying saucers on the ground, but the 15% of those cases  
>>involving reports of humanoids. They know nothing about national  
>>security.

>Where are these humanoids? I've never seen one. I saw a flying  
>saucer once; I definitely believe my own eyes. But I've never  
>seen a humanoid alien. And I've got to say, the speculative  
>arguments made by evolutionary biologists against the notion  
>of so many reports of different bilateral bipedal aliens make a

>lot of sense. The Pre-Cambrian explosion is just one example of  
>how weird the morphology of biological forms can take. Life  
>found in and around hydrothermal vents are another example of  
>life taking form and sustenance in ways that belie the notion of  
>repeating bilateral bipedalism throughout the nearby galaxy.  
>There is simply no reason to assume that life repeats form  
>across environments in that manner. From my perspective, those  
>scientists have a strong point. The UFO community offers nothing  
>to refute these arguments but testimony from alleged witnesses.

>So, the division here is: informed speculation vs. unverified  
>testimony. Who wins?

>>They also are unwilling to review the evidence of abductions.  
>>I can find no reason to accept proclamations from radio  
>>astronomers about the behavior of aliens, no less earthlings.

>>Of course the reason is obvious.

>>There is no need for listening for primitive signals from out  
>>there using technology compatible with ours. If aliens are  
>>visiting, as they surely are, SETI which is looking for signals  
>>not looking for aliens, is out of business. They are truly  
>>getting desperate. The collective rule is "Don't bother us with  
>>the facts, our minds are made up."

>>How pathetic and irrational.

>I could not disagree more. The SETI scientists have used what  
>technology was available to conduct a search you almost  
>certainly should approve of. Don't you want to find  
>intelligent life outside Earth? He's trying! He has more money  
>and resources than you, many smart scientists working very hard  
>to determine new methods for search, and decades of negative  
>findings behind him. I'm going to take a longshot guess that  
>he's never seen a disc in broad daylight. Why should he take  
>your word for it? Or anyone else's for that matter? And as for  
>digging through FIOA documents and reading journalistic (Good)  
>or historical (Nolan) accounts of the subject matter is simply  
>not what that guy does for a living.

>>From my perspective, his words are neither irrational nor  
>pathetic. Further, his insight on the potential for machine  
> cognition as a means for life in space fits the known UFO facts  
>just as well as those claims about biological entities. That is,  
>if one takes the conservative perspective of Kean - that one  
>should focus only on those cases with multiple simultaneous  
>witnesses, radar records, and other physical evidence, then  
>evidence for biological bipeds flying these things becomes  
>somewhat scant.

>I don't write this to personally insult you. I greatly admire  
>the hard work you put in collecting testimony and FOIA  
>documents. But I think your statements here show a belligerence  
>toward traditional space science that works at odds to your  
>stated purpose: to get to the bottom of this weird UFO mystery.  
>Shostack probably isn't interested in UFOs, but he does want to  
>find alien intelligence. In that regard, you both seek the same  
>ends.

I find the above missive quite fascinating and unreal. Let us  
face the simple fact that the SETI movement has provided no  
evidence whatsoever of any kind that there are ETs out there  
sending radio or laser signals here. None, zero, Zzilch. I  
certainly derive my conclusions from a great deal of evidence  
which they refuse to examine. For example, Project Blue Book  
Special Report 14 is an official USAF document (Not an  
unverified document) with information on 3201 sightings, more  
than 200 tables, charts, graphs and maps of data. It has  
categorizations of all the reports, quality evaluations, cross  
comparisons between UNKNOWNNS and KNOWNS. No sightings could be  
listed as UNKNOWN unless all 4 of the final report evaluators  
agreed it was. SETI has put no signals out for review.

A statistical cross comparison between KNOWNS and UNKNOWNNS  
showed that the probability, based on 6 different  
characteristics, that the UNKNOWNNS were just missed KNOWNS was  
less than 1%. The Better the quality of the sighting the more  
likely to be an UNKNOWN. The duration of observation for the  
UNKNOWNNS was greater than for the KNOWNS. Admittedly the  
Secretary of the Air Force lied about the report big time

claiming that only 3% of the cases could not be explained and that was because there wasn't enough data. In fact 21.5% could not be explained completely separate from the 9.3% listed as insufficient information. This is all discussed in "Flying Saucers and Science". Seth has a copy. Of course the SETI people refuse to examine the data. Perhaps JMG needs a refresher course.

Since when are witness statements not part of science?. Astronomers have made many observations of meteors in the air and use them to find them on the ground. They can't control or repeat observations of eclipses or solar storms or earthquakes.. They can and do record observations when the opportunity presents itself. Science is a method of approach to determine the truth. It can be used to evaluate data from automobile accidents, murders, airplane crashes even though they cannot be controlled or reproduced. One can determine lengths of skid marks, thickness of brake linings, alcohol levels in driver blood, weather conditions. Again where are the scientific observations and verified documents from the SETI people? We have multiple witness radar visual cases, photographs, physical trace cases with soil analysis, abductions with marks on the abductees. JMG you really need to do some homework or help them out. I will take the testimony of retired military officers with high level security clearances about simultaneous UFO observations over nuclear tipped missiles as the missiles go to a no-go situations. Leslie Kean's "UFOs" provides multiple witness/instrument confirmations. SETI has provided none.

I am highly skeptical and maintain a large gray basket. SETI specialists are skeptical about data they refuse to examine, but have no skepticism about the notion that ETs are sending radio signals using technology appropriate to our state of the art with less than 100 years of sophisticated radio technology. Apparently they think there will be no changes. I suppose they are still using slide rules for calculations and the pony express rather than computers and the internet for information transfer.. Skepticism surely doesn't mean one refuses to look at the evidence.

The Bolender memo is not an unverified document. The numerous whited out formerly TS code word NSA UFO documents are not unverified nor are the many heavily blacked out CIA UFO documents. The USAF admitted it ordered military pilots to shoot down UFOs if they refused to land when instructed to do so.

If there was no evidence that aliens were visiting, I suppose I could say why not look for radio signals?. But that evidence is plentiful. 30% of the cases investigated by the Condon crew could not be identified though they were certainly anxious to do so. I can't imagine that any serious scientist looking at the 41 cases reported by Dr. James E. McDonald in his congressional testimony could say there was nothing there, but that signals which haven't yet been observed after 5 decades of listening must be there and from aliens. The astronomers won't even reference astronomer Dr. J. Allan Hynek's UFO book though he was the USAF scientific consultant on UFOs for 20 years. What are they afraid of?

To data SETI has put out much noise but no signals. Ufology has provided plenty of signal winnowed from the noise.

Of course I have never seen a neutron or a gamma ray or Tokyo or South Africa or held a nuclear bomb, nor a piece of a black hole or of a neutron star. Nor an alien. How scientific is it to say if I haven't seen something, it doesn't exist? But if I want to receive a radio signal from ET it must exist?

SETI is the epitome of wishful thinking. It must feel terrible to be so desperate.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 5](#)

## Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 21:16:24 +0000  
Archived: Tue, 05 Oct 2010 11:08:48 -0400  
Subject: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

Dear Listers:

As promised the report on events in the skies over the Esplendor Hotel in Rio Rico. The event locale for the C-Seti conference.

First, a little background. For \$495.00 conference fee Steve Greer promised to teach participants how to signal ETs to be contacted. On top of the \$500.00 "training fee" was the cost of \$105.00 per night for a room. Plus, something called a Resort Fee of \$5.00 per guest. Plus, 7.6% Arizona room tax. Then, of course, there was the cost of meals for Friday, Saturday, Sunday, and Monday.

The nightly contact sessions were to take place between 8:00 p.m. and 12:00 a.m. out on the Sunset Patio. Because of the n.a.m.e, I will assume the Patio faced West.

I was out on my patio each night between 10:30 p.m. and 12:30 a.m.. Watching the skies. I had a clear view under the cloud cover all the way to Rio Rico about 20 miles as the crow flies. This is the desert, which means one can see for miles over the tops of low brush.

Friday night, around 11:05 p.m., a very noisy aircraft with four red running lights flew over using Highway 19 as a flight path - north to south direction. The only usual thing I noticed was that the running lights were not rotating - i.e., flashing. There was one red light on each wing, a red light on the tail and a red light on the nose. They were flying under the cloud cover. Later that night it rained.

Rainy conditions for both Saturday and Sunday during the viewing time at Rio Rico. When, every so often, the clouds parted the usual star constellations could be seen. In other words, nothing unusual.

I wondered if participants who must have forked over just under \$900.00 for the weekend felt cheated. Or, were the \$1 and \$2 drinks enough to produce a party atmosphere. That, and, to keep from getting bored, participating in Ricki Tiki's DJ-ing of the kareoke sessions. Is it possible to picture Richard Hoagland singing along with Angel Eyes?

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 5](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 10:34:37 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 05 Oct 2010 13:13:05 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 10:23:23 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sun, 3 Oct 2010 21:08:57 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Sat, 2 Oct 2010 09:58:48 -0700 (PDT)  
>>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>This point keeps coming up, that there are supposedly strong  
>scientific arguments that (biological) space aliens would not be  
>humanoid but some other unimaginable form. These arguments are  
>neither strong, informed, nor particularly scientific, at best  
>highly speculative, and also at odds with what we observe  
>evolution creating here on planet Earth, where natural selection  
>forces in particular environmental niches produce many examples  
>of convergent evolution of form. Or as the jingle goes, form  
>follows function.

>Rather than rewrite the many counterarguments to the "they can't  
>possibly look like us" argument, I'll quote from myself from  
>just last year. Notice a major constraint in all this is that we  
>are dealing with a technological, space-faring race able to  
>build machines that can get them here. Thus you can  
>automatically eliminate any life-form that cannot possibly  
>develop a technological civilization at some point, even if they  
>were very intelligent. Therefore, don't expect organic space  
>aliens visiting us to look like octopi or porpoises or sponges  
>or worms around underwater thermal vents. (However, all bets are  
>off for the form of cybernetic intelligent beings not subject to  
>normal evolutionary forces that shaped us.)

I'm always shocked, though I suppose I shouldn't be, that the  
they-can't-possibly-look-like-us argument is still afloat. I  
guess that in the absence of a particle of evidence for their  
own fantasy aliens, SETI types have to conjure up a priori  
reasons for their failure to look for what may be actual  
evidence much nearer home.

This paper remains essential reading, showing that not only  
could intelligent ETs look like us, they'd have to look like  
us to get here:

Michael D. Swords, "Science and the Extraterrestrial Hypothesis  
in Ufology." Journal of UFO Studies 1 (n.s., 1989): 67-102.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 5](#)

## Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 14:01:12 -0300  
Archived: Tue, 05 Oct 2010 13:17:56 -0400  
Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 21:16:24 +0000  
>Subject: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

<snip>

>I was out on my patio each night between 10:30 p.m. and 12:30  
>a.m.. Watching the skies. I had a clear view under the cloud  
>cover all the way to Rio Rico about 20 miles as the crow flies.  
>This is the desert, which means one can see for miles over the  
>tops of low brush.  
>  
>Friday night, around 11:05 p.m., a very noisy aircraft with four  
>red running lights flew over using Highway 19 as a flight path -  
>north to south direction. The only usual thing I noticed was  
>that the running lights were not rotating - i.e., flashing.  
>There was one red light on each wing, a red light on the tail  
>and a red light on the nose. They were flying under the cloud  
>cover. Later that night it rained.

Well, Greer is certainly no help to the study of this  
phenomenon, but more of a major embarrassment.

These red lights, as you have described, are non-standard (ICAO)  
navigation lights. Even if military, they have to display the  
proper lighting configuration while in civilian airspace.

ICAO standard light is, red-left, green-right, white to the  
rear. Each light must display 120 degrees (covering the full  
360). They don't have to strobe or flash - that is done to  
heighten the aircraft's visibiliy.

Red lights on the bottom must strobe and are required for night  
flying or Instrument Flight Rules. This configuration allows the  
pilot in a closing aircraft to determine his or her orientation  
to the aircraft approached. It is obvious then why standard  
lighting must prevail.

The only thing I can think of that close to the border is one of  
the 2 UAVs the Arizona State government purchased for border  
control. But displaying four red lights is still illegal and  
dangerous.

Rio Rico is about 9 miles North of the border so I suspect you  
are located anywhere around that perimeter that allows you to be  
20-miles from the city without being in Mexico. You didn't say  
what direction Rio Rico was from your position.

Rio Rico is sandwiched between the Fuzzy MOA ~ 9 miles to the  
West and R-2303B ~12 miles to the East. 39 miles due East of Rio  
Rico in R-2312 (a 4 mile restricted circle a few miles South of  
Serria Vista) there is a warning for an unmarked (no lights)  
tethered observation balloon at 15,000 feet MSL; no doubt for  
border patrol purposes.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 18:44:32 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 07:27:13 -0400  
Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 13:17:56 -0400  
>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 14:01:12 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 21:16:24 +0000  
>>Subject: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

><snip>

>>I was out on my patio each night between 10:30 p.m. and 12:30  
>>a.m.. Watching the skies. I had a clear view under the cloud  
>>cover all the way to Rio Rico about 20 miles as the crow flies.  
>>This is the desert, which means one can see for miles over the  
>>tops of low brush.

>>Friday night, around 11:05 p.m., a very noisy aircraft with four  
>>red running lights flew over using Highway 19 as a flight path -  
>>north to south direction. The only usual thing I noticed was  
>>that the running lights were not rotating - i.e., flashing.  
>>There was one red light on each wing, a red light on the tail  
>>and a red light on the nose. They were flying under the cloud  
>>cover. Later that night it rained.

>Well, Greer is certainly no help to the study of this  
>phenomenon, but more of a major embarrassment.

>These red lights, as you have described, are non-standard (ICAO)  
>navigation lights. Even if military, they have to display the  
>proper lighting configuration while in civilian airspace.

KK's response: This aircraft did not. I believe I pointed  
out that this was not the usual pattern.

>  
>ICAO standard light is, red-left, green-right, white to the  
>rear. Each light must display 120 degrees (covering the full  
>360). They don't have to strobe or flash - that is done to  
>heighten the aircraft's visibiliy.

KK's response: Yeah, it is the reason I pointed out the  
lights were all red and not rotating.

>  
>Red lights on the bottom must strobe and are required for night  
>flying or Instrument Flight Rules. This configuration allows the  
>pilot in a closing aircraft to determine his or her orientation  
>to the aircraft approached. It is obvious then why standard  
>lighting must prevail.

>  
>The only thing I can think of that close to the border is one of  
>the 2 UAVs the Arizona State government purchased for border  
>control. But displaying four red lights is still illegal and  
>dangerous.

Don, this is the desert Southwest. The wild west where it is legal to carry a gun in holster into a bar or restaurant. Possibly I was watching a drug cartel plane flying up to Tucson.

What about Davis-Monthan AFB and the type of craft they may be flying - testing for Raytheon. I believe I stated that the aircraft was very noisy, as opposed to the almost silent flight of UAVs. So, no, it was not one of the two UAVs owned by the State of Arizona. However, it might be one of the Feds support craft.

>Rio Rico is about 9 miles North of the border so I suspect you >are located anywhere around that perimeter that allows you to be >20-miles from the city without being in Mexico. You didn't say >what direction Rio Rico was from your position.

I am 29 minutes north of Rio Rico which is a few miles south of Tubac,

>Rio Rico is sandwiched between the Fuzzy MOA ~ 9 miles to the >West and R-2303B ~12 miles to the East. 39 miles due East of Rio >Rico in R-2312 (a 4 mile restricted circle a few miles South of >Serria Vista) there is a warning for an unmarked (no lights) >tethered observation balloon at 15,000 feet MSL; no doubt for >border patrol purposes.

I think you are referring to mobile phone use. If so, I have to wonder what you are getting at. That it would be okay to have all red lights, not the usual red and green flashing. Or, did C-Seti get their wish and witness a ufo flying in the skies over southern Arizona?

BTW: last night, if a viewing session took place off the Sunset Patio at Esplendor, the participants would have had a spectacular view of the storm that rolled in from south of the border. It might have been fun to put on a couple of sweaters, take a large mug of hot chocolate and sit on the patio.

Just the usual commercial jet at 30,000 feet on its flight from Mexico City to Chicago around 10:40 PM. It appeared just head of the storm clouds which tailed behind the plane.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## New UFO Documentary On Line

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 21:34:13 +0100  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 07:30:36 -0400  
Subject: New UFO Documentary On Line

A free documentary UFO movie called The Day Before Disclosure to watch here from Norwegian Terje Toftenes.

I've watched some and although on my PC at least, the sound was out of sync, it looks a good composite of material with all the usual suspects (smile) including Stan Friedman, Richard Dolan, Robert Hastings, Nick Pope and the late Wendelle Stevens to name only a few.

Below is an email from the film-maker with a link to the movie.

Dave Haith

-----

From: Terje Toftenes  
Sent: Tuesday, October 05, 2010 6:10 PM  
Subject: Free Screening Of The Day Before Disclosure

Hi all

Here's a free screening in HD of the award winning UFO-documentary "The Day Before Disclosure!"

We have decided to put out the film for free, because we want the world to see whats going on.

It can be viewed at this link:

[www.thedaybeforedisclosure.com](http://www.thedaybeforedisclosure.com)

or

<http://tiny.cc/hpx6i>

We welcome everyone who wants to be a part of the disclosure movement, or who just is curious.

Please spread the word to all the sceptics you know.

Best regards,

Terje Toftenes  
Producer/Director

NewParadigmFilms  
Fekjan 13  
N-1394 Nesbru  
Norway

tlf: +47- 905 85 525 / 66906400  
[terje.nul](mailto:terje.nul)  
[www.newparadigm.no](http://www.newparadigm.no)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 03:17:42 +0100  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 07:31:49 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 10:23:23 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

<snip.

>So why shouldn't at least some aliens resemble basic human form?  
>The big point here is that not everything goes when it comes to  
>form for an intelligent space-faring race. We shouldn't expect  
>alien starfish or sea urchins or dolphins to emerge from UFOs,  
>because they would never be capable of building a technological  
>civilization, much less space-flight.

At an intuitive level I have to agree with this, but I'm still left with a nagging doubt. There's a series of deeply-buried assumptions in it all that bothers me. Our entire evolution has been locked into the particular set of conditions that is peculiar to our planet. Those conditions start from the biochemistry of life as we conceive it, and run right on through the evolutionary trail to the specifics of our culture, civilisation and technology, determining what will and what will not work in our environment.

It is easy to assume that these conditions form the unique set of parameters within which life, sentience and intelligence can occur. I like to think of this as the 'terracentric conceit', and I believe we need to shake this off a little in order to get a sophisticated view of what might be possible.

Our thinking is still at a stage where these key terms - 'life', 'sentience' 'intelligence' - are loaded with all sorts of baggage, scientific superstitions not least amongst them. These phenomena might best be viewed simply as various manifestations of complexity. The truth is, however, that we currently have no way of knowing how many wildly differing sets of environmental conditions might support these manifestations of complexity. If this is so, then we might also be advised to approach with some humility the issue of how some further manifestations of complexity like 'culture', 'civilisation' and 'technology' might arise. We just don't know enough to be able to know what could or could not happen.

I'm definitely not putting forward a case for intelligent sea urchins from Alpha Centauri here, but, having said that, if evolution has any sense of humour, the day might come when our exopoliticians will face the daunting task of establishing diplomatic relations with a race of hyper-intelligent starfish. Doubtless Dr. Greer will offer an expensive three-day cultural orientation course that covers sensitive issues like the importance of keeping echinoderms off the menu when entertaining their dignitaries at state banquets.... --

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## Re: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 20:18:11 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 08:01:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

>From: Giuliano Marinkovic <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 21:52:28 +0200  
>Subject: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

>BBC's broadcast yesterday - a new piece on UFOs:

>Audio: UFOs in Nevada - BBC, A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

>BBC World Service  
>Program: Newshour  
>Title: UFOs In Nevada - .mp3

><http://tinyurl.com/39eg4jg>

>UFOs in Nevada - BBC, A-12 OXCART and CIA study

>As far as I am aware, last mainstream story on Area 51 goes back  
>to April 2009, when LA Times published article The Road To Area  
>51, where ex-workers and engineers from Groom Lake, Colonel Hugh  
>Slater, Edward Lovick, Kenneth Collins and Thornton Barnes,  
>declared that high number of UFO sightings during cold war could  
>be explained as test flights of top-secret Lockheed spy  
>reconnaissance plane code-named OXCART. The OXCART was in  
>operation from 1963 until 1968. In LA Times article, Annie  
>Jacobsen writes that "commercial pilots cruising over Nevada at  
>dusk would look up and see the bottom of OXCART whiz by at  
>2,000-plus mph. The aircraft's titanium body, moving as fast as  
>a bullet, would reflect the sun's rays in a way that could make  
>anyone think, UFO. In all, 2,850 OXCART test flights were flown  
>out of Area 51 (while Colonel Slater was in charge)".

>"That's a lot of UFO sightings!", Colonel Slater adds.

>Those, so called revelations, which were in 2009 presented as  
>breaking story, are nothing new. In fact that was a rehash of  
>.CIA's study, written by government historian Gerald K. Haines.  
>It was published back in 1997 inside Studies in Intelligence, a  
>classified journal for the intelligence community. The article  
>in question was titled, "CIA's Role in the Study of UFOs, 1947-  
>90". In one section of the study, there is a same story that  
>will be published again 12 years later in LA Times article.

>Haines claims, inside segment titled "CIA's U-2 and OXCART as  
>UFOs," that many UFO sightings from the late 1950s and 1960s  
>were caused by secret American spy planes. Of course,  
>implications would be that most unknowns during Blue Book could  
>be now explained by OXCART flights. So, in that way, it's a  
>final solution of a UFO Phenomenon - it is "completely stripped  
>from aura of mystery" (to borrow that famous quote from 1953).

<snip>

In the event that the reader of this message might question the  
claim that the U-2 flights explained a lot of UFO sightings in  
the 50's and afterward, I draw your attention to "CIA's  
Explanation is Preposterous" published in response to the Haines  
article.

[http://www.brumac.8k.com/cia\\_explanation.html](http://www.brumac.8k.com/cia_explanation.html)

(Yes, I know "explanation" is spelled incorrectly!)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## UFOB/CIRVIS/USAF F-84Fs

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 15:42:19 +0700 (GMT+07:00)  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 08:03:53 -0400  
Subject: UFOB/CIRVIS/USAF F-84Fs

PROJECT BLUE BOOK - UFOB/CIRVIS/USAF F-84F'S & ALL FIVE MEMBERS  
OF THUNDERBIRDS TEAM/NW OF NEW BERN, NC - 2 MAY 56

VZCZCUHA702  
PP RUCICSA RUEOEHA RUMKC  
DE RUMOUHA 3702 2770751  
ZNR UUUUU  
RUCICSA T AUTODIN OPNET  
RUEOEHA T RULPALJ  
P R 040648Z OCT 10  
FM COMMCEN CAMP SAMAE SAN TH//TERRY C//  
TO RUCICSA/AUTODIN OPNET//COMM CENTER-2//  
RUMKC/AIG 600  
INFO ZEN2/CDRUSARSUPTHAI CAMP SAMAE SAN TH//S-2/PAO/MEDTC/CILTHAI//  
RUEOEHA/USCINCSO QUARRY HEIGHTS PN//SCJ2/INTAFF/POLAD/NCR/SCJ6/SSO//  
BT  
UNCLAS  
RC096  
WPA125 YBA073 TYA072 YMB040 FLA059  
(XX) RJEDEEN RJEDEW RJEPEY RJEPEH  
DE RJEPEL 28  
(X) 141030Z (MAY 56)  
FM COMHEDUSAF BOLLING AFB WASHDC  
TO RJEDEEN/COMDR ADC ENT AFB COLO  
RJEPEY/COMDR 26TH ADIV ROSLYN AF STA NY  
RJEDEW/COMDR AIR TECH INTEL CENTER WPAFB OHIO  
RJEPEH/DIR OF INTL HEDUSAF WASHDC  
BT  
UNCLAS FROM KOOP1 0620-E

PAGE 02 RUMOUHA3702 UNCLAS  
"UFOB" RE PARA 7 AFR 200-2. (1) A. ROUND CYLINDRICAL. B. PENCIL  
ERASER. C. SILVERY WHITE. D. ONE. E. N/A. F. CLEAR AND WHITE  
EDGES CONTRASTED WITH BLUE SKY. G. NEGATIVE. H. NEGATIVE. I.  
NEGATIVE. (2) A. ROUTINE IN FLIGHT SURVEILLANCE. B. 35 DEGREES  
ABOVE 30,000 FOOT FLIGHT LEVEL (XX) 070 DEGREES AZIMUTH. C. DID  
NOT DISAPPEAR. D. STATIONARY. E. N/A. F. 55 MINUTES. (3) A. AIR-  
VISUAL. B. NEGATIVE. C. F84F 525771/ (XXXXXX) /30 DEGREES/ 340  
IAS/ 510 TAS/ LUKE AFB. (4) A. 1414 02 MAY. B. MAY. (5) 35  
DEGREES 10 MINUTES NORTH AND 81 DEGREES WEST TO 50 DEGREES (XX)  
MINUTES NORTH 77 DEGREES 15 MINUTES WEST/ 80 KN SSW WINSTON  
SALEM TO QUANTICO. (6) MAJ J M BROUGHTON, FLIGHT LEADER,  
THUNDERBIRDS, CAPT K. D. FL(XXXXX), CAPT B. J. ELLES, LT R. D.  
ANDERSON, LT W. R. POGUE, ALL MEMBERS OF THUNDERBIRD ACROBATIC  
TEAM STATIONED AT LUKE AFB RELIA- BILITY TWO. (7) FORECASTED  
WINDS 270 DEGREES 60 KNOTS ACTUAL WINDS 200 DEGREES 50 KNOTS  
CLEAR NO OBSTRUCTION. (8) NEGATIVE. (9) NEGATIVE. (10) NEGATIVE.  
(11) DIRECTOR OF PLANS AND INTELLIGENCE HEDCOM. THIS OBJECT WAS  
SEEN BY ALL FIVE MEMBERS OF THE THUNDERBIRDS TEAM FOR A PERIOD  
OF 35 MINUTES. ITS IMMOBILITY BRILLIANT SILVER COLOR AND  
EXTREMELY HIGH ALTITUDE INDICATE THE POSSIBILITY OF AN  
ASTRONOMICAL BODY. MAJOR BROUGHTON STATES THAT HE SAW A VERY  
SIMILAR OBJECT IN 1949 AT LAS VEGAS AND IT WAS REPORTED BY A COL  
MARTIN COMMANDER OF THE GUNNER

PAGE 03 RUMOUHA3702 UNCLAS  
SQUADRON. ALL STATE THAT OBJECT WAS BRIGHTER THAN A NIGHT STAR.  
BT  
14/1910Z MAY RJEPBL  
((PROJECT BLUE BOOK - UFOB/CIRVIS/USAF F-84F'S & ALL FIVE MEMBERS  
OF THUNDERBIRDS TEAM/NW OF NEW BERN, NC - 2 MAY 56))  
BT  
#3702

NNNN

Terry W. Colvin  
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand  
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand  
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>  
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## Blue Book - Flying Saucer/Canadian Baptist Mission/

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 15:44:43 +0700 (GMT+07:00)  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 08:06:19 -0400  
Subject: Blue Book - Flying Saucer/Canadian Baptist Mission/

Project Blue Book - Flying Saucer/Canadian Baptist Mission/  
Vuyyuru, Andras State, India - 27 APR 56

VZCZCUHA703  
PP RUCICSA RUEOEHA RUMKC  
DE RUMOUHA 3703 2770808  
ZNR UUUUU  
RUCICSA T AUTODIN OPNET  
RUEOEHA T RULPALJ  
P R 040721Z OCT 10  
FM COMMCCEN CAMP SAMAE SAN TH//TERRY C//  
TO RUCICSA/AUTODIN OPNET//COMMCCENTER-2//  
RUMKC/AIG 600  
INFO ZEN2/CDRUSARSUPTHAI CAMP SAMAE SAN TH//S-2/PAO/MEDTC/CILTHAI//  
RUEOEHA/USCINCSO QUARRY HEIGHTS PN//SCJ2/INTAFF/POLAD/NCR/SCJ6/SSO//  
BT  
UNCLAS  
AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT  
COUNTRY: INDIA  
REPORT NO: IR-297-56  
DATE OF INFORMATION: 27 APR 1956  
ACTIVITY: OFFICE OF THE AIR ATTACHE, NEW DELHI, INDIA  
DATE OF COLLECTION: 7 MAY 1956  
PREPARING: MAJOR JAMES G. BROOKS, ASSISTANT AIR ATTACHE  
DATE OF REPORT: 8 MAY 1956  
SOURCE: CANADIAN BAPTIST MISSION, ANDRHA STATE, INDIA  
EVALUATION: B-2  
REF: BAIR 3A  
SUBJECT: (UNCL) REPORT OF FLYING SAUCER

PAGE 02 RUMOUHA3703 UNCLAS  
SUMMARY: THE LETTER INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT WAS WRITTEN BY MR.  
(XXXXXXXX), A CANADIAN BAPTIST MISSIONARY FROM ANDHRA STATE,  
INDIA TO MR. ALAN TAYLOR, EDITOR, "THE MAIL", MADRAS, INDIA AND  
CONTAINS HIS INTERPRETATIONS OF AN UNIDENTIFIED-FLYING-OBJECT  
INCIDENT WHICH HE PERSONALLY WITNESSED ALONG WITH  
ABOUT FIFTY OTHER PERSONS.

JAMES G. BROOKS  
MAJOR, USAF  
ASSISTANT AIR ATTACHE

APPROVED:  
/SIGNATURE/  
WOODROW H. RAMSEY  
COLONEL, USAF  
AIR ATTACHE

-----PAGE 2-----

CANADIAN BAPTIST MISSION  
VUYYURU, KISTNA DIST.  
ANDHRA STATE, INDIA  
SECRETARY

PAGE 03 RUMOUHA3703 UNCLAS

REV (XXXXXXXXXX), B.A., B.D.  
27 APRIL 1956

MR. (XXXXX)  
(XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX)  
(XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX)  
MADRAS, 2

DEAR TAYLOR, -

I HAVE SEEN MY FIRST FLYING SAUCER TO-NIGHT, ONLY IT WAS MORE LIKE FLYING CIGARS. BEING OF A PERFECTLY SOBER AND SOUND MIND I SET BEFORE YOU THE FACTS, WHICH WERE WITNESSED BY AT LEAST FIFTY TO SIXTY PEOPLE SITTING WITH ME. DATE, -27 APRIL 56, PLACE, - VUYURU KRISHNA, TIME 2031 HOURS, AS I WAS ARRANGING MY PROJECTORS TO GIVE AN OUTSIDE SHOWING OF SOME PICTURES. WHILE SITTING WAITING SMALL ADJUSTMENTS TO BE MADE, I RAISED MY EYES AND SAW COMING DOWN FROM THE NORTH AT A HEIGHT OF PERHAPS 3,500 FEET A RATHER MISTY LUMINOUS FORM WHICH SEEMED LIKE THREE LONGISH CIGARS TRAVELLING IN FORMATION. THE FORMATION WAS COMING DUE SOUTH AND CAME DOWN TO ABOUT 2,500 FEET, AND JUST BEFORE IT GOT TO WHERE WE WERE SITTING IT WHEELED

PAGE 04 RUMOUHA3703 UNCLAS  
IN A RATHER SHARP ARC, STILL LOSING HEIGHT AND TURNED IN ESE DIRECTION. ON THE ACTUAL TURN, INSTEAD OF THREE CIGAR SHAPES, THERE APPEARED FIVE, THE TWO ADDITIONAL BEING AS IF TO THE SIDES. THE GENERAL FORMATION WAS TRIANGULAR, ALTHOUGH ONE WOULD SHOOT AHEAD OF THE OTHER MOMENTARILY, AND THEN FALL BACK AGAIN INTO FORMATIONAL FLYING. THE FORMATION TOOK 22 SECONDS, - BY COUNT RATHER THAN STOP-WATCH - TO PASS OVER AND WAS ABSOLUTELY SILENT. NO NOISE OF ANY KIND WAS HEARD. THEN THE FORMATION SIMPLY DISAPPEARED. SPEED MIGHT BE ESTIMATED AT PERHAPS 200 MPH. THE FLIGHT DID NOT SIMULATE JET FORMATIONS, IN SPEED, ALTHOUGH THERE WAS A SLIGHTLY LUMINOUS TAIL FOLLOWING EACH OF THE FORMS. IN A SENSE THEY REMINDED ME OF THE LUMINOUS APPEARANCE OF DOLPHINS AT PLAY IN THE RED SEA, ONLY INSTEAD OF LOOKING DOWN, WE HAD TO LOOK UP.

NO ATTEMPT IS MADE TO DIAGNOSE WHAT APPEARED, THE FACTS AS RECORDED BY ME IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE APPEARANCE ARE HEREWITH WRITTEN DOWN. INTERPRE- TATIONS, I LEAVE TO THE MORE ENLIGHTENED, OR THOSE WITH BIGGER OR BETTER IMAGINATIONS.

YOURS SINCERELY,

/S/ (XXXXXXXXXX)

PAGE 05 RUMOUHA3703 UNCLAS  
ADDITIONAL DATA:  
ATMOSPHERE - SLIGHTLY HAZY  
BAROMETER - (ANEROID) 29.78"  
TEMPERATURE - 86.5 DEG. F  
SOUND - SILENT AS DEATH  
RADIO - RECEPTION ALMOST COMPLETELY  
          BLACKED OUT EXCEPT LOCAL AND  
          RADIO CEYLON PLUS A RUSSIAN  
          STATION  
((PROJECT BLUE BOOK - FLYING SAUCER/CANADIAN BAPTIST MISSION/  
VUYURU, ANDRAS STATE, INDIA - 27 APR 56))  
BT  
#3703

NNNN

Terry W. Colvin  
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand  
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand  
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>  
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## News Links - 05-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 11:15:11 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 11:15:11 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 05-10-10

News Links - 05-10-10

2005: Roswell Connection Reflected On After UFO Experience  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/27jlrpe>

UFO Closes Chinese Airport + Video  
Telegraph.co.uk  
<http://tinyurl.com/23xl9ew>

Vale Residents Report Two Separate Ufo Sightings  
Evesham Journal  
<http://tinyurl.com/38cdyhr>

Hasting's On Washington Post's Poor Showing Last Week  
<http://tinyurl.com/36ewhpg>

Close Encounters With UFOs (Unexpectedly Furious Observers)  
Washington Post  
<http://tinyurl.com/2c6w9z2>

UFO Photo Proof?  
NTNews.com.au  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bj8fus>

Arrival Of Geminids Meteor Shower Means More UFO Contacts  
HULIQ  
<http://tinyurl.com/34vnlzd>

UFO Sightings On The Rise In China, With More Predicted  
The Epoch Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/3xbk2qj>

Disturbing Phenomena: Ignoring The UFO Disclosure Project  
Xomba  
<http://tinyurl.com/2cgggds>

NASA's Alien Anomalies Caught On Film - A Compilation Of  
Stunning UFO Footage From NASA's Archives  
YouTube.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/34r8me3>

Is It A UFO? Well, If It Is Unidentified And It Is Flying And It  
Is Object - Yes!  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/2esmxxf>

UFO At Baptism Of Christ  
<http://tinyurl.com/22syxrl>

And The Meek Shall Inherit The Earth  
UFODigest  
<http://tinyurl.com/392nkw8>

The Future Of Cargo Transport Is A Slow Aussie UFO  
Gizmodo

<http://tinyurl.com/33m3u6e>

From John Greenwald's Black Vault:

Update Newsletter for October 3, 2010

<http://tinyurl.com/2acnvzh>

From The 'Norm':

Beyond The Rhodes Photographs  
by Kevin Randle

<http://tinyurl.com/3xda9ew>

Rolling Across The Rocky Plains Of Mars

<http://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap101004.html>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 09:35:35 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 11:16:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 14:01:12 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>Well, Greer is certainly no help to the study of this  
>phenomenon, but more of a major embarrassment.

>These red lights, as you have described, are non-standard (ICAO)  
>navigation lights. Even if military, they have to display the  
>proper lighting configuration while in civilian airspace.

>ICAO standard light is, red-left, green-right, white to the  
>rear. Each light must display 120 degrees (covering the full  
>360). They don't have to strobe or flash - that is done to  
>heighten the aircraft's visibiliy.

>Red lights on the bottom must strobe and are required for night  
>flying or Instrument Flight Rules. This configuration allows the  
>pilot in a closing aircraft to determine his or her orientation  
>to the aircraft approached. It is obvious then why standard  
>lighting must prevail.

>The only thing I can think of that close to the border is one of  
>the 2 UAVs the Arizona State government purchased for border  
>control. But displaying four red lights is still illegal and  
>dangerous.

What studies have there been that concentrate on collecting and  
analyzing the characteristics and locations of lights seen on  
the various shapes of apparently structured objects?

Carol Maltby

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## Yahoo "Trending Now"

From: **Joe Merrell** <[joe.nul](mailto:joe.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 07:34:57 -0700  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 11:49:33 -0400  
Subject: Yahoo "Trending Now"

Hi there:

This probably varies from region to region, but "UFO Sightings" is the number one in the "Trending Now" list of search topics on the Yahoo! home page.

Joe Merrell

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## Re: Yahoo "Trending Now"

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 09:05:10 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 12:21:38 -0400  
Subject: Re: Yahoo "Trending Now"

>From: Joe Merrell <[joe.nul](mailto:joe.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 07:34:57 -0700  
>Subject: Yahoo "Trending Now"

>This probably varies from region to region, but "UFO Sightings"  
>is the number one in the "Trending Now" list of search topics on  
>the Yahoo! home page.

Yes, there is a huge spike on my web site (like 20 times  
normal), nearly all coming from Yahoo "UFO Sightings", images.

Anybody know what's going on?

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## Re: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 14:33:19 +0200  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 12:42:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 20:18:11 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

>>From: Giuliano Marinkovic <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 21:52:28 +0200  
>>Subject: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

>>BBC's broadcast yesterday - a new piece on UFOs:

>>Audio: UFOs in Nevada - BBC, A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

>>BBC World Service  
>>Program: Newshour  
>>Title: UFOs In Nevada - .mp3

>><http://tinyurl.com/39eg4jg>

>>UFOs in Nevada - BBC, A-12 OXCART and CIA study

<snip>

>>Haines claims, inside segment titled "CIA's U-2 and OXCART as  
>>UFOs," that many UFO sightings from the late 1950s and 1960s  
>>were caused by secret American spy planes. Of course,  
>>implications would be that most unknowns during Blue Book could  
>>be now explained by OXCART flights.

<snip>

>In the event that the reader of this message might question the  
>claim that the U-2 flights explained a lot of UFO sightings in  
>the 50's and afterward, I draw your attention to "CIA's  
>Explanation is Preposterous" published in response to the Haines  
>article.

>[http://www.brumac.8k.com/cia\\_explanation.html](http://www.brumac.8k.com/cia_explanation.html)

<snip>

Great addendum to the story, Bruce.

Also here are comments from Robert Friend himself, ex-head of  
Blue Book, to Mark Rodegheir of CUFOS. His comments were  
published in Rodegheir's article "The CIA's UFO History" for IUR  
edition in fall, 1997.

Friend comments are included in the section titled Spy Planes  
And UFOs.

This is small quote:

"I (Mark Rodeghier) read to him (Robert Friend) the discussion  
by Haines... and then asked for his comment. Almost the first  
words he said were that it is "absolutely not true" that he or  
his Blue Book team were covering up spy flights as alleged by  
Haines. He found the whole idea laughable, and he knew Blue Book

did not receive more reports from pilots and air traffic controllers after the U-2 began flying."

Complete article is available online also here:

[http://www.cufos.org/IUR\\_article3.html](http://www.cufos.org/IUR_article3.html)

Best Wishes

Giuliano

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## Re: Yahoo "Trending Now"

From: Terry Groff <[terry.nul](mailto:terry.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 11:31:58 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 12:55:22 -0400  
Subject: Re: Yahoo "Trending Now"

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 09:05:10 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Yahoo "Trending Now"

>>From: Joe Merrell <[joe.nul](mailto:joe.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 07:34:57 -0700  
>>Subject: Yahoo "Trending Now"

>>This probably varies from region to region, but "UFO Sightings"  
>>is the number one in the "Trending Now" list of search topics on  
>>the Yahoo! home page.

>Yes, there is a huge spike on my web site (like 20 times  
>normal), nearly all coming from Yahoo "UFO Sightings", images.

>Anybody know what's going on?

I just looked and "UFO Sightings" has been edged out by "Gary  
Coleman". :-)

Terry Groff

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 14:42:00 -0300  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 14:44:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 09:35:35 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 14:01:12 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>Well, Greer is certainly no help to the study of this  
>>phenomenon, but more of a major embarrassment.

>>These red lights, as you have described, are non-standard (ICAO)  
>>navigation lights. Even if military, they have to display the  
>>proper lighting configuration while in civilian airspace.

>>ICAO standard light is, red-left, green-right, white to the  
>>rear. Each light must display 120 degrees (covering the full  
>>360). They don't have to strobe or flash - that is done to  
>>heighten the aircraft's visibiliy.

>>Red lights on the bottom must strobe and are required for night  
>>flying or Instrument Flight Rules. This configuration allows the  
>>pilot in a closing aircraft to determine his or her orientation  
>>to the aircraft approached. It is obvious then why standard  
>>lighting must prevail.

>>The only thing I can think of that close to the border is one of  
>>the 2 UAVs the Arizona State government purchased for border  
>>control. But displaying four red lights is still illegal and  
>>dangerous.

>What studies have there been that concentrate on collecting and  
>analyzing the characteristics and locations of lights seen on  
>the various shapes of apparently structured objects?

I am aware of no studies, Carol. But then again, little  
attention is paid to federally/internationally regulated  
navigation and identification lights on aircraft.

Example: KK responded to my last on this thread, clearly  
indicating a lack of understanding of these regulations, by  
assuming that Arizona law superceeded Federal FAA regulations.  
It doesn't.

If anyone has information re. studies of lighted phenomenon I'd  
be interested in seeing it.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 17:00:30 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 17:54:38 -0400  
Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 14:42:00 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 09:35:35 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>What studies have there been that concentrate on collecting and  
>>analyzing the characteristics and locations of lights seen on  
>>the various shapes of apparently structured objects?

>I am aware of no studies, Carol. But then again, little  
>attention is paid to federally/internationally regulated  
>navigation and identification lights on aircraft.

>Example: KK responded to my last on this thread, clearly  
>indicating a lack of understanding of these regulations, by  
>assuming that Arizona law superceeded Federal FAA regulations.  
>It doesn't.

>If anyone has information re. studies of lighted phenomenon I'd  
>be interested in seeing it.

I'm quite astonished to hear that there don't appear to be  
studies specifically analyzing the lights that are reported on  
unidentified aerial craft. You'd think this would be a useful  
tool for comparing sightings, and ruling out known craft.

Carol Maltby

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 6](#)

## Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 16:40:48 -0300  
Archived: Wed, 06 Oct 2010 17:58:58 -0400  
Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 18:44:32 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 13:17:56 -0400  
>>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Subject: UFO Update: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 14:01:12 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

<snip>

>>ICAO standard light is, red-left, green-right, white to the  
>>rear. Each light must display 120 degrees (covering the full  
>>360). They don't have to strobe or flash - that is done to  
>>heighten the aircraft's visibiliy.

>Yeah, it is the reason I pointed out the  
>lights were all red and not rotating.

>>Red lights on the bottom must strobe and are required for night  
>>flying or Instrument Flight Rules. This configuration allows the  
>>pilot in a closing aircraft to determine his or her orientation  
>>to the aircraft approached. It is obvious then why standard  
>>lighting must prevail.

>>The only thing I can think of that close to the border is one of  
>>the 2 UAVs the Arizona State government purchased for border  
>>control. But displaying four red lights is still illegal and  
>>dangerous.

>Don, this is the desert Southwest. The wild west where it is  
>legal to carry a gun in holster into a bar or restaurant.  
>Possibly I was watching a drug cartel plane flying up to Tucson.

Drug airplanes don't display lights. As for it being Arizona,  
that state doesn't determine what lights have to be displayed by  
aircraft. It's Federal Aviation Agency's responsibility. Arizona  
has no say in it.

>What about Davis-Monthan AFB and the type of craft they may be  
>flying - testing for Raytheon. I believe I stated that the  
>aircraft was very noisy, as opposed to the almost silent flight  
>of UAVs. So, no, it was not one of the two UAVs owned by the  
>State of Arizona. However, it might be one of the Fed's support  
>craft.

They still display the usual lights. In fact if it is secret  
testin it would behoove them to display standard nav lights to  
ward of suspicion. Fed support craft as well display  
conventional lighting.

>>Rio Rico is about 9 miles North of the border so I suspect you  
>>are located anywhere around that perimeter that allows you to be

>>20-miles from the city without being in Mexico. You didn't say  
>>what direction Rio Rico was from your position.

>I am 29 minutes north of Rio Rico which is a few miles south of  
>Tubac,

>>Rio Rico is sandwiched between the Fuzzy MOA ~ 9 miles to the  
>>West and R-2303B ~12 miles to the East. 39 miles due East of Rio  
>>Rico in R-2312 (a 4 mile restricted circle a few miles South of  
>>Serria Vista) there is a warning for an unmarked (no lights)  
>>tethered observation balloon at 15,000 feet MSL; no doubt for  
>>border patrol purposes.

>I think you are referring to mobile phone use.

No, I'm referring to a lockdown system platform along the  
US/Mexico border. It's in a Restricted Zone (R-2312) within  
Restricted zone R-2303B.

>If so, I have to  
>wonder what you are getting at. That it would be okay to have  
>all red lights, not the usual red and green flashing. Or, did C-  
>Seti get their wish and witness a ufo flying in the skies over  
>southern Arizona?

I laid that out above re ICAO standards for nav lights. No I am  
not saying it is okay to display all red lights in civilian  
airspace - it is illegal - and not even SOP for restricted  
military airspace either. The AF uses recognition lights,  
clusters of LEDs in muted green and blue/purple pastel shades  
that they use to formate on each other during night missions-  
particularly military helicopters.

These LEDs should not excite anyone however because they have  
very low visibility ( for obvious reasons) and displayed on the  
aircraft so as not to be visible from the ground unless they  
were 50 feet off the deck in the first place where your lawn sod  
being peeled back by jet exhaust would probably tip you off  
anyway as to what you were seeing. The lights are displayed as 2  
foot long by 4 inch wide bands at various angles and in  
different combinations to identify particular aircraft in a  
flight.

They are meant to be lined up with certain marks or structure of  
the canopy (or helicopter cabin windows) so as to formate on  
each other while flying at night or in marginal weather.

>BTW: last night, if a viewing session took place off the Sunset  
>Patio at Esplendor, the participants would have had a  
>spectacular view of the storm that rolled in from south of the  
>border. It might have been fun to put on a couple of sweaters,  
>take a large mug of hot chocolate and sit on the patio.

I must admit that it impressed me how cold it gets at night down  
there despite the high temps during the day.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 7](#)

## Re: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 22:58:44 +0100  
Archived: Thu, 07 Oct 2010 07:39:10 -0400  
Subject: Re: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

>From: Giuliano Marinkovic <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 21:52:28 +0200  
>Subject: BBC On A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

>BBC's broadcast yesterday - a new piece on UFOs:

>Audio: UFOs in Nevada - BBC, A-12 OXCART & CIA Study

>BBC World Service  
>Program: Newshour  
>Title: UFOs In Nevada - .mp3

><http://tinyurl.com/39eg4jq>

>UFOs in Nevada - BBC, A-12 OXCART and CIA study

>As far as I am aware, last mainstream story on Area 51 goes back  
>to April 2009, when LA Times published article The Road To Area  
>51, where ex-workers and engineers from Groom Lake, Colonel Hugh  
>Slater, Edward Lovick, Kenneth Collins and Thornton Barnes,  
>declared that high number of UFO sightings during cold war could  
>be explained as test flights of top-secret Lockheed spy  
>reconnaissance plane code-named OXCART. The OXCART was in  
>operation from 1963 until 1968. In LA Times article, Annie  
>Jacobsen writes that "commercial pilots cruising over Nevada at  
>dusk would look up and see the bottom of OXCART whiz by at  
>2,000-plus mph. The aircraft's titanium body, moving as fast as  
>a bullet, would reflect the sun's rays in a way that could make  
>anyone think, UFO. In all, 2,850 OXCART test flights were flown  
>out of Area 51 (while Colonel Slater was in charge)".

>"That's a lot of UFO sightings!", Colonel Slater adds.

>Those, so called revelations, which were in 2009 presented as  
>breaking story, are nothing new. In fact that was a rehash of  
>CIA's study, written by government historian Gerald K. Haines.  
>It was published back in 1997 inside Studies in Intelligence, a  
>classified journal for the intelligence community. The article  
>in question was titled, "CIA's Role in the Study of UFOs, 1947-  
>90". In one section of the study, there is a same story that  
>will be published again 12 years later in LA Times article.

>Haines claims, inside segment titled "CIA's U-2 and OXCART as  
>UFOs," that many UFO sightings from the late 1950s and 1960s  
>were caused by secret American spy planes. Of course,  
>implications would be that most unknowns during Blue Book could  
>be now explained by OXCART flights. So, in that way, it's a  
>final solution of a UFO Phenomenon - it is "completely stripped  
>from aura of mystery" (to borrow that famous quote from 1953).

>On October 3rd, 2010, BBC broadcasted piece called "UFOs in  
>Nevada", inside daily show "Newshour". As the name of the show  
>says, it is devoted to summary of daily news world wide. Piece  
>"UFOs in Nevada" talks about Area 51 "folklore". Keeping that in  
>mind, I was not so interested about another popular speculation  
>about Area 51, but I was curious to see how the anchor will  
>justify the reason for broadcasting this story inside the show  
>that deals with mainstream world news. As I mentioned above,

>last, so called "breaking story" on Area 51", was covered in  
>media back in April 2009. There is nothing new or important that  
>appeared in media after that. BBC even sent reporter Kevin  
>Connelly to Nevada to report for this "newsworthy" piece. So,  
>what are the legs for this story?

>During announcement of the piece, Host Julian Marshall said that  
>"(UFO) sightings are almost always happening near Air Bases".  
>Could this be BBC's soft introduction to Robert Hastings  
>conference on UFOs and Nukes? Great, I am so interested to hear  
>their take on it. Naah! Reporter Kevin Connelly quickly destroys  
>my hopes with Twilight Zone Tune. Soon, Connelly talks with Bill  
>Ramsey, philosopher from University of Nevada, who is  
>researching pseudo-scientific believes. Connelly adds that  
>Ramsey would be regarded as a nut in town Rachel because he  
>doesn't think that "aliens invented the toaster". So the stage  
>is set.

>In the second act, Connelly talks with Colonel Hugh Slater, ex  
>commander of the base - yes the same Colonel Slater from the LA  
>Times article published in April 2009. You guessed it right -  
>BBC piece enriched us with the second rehash of the CIA's  
>article. A-12 OXCART plane (bless him) is again star of the  
>story - the plane that explains the unknowns.

>Third act ends with the story of Priscilla Travis-Laudenklos  
>that runs motel in Rachel. Priscilla states that she has a note  
>"I'm not of your world", written on piece of paper. BBC ends the  
>piece with the Star Trek tune.

>Yes, my hopes were too high after all. So far there is not a  
>single piece about UFO & Nukes conference broadcasted on BBC.  
>But instead OXCART landed again in my lap as an explanation of  
>unknowns. In fact, this piece doesn't have any connection with  
>newsworthy developments inside the field (piece with different  
>approach, for example, could include Leslie Kean's book or  
>Robert Hastings conference - that would be by definition a  
>newsworthy service - it would present events that are happening  
>NOW and that are NEWS). But merging whole field with the silly  
>aspects is visible again.

>

No snipping, because this needs to be re-read closely in the  
context of the following comment.

The BBC generally prides itself on accuracy and balance. In the  
circumstances this situation bears all the hallmarks of a news  
management process, one that is sophisticated in its  
obliqueness. The implications of beginning the process via the  
World Service are clear: an attempt to obtain global reach while  
masking the national origins of the process. Subtle and  
insidious.

Feels like Hastings and Kean have rattled a very big cage....

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 7](#)

## Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 18:27:50 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 07 Oct 2010 07:41:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 17:00:30 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 14:42:00 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

<snip>

>>Example: KK responded to my last on this thread, clearly  
>>indicating a lack of understanding of these regulations, by  
>>assuming that Arizona law superceeded Federal FAA regulations.

>>It doesn't.

>>If anyone has information re. studies of lighted phenomenon  
>>I'd be interested in seeing it.

>I'm quite astonished to hear that there don't appear to be  
>studies specifically analyzing the lights that are reported on  
>unidentified aerial craft. You'd think this would be a useful  
>tool for comparing sightings, and ruling out known >craft.

I believe that most sightings that have identifiable lights are identified as IFOs, because of those lights. I can think of a couple of cases in the Midwest in which advertising blimps were found to be the cause of sightings from a great distance that were reported as UFOs and video taped. Analysis showed that the changing colors of the distance object was indeed the lights on the side of the blimp changing as the advertising image changed. Of course, some sightings have been little more than "lights" in the cky, but those are getting less attention I don't believe that is what you are discussing here.

The "tool" you described is currently being used to identify reported objects, but there are few studies by ufologists involving "identifieds", which isn't really of great importance if you're really interested in "unidentifieds".

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 7](#)

## Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 01:40:10 +0000  
Archived: Thu, 07 Oct 2010 07:44:36 -0400  
Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 16:40:48 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 18:44:32 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

><snip>

>Drug airplanes don't display lights. As for it being Arizona,  
>that state doesn't determine what lights have to be displayed by  
>aircraft. It's Federal Aviation Agency's responsibility. Arizona  
>has no say in it.

Why would the drug cartels pay any attention to Arizona or the  
FAA? This is the desert. There is nobody out here who would care  
how many light, whether they are rotating, flashing or turned  
completely off. You are trying to impose laws on the lawless.

>>What about Davis-Monthan AFB and the type of craft they may be  
>>flying - testing for Raytheon. I believe I stated that the  
>>aircraft was very noisy, as opposed to the almost silent flight  
>>of UAVs. So, no, it was not one of the two UAVs owned by the  
>>State of Arizona. However, it might be one of the Fed's support  
>>craft.

>They still display the usual lights. In fact if it is secret  
>testin it would behoove them to display standard nav lights to  
>ward of suspicion. Fed support craft as well display  
>conventional lighting.

No, the testers would do whatever they wanted. You must be from  
Canada where people don't think about breaking the law. I live  
in a part of Arizona where people think nothing of going their  
own way. Sometimes, carrying a gun.

>>>Rio Rico is about 9 miles North of the border so I suspect you  
>>>are located anywhere around that perimeter that allows you to be  
>>>20-miles from the city without being in Mexico. You didn't say  
>>>what direction Rio Rico was from your position.

>>I am 29 minutes north of Rio Rico which is a few miles south of  
>>Tubac,

>>>Rio Rico is sandwiched between the Fuzzy MOA ~ 9 miles to the  
>>>West and R-2303B ~12 miles to the East. 39 miles due East of Rio  
>>>Rico in R-2312 (a 4 mile restricted circle a few miles South of  
>>>Serria Vista) there is a warning for an unmarked (no lights)  
>>>tethered observation balloon at 15,000 feet MSL; no doubt for  
>>>border patrol purposes.

>>I think you are referring to mobile phone use.

>No, I'm referring to a lockdown system platform along the  
>US/Mexico border. It's in a Restricted Zone (R-2312) within  
>Restricted zone R-2303B.

>>If so, I have to  
>>wonder what you are getting at. That it would be okay to have  
>>all red lights, not the usual red and green flashing. Or, did C-  
>>Seti get their wish and witness a ufo flying in the skies over  
>>southern Arizona?

>I laid that out above re ICAO standards for nav lights. No I am  
>not saying it is okay to display all red lights in civilian  
>airspace - it is illegal - and not even SOP for restricted  
>military airspace either. The AF uses recognition lights,  
>clusters of LEDs in muted green and blue/purple pastel shades  
>that they use to formate on each other during night missions-  
>particularly military helicopters.

Thanks for explaining what is legal, but there are pilots who do  
not care what is legal.

I see many different types of air craft in the skies at night  
and sometimes during the day. At no time have a seen muted  
green, blue, purple or pastel shades. Just your basic white,  
bright green and bright red.

>These LEDs should not excite anyone however because they have  
>very low visibility ( for obvious reasons) and displayed on the  
>aircraft so as not to be visible from the ground unless they  
>were 50 feet off the deck in the first place where your lawn sod  
>being peeled back by jet exhaust would probably tip you off  
>anyway as to what you were seeing. The lights are displayed as 2  
>foot long by 4 inch wide bands at various angles and in  
>different combinations to identify particular aircraft in a  
>flight.

Thanks for the heads up concerning a system of lights  
I have not seen.

Just to add to my list: the usual helicopter running lights.  
Of course, accompanied by a loud whirling sound.

>They are meant to be lined up with certain marks or structure of  
>the canopy (or helicopter cabin windows) so as to formate on  
>each other while flying at night or in marginal weather.

Therefore, all of the above means that there were people who  
could afford to drop \$1000 never saw an ET. Just what I thought.  
Maybe, next time.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 7](#)

## Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 17:15:22 +0700 (GMT+07:00)  
Archived: Thu, 07 Oct 2010 07:46:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 16:40:48 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 18:44:32 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>>Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 13:17:56 -0400  
>>>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>>>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 5 Oct 2010 14:01:12 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

><snip>

>>>Rio Rico is sandwiched between the Fuzzy MOA ~ 9 miles to the  
>>>West and R-2303B ~12 miles to the East. 39 miles due East of Rio  
>>>Rico in R-2312 (a 4 mile restricted circle a few miles South of  
>>>Serria Vista) there is a warning for an unmarked (no lights)  
>>>tethered observation balloon at 15,000 feet MSL; no doubt for  
>>>border patrol purposes.

>>I think you are referring to mobile phone use.

>No, I'm referring to a lockdown system platform along the  
>US/Mexico border. It's in a Restricted Zone (R-2312) within  
>Restricted zone R-2303B.

This observation balloon is an unmanned tethered aerostat. IMO,  
the radar capability extends beyond low-flying aircraft carrying  
drugs or worse. The system may detect drug traffickers using  
vehicles and horses and mules to augment the backpacking mules.

Another capability that may exist is interception of radio  
frequency phone calls such as cell phones. When I helped test  
the Prophet system (see link below) in the early 1990s I could  
see that the full radio spectrum could be exploited.

<http://www.fas.org/nuke/guide/usa/airdef/tars.htm>

(Tethered Aerostat Radar System)

<http://tinyurl.com/255gn8m>

(Prophet)

Terry

Terry W. Colvin  
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand  
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand

<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>  
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 7](#)

## News Links - 06-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 07 Oct 2010 08:41:34 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 07 Oct 2010 08:41:34 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 06-10-10

News Links - 06-10-10

UFO Sightings Down In Northwest  
Houston Today  
<http://tinyurl.com/376wygg>

Cavendish Mall UFO =97 A Return Visit?  
The Suburban - Montreal  
<http://tinyurl.com/29oyd9n>

Fresh Report Of UFO Over China Marks 8th Time Since June  
ABC News  
<http://tinyurl.com/264vcga>

Chinese UFO Over Inner Mongolia Still A Mystery  
USA Today  
<http://tinyurl.com/369ezt2>

Nothing To Lose: A Bounty For UFO Data  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/24j3wmv>

Spielberg=92s Saucer Secrets  
<http://tinyurl.com/28ajlxl>

UFO Hacker Gary Mckinnon Decision Expected "In Weeks Rather Than  
Months"  
Infosecurity Magazine  
<http://tinyurl.com/2cy6ca6>

Washington Post's John Kelly Pokes Fun At UFOs, But Really Just  
Needs A Cookie  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/23dumay>

UFO Sightings: Washingtonians Mistake Jupiter for UFO  
The Epoch Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/26p4x66>

Newtongrange 'UFO' Was Party Lights  
MidLothian Today  
<http://tinyurl.com/37umfhj>

Filer's Files #41 2010  
<http://tinyurl.com/29feu47>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 7](#)

## Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 17:01:47 +0000  
Archived: Thu, 07 Oct 2010 14:12:04 -0400  
Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 07:46:33 -0400  
>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 17:15:22 +0700 (GMT+07:00)  
>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

<snip>

>This observation balloon is an unmanned tethered aerostat. IMO,  
>the radar capability extends beyond low-flying aircraft carrying  
>drugs or worse. The system may detect drug traffickers using

>Another capability that may exist is interception of radio  
>frequency phone calls such as cell phones. When I helped test  
>the Prophet system (see link below) in the early 1990s I could  
>see that the full radio spectrum could be exploited.

><http://www.fas.org/nuke/guide/usa/airdef/tars.htm>  
>(Tethered Aerostat Radar System)

><http://tinyurl.com/255gn8m>  
>(Prophet)

Thanks, Terry:

I knew there was some system of radio frequencies that was interfering with my portable radio reception. I had I feeling it had something to do with whatever technology the Feds were using near the border - the equipment sitting high up on platforms isn't exactly at the border. Neighbors have been complaining to Border Patrol about the invasion of privacy created by their equipment.

Usually, when the interference gets really bad, I shout out into the ethers "Too loud! Turn it down!" Weird, but it seems to work.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 8](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 18:38:57 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 08 Oct 2010 06:39:46 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

This post is a combined response to David Rudiak, Stanton Friedman, and Jerome Clarke. My apologies for not responding sooner as I've been ill. I'm responding in this manner simply to cut back on redundant replies to the List. I'd like to thank each of them for taking the time to respond, even if each staunchly disagree with my position. And I also recognize that as a newcomer here, I'm challenging several. very well known and highly respected researchers of this topic. This is not meant to be personally disrespectful.

To start with, David Rudiak responded to my argument against evolutionarily common bilateral bipedalism. Here is the salient portion of David's reply

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 10:23:23 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>This point keeps coming up, that there are supposedly strong  
>scientific arguments that (biological) space aliens would not be  
>humanoid but some other unimaginable form. These arguments are  
>neither strong, informed, nor particularly scientific, at best  
>highly speculative, and also at odds with what we observe  
>evolution creating here on planet Earth, where natural selection  
>forces in particular environmental niches produce many examples  
>of convergent evolution of form. Or as the jingle goes, form  
>follows function.

<snip>

Mr. Rudiak follows that up a prior UPDATES post and link which goes into more detail. My response follows:

Hi David, thank you for your reply. Of course, I'm not a professional Evolutionary Biologist, so please understand if I make an error. However, I think your argument rests on a shaky foundation. Allow me to repeat your point in my own words, so we both know our various assertions are understood by the other. My interpretation is that you are arguing that because some morphological forms show repetition across varying Earth environments, that such might be the case across extraterrestrial planetary environments. For example, mammals Europe and North America might have a marsupial homologue in Australia. Here's a web site that discusses common evolutionary traits between mammals and marsupials:

<http://www.nwcreation.net/marsupials.html>

So, on the one hand you're correct. Certainly, across two isolated habitats similar morphology to fill in similar ecological niches evolved. However, I think there's a flaw in your argument. First, you ignore just how close mammals and marsupials are to one another on the evolutionary tree compared to - say - long since extinct organisms from the Pre-Cambrian and Cambrian era. This is a critical point, as many organisms from that period do not follow bilateral forms. Most organisms from that period are long since extinct. The question then becomes, did they go extinct by chance, selecting one set of branches on the tree of life for Earth's current set of

organisms, or did they go extinct because such forms are unsuitable across most environments? We won't know the answer to that question until we've surveyed life and its progenitors across several exoplanets. But right now I'd bet that most professional evolutionary biologists would argue that former and not the latter. That is was random chance that led to the prevalence of bilateralism here on Earth.

So, my counterargument to you is that while homologous form across isolated ecological niches may be prevalent on Earth, such as mammalian and marsupial forms, that is only because they were so close on the evolutionary tree to begin with. Thus, extrapolating similarities between mammals and marsupials in order to argue for similarities between evolved organisms on Earth to other unknown exoplanets may not be a valid argument.

On to the next response:

On Tue, Oct 5, 2010 at 11:04 AM, UFO UpDates - Toronto

<[post.nul](#)>wrote:

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](#)>

>To: [post.nul](#)

>Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 13:52:31 -0700 (PDT)

>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>I find the above missive quite fascinating and unreal. Let us  
>face the simple fact that the SETI movement has provided no  
>evidence whatsoever of any kind that there are ETs out there  
>sending radio or laser signals here. None, zero, zilch. I  
>certainly derive my conclusions from a great deal of evidence  
>which they refuse to examine.

[Blue book SR14 cited as backing evidence ...]

>Since when are witness statements not part of science?  
>Astronomers have made many observations of meteors in the air  
>and use them to find them on the ground. They can't control or  
>repeat observations of eclipses or solar storms or earthquakes..  
>They can and do record observations when the opportunity  
>presents itself. Science is a method of approach to determine  
>the truth. ... I will take the testimony of retired military  
>officers with high level security clearances about simultaneous  
>UFO observations over nuclear tipped missiles as the missiles go  
>to a no-go situations. Leslie Kean's "UFOs" provides multiple  
>witness/instrument confirmations. SETI has provided none.

[...]

>How scientific is it to say if I haven't seen something, it doesn't  
>exist? But if I want to receive a radio signal from ET it must exist?

Hi Mr. Friedman, I think I've included enough of your reply to cover your two most salient points. I'll restate them here:

- 1) \*UNLIKE\* with UFOs, there is no evidence of alien radio or laser signals from distant stars. You then cited Blue Book SR14 as backing evidence for the existence of UFO phenomena.
- 2) Witness testimony is often used as data in collection for science. Included was then a list of intermittent phenomena under scientific study such as "eclipses or solar storms or earthquakes."

Based upon this you concluded that SETI was a "pathetic" waste of resources:

>If there was no evidence that aliens were visiting, I suppose I  
>could say why not look for radio signals?. But that evidence is  
>plentiful. [...]

First of all,

I'll start with your second point as I don't think your comparison between the highly intermittent nature of UFO phenomena to "eclipses or solar storms or earthquakes" follows. Eclipses are well understood and predictable; they have been for centuries. Solar storms are now watched by satellites to give we on Earth some time to prepare before they hit. Earthquakes are also detected by seismometers, which generate seismographs for data interpretation. In each of these cases, what's under study is well defined and the data easily normalized statistically. I don't think it's fair to compare data collection and analysis in

these fields with the data collected by various UFO studies. One objection is that in fields like seismology various participants in the field have long since agreed on data collection standards, which makes analysis much easier across institutions. UFO data analysis is still held back by the varying collection standards in use across the years. However, in counterpoint I do admit that there's quite a lot of public data that's been collected across the last sixty five years or so.

Second,

going to the first point - as I think it gets to the heart of our disagreement - I agree that there exists more than enough evidence on record to conclude that \*some percentage\* of "credible reports of incredible things" in the air most certainly does exist. SR14 was an excellent example to cite, and I do not take issue with the analysis or your claims of its relevance here. But I do ask you to note the difference between the conclusions of SR14, which assert that a certain percentage of "unknowns" were of high quality. But I don't think this report concluded that alien space craft were the cause of those unknowns. They were classified as "unknown" for the reason that they were reported by highly credible individuals, they were highly strange, and no prosaic answers were found by investigators.

It is quite the leap to jump from "unknown" to "alien space craft". And an even greater leap to jump from "alien space craft" to "specific alien types observed". One could view this as three sets of thresholds of acceptance: That some UFO phenomena exist and are not understood; that some UFO phenomena represents alien life; that some UFO phenomena are alien space ships flown by Gray / Reptilian / Nordic / etc bipedal life forms. I've come to accept the first threshold, but I've got serious problems with #2 and especially #3.

You cited Leslie Kean and her recent book UFOs: Generals, Pilots and Government Officials Go On the Record to make your point about the validity of alien spacecraft and visitors buzzing Earth. However, I've read that book. That is \*not\* what Ms. Kean said in her book. She certainly discussed the ETH as a potential explanation for UFO phenomena, but she did \*NOT\* assert that the ETH was more than just a hypothesis. You do. You say it is a \*fact\*. Aliens are buzzing the earth. There's a huge difference. From my perspective, there is "plentiful" evidence of something physical in our skies that we do not understand. But I do not see that "plentiful" evidence of alien bipedal creatures flying alien space ships around our skies. Thus, I don't think your argument invalidates the viability of SETI at all. And I can think of several arguments to continue SETI research regardless of whether UFOs do - in fact - turn out to be due to biological aliens flying space ships to earth.

Confusing this demarcation between accepting UFO phenomena as something real and worthy of study, to next representative of some kind of intelligence from outside earth, to finally biological bipedal aliens flying space ships is where I find the most difficulty. I'll give my perspective as to why. Back when Donald Keyhoe wrote his classics, like Flying Saucers are Real, The Flying Saucer Conspiracy, and Aliens from Space: The Real Story of Unidentified Flying Objects, he may well have been right but his abuse of anonymous sourcing was just terrible. I'm sure there are plenty on this list who will defend him, saying that 'he had no choice as the subject matter was top secret and no military officers were willing to violate that oath on the record.' Which is right. But it didn't help his credibility. One could reasonably compare Judy Miller's abuse of anonymous sources in her Iraq War coverage at the NY Times for a modern day example of why.

Keyhoe set a bad precedent. Combine that with intelligence operatives who clearly intended to confuse open source researchers and damage their public credibility by having them publish ridiculous claims and the UFO community has the foundations for a massive disinformation set up. Richard Doty? William Cooper? John Lear? Bob Lazar? Do these names ring a bell? By entertaining and accepting known forgeries, like the so-called initial batch of MJ-12 documents you, Linda Howe, and later Timothy Good (who now calls them forgeries) handled, the community only set itself up. The argument is that while these documents may have been forgeries, they may well contain some

correct information. The problem is untangling lies from truth with no secondary sources.

But think about the issue from the perspective of an outsider. If \*you\* can't generate valid and on the record sources to untangle and verify aspects of forged documents, how the hell am I - the outsider - supposed to judge the veracity of those claims? And that is how every professional journalist and print news source has responded. Of course, with the help of intelligence sources who also debunk the subject per the Robertson Panel. Ms. Kean is following the only rational choice under these circumstances, she's taken the whole kit and caboodle learned since the 1970s and thrown it away.

She did not report that Grey aliens have underground bases, formed an agreement with the United States, and are conducting a cross-breeding experiment between aliens and humans. She did not report that a "shooting war" took place in a secure facility between aliens and US soldiers in 1979, leading to the dissolution of that agreement. Nor did she report that aliens have 'vats full of human body parts' which they use for feeding purposes. I would guess she doesn't think much of John Lear's anonymously sourced claims. Or Bob Lazar's, for that matter.

As it turns out, I have read two of your books: Crash at Corona and Top Secret/Majic (I also bought and paid for them). I know that you spent a great deal of time finding and interviewing witnesses both on the record and off the record to piece together your work. I bought it, I read it, and I thought about it. But I'm not - yet - convinced. And much of that has to do with the tremendous confusion surrounding post 1980s conclusions. Hell, even Richard Dolan (whose work I like) cites some 'facts' obtained by Remote Viewing. Where did this evidentiary standard come from? Why even pay attention? I mean, I've - unfortunately - read Courtney Brown's Cosmac Voyage and felt as though in the process I had actually degraded my learning capacity. Frankly, I'd have been better off drinking too much. (Though, strangely, I'm in the pro RV camp as far as it's utility when claims can be easily verified. But, like Schroedinger's cat, if you can't perform the measurement the outcome will remain neither dead nor alive).

So, where am I going with this? Well, based on what I saw, one could lead me to the second threshold explanation of "this must represent some kind of extraterrestrial intelligence." What I saw looked intelligently controlled. But that does not mean a collection of bipedal aliens is the ultimate cause. It could be. But I want physical evidence before I accept that conclusion. But right now, in public sources, there is none. Whereas the evidence for a physical cause to some anomalous UFO reports is pretty good.

To Jerome Clarke:

You cited:

>Michael D. Swords, "Science and the Extraterrestrial Hypothesis >in Ufology." Journal of UFO Studies 1 (n.s., 1989): 67-102.

Unfortunately, I don't have access to that journal. I did find Could Extraterrestrial Intelligences Be Expected To Breathe Our Air? at the JSE site, which I did read. Thank you for that suggestion.

Anyway, that's my perspective. Thanks for your time.

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 8](#)

## Two Interesting Links

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 18:51:48 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 08 Oct 2010 06:43:12 -0400  
Subject: Two Interesting Links

Interview with Professor of Astronomy Wang Sichao from Nanjing University:

<http://tinyurl.com/23rwzfg>

"Recently, reports of Unidentified Flying Objects (UFO) have been occurring frequently in China. On July 7 this year, more than 20 flights were postponed at Xiaoshan International Airport in Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, because of a sighting. Whenever such reported sightings occur, Wang Sichao, a research fellow at the Purple Mountain Observatory (PMO) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) in Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, is much busier than usual. In addition to studying the sightings, Wang, who started work on UFOs nearly 40 years ago, has to check and reply to mail about UFOs from all across China. Lately, Wang predicted "great events" concerning UFOs were going to come out in China in the next two years. In an interview with Beijing Review, he shared his views and stories with reporter Tang Yuankai. [click link to read interview]

Implications of Graphene as a possible Warp drive material by Professor Sarfatti:

<http://tinyurl.com/24cerbb>

"If we can make a low-power high Tc superconducting warp/wormhole drive I am sure graphene sheets will be the key substrate.

[...]

Abstract: We study, within the tight-binding approximation, the electronic properties of a graphene bilayer in the presence of an external electric field applied perpendicular to the system=97a biased bilayer. The effect of the perpendicular electric field is included through a parallel plate capacitor model, with screening correction at the Hartree level." [more at link]

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 8](#)

## YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 19:50:59 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 08 Oct 2010 06:55:08 -0400  
Subject: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

There's a woman on YouTube by the name of Alison Kruse who posts what she claims are UFO videos she captures using a significant amount of expensive night vision and camera gear.

According to her she's using a Sony HDR VR500 Camcorder along with a ATN NVM14-3A Gen 3 nightscope. I haven't watched them all (she has many posted) but here is one I find particularly impressive.

The reason I say so is that the object hovers nearby in between trees (showing that it is behind them) then it moves out above the forest clearing (showing seemingly directed movement), and then begins blinking somewhat like an airplane.

<http://tinyurl.com/2wo6ew3>

I'm not qualified to determine if this is a real anomalous object. What do more experienced list members think of this video?

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 8](#)

## Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 01:20:40 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 08 Oct 2010 06:59:30 -0400  
Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 18:27:50 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 17:00:30 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 14:42:00 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: Greer's C-Seti In Rio Rico

><snip>

>>>Example: KK responded to my last on this thread, clearly  
>>>indicating a lack of understanding of these regulations, by  
>>>assuming that Arizona law superceeded Federal FAA regulations.

>>>It doesn't.

>>>If anyone has information re. studies of lighted phenomenon  
>>>I'd be interested in seeing it.

>>I'm quite astonished to hear that there don't appear to be  
>>studies specifically analyzing the lights that are reported on  
>>unidentified aerial craft. You'd think this would be a useful  
>>tool for comparing sightings, and ruling out known >craft.

>I believe that most sightings that have identifiable lights are  
>identified as IFOs, because of those lights. I can think of a  
>couple of cases in the Midwest in which advertising blimps were  
>found to be the cause of sightings from a great distance that  
>were reported as UFOs and video taped. Analysis showed that the  
>changing colors of the distance object was indeed the lights on  
>the side of the blimp changing as the advertising image changed.  
>Of course, some sightings have been little more than "lights" in  
>the sky, but those are getting less attention I don't believe  
>that is what you are discussing here.

>The "tool" you described is currently being used to identify  
>reported objects, but there are few studies by ufologists  
>involving "identifieds", which isn't really of great importance  
>if you're really interested in "unidentifieds".

The only unfiltered UFO reports I look at regularly are those reported to NUFORC that occur in my area. It's been my impression (only anecdotally, I'm afraid) that there are a sufficient number of still-unidentified aerial phenomena with individual lights showing described here and elsewhere to give me a sense of cognitive dissonance when I read about them.

I've seen descriptions which include individual lights on a craft, yet it doesn't seem to come up as a red flag for many people. That's why I asked if there had been any effort to keep tabs on them.

Carol Maltby  
here and elsewhere

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 8](#)

## News Links - 07-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Oct 2010 08:11:24 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 08 Oct 2010 08:11:24 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 07-10-10

News Links - 07-10-10

MUFON Field Investigator Reports Double Sphere UFO Over Pinnacle Mountain  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2foa9ky>

Leslie Kean On 'UFO Traffic Report' Listens In On Wright-Patterson AFB Anomaly  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/33wd9wl>

Great Balls Of Fire =96 More UFOs  
Lochaber News  
<http://tinyurl.com/3yjhw54>

China's Latest Export Boom: UFO Close Encounters  
TIME  
<http://tinyurl.com/2cwmwfu>

Chinese Scientists Have 40 Minutes Of UFO Film Taken During Eclipse Under Study  
ChattahBox  
<http://tinyurl.com/2autcl9>

The Government's Response To The UFO [& Nukes] News Conference  
Jamestown Press  
<http://tinyurl.com/25qb9ry>

In UFOs, The U Is Key  
Montreal Gazette  
<http://tinyurl.com/24jysk7>

Redefining Consensus Reality: American Media's Coverage Of UFOs  
Technorati  
<http://tinyurl.com/2epkecg>

Journalism Evolving On Leading-Edge Science, UFOs  
Transcendent TV & Media  
<http://tinyurl.com/34wzvxp>

UFO Tales Just Keep Going, And Going  
msnbc.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bmxs8a>

Historic UFO Incident At Edwards AFB 45 years Ago On October 7, 1965  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/2dyebgo>

UFO Network To Meet In Columbus  
The Republic  
<http://tinyurl.com/3axdgcr>

On The Threshold Of A Dream  
UFODigest  
<http://tinyurl.com/252nsh4>

UFO Believers Around The Galaxy Celebrate 'Interplanetary Confederation'

AOL News

<http://tinyurl.com/28235hc>

The Dawn Of Disclosure: UFOs Paralyse US Missile Bases

Pattaya Daily News

<http://tinyurl.com/35qby5u>

U.S. Expert To Bring "Graduate Level Course In UFO Studies" To Colleges [More Meier Madness?]

PRWeb

<http://tinyurl.com/2bfzgf4>

Mirage Men: UFO Researcher Mark Pilkington On Deception And Psychological Warfare

Wired.co.uk

<http://tinyurl.com/2823rw7>

From Nick Balaskas:

Historians Admit To Inventing Ancient Greeks

TheOnion

[Man Never Went To The Moon... And Now, This --NB]

<http://tinyurl.com/36d78mm>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 8](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: Robert Powell <[rpowell.nul](mailto:rpowell.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Oct 2010 07:15:55 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 08 Oct 2010 08:52:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinias <[j.maynard.gelinias.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinias.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 18:38:57 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>This post is a combined response to David Rudiak, Stanton  
>Friedman, and Jerome Clarke. My apologies for not responding  
>sooner as I've been ill. I'm responding in this manner simply to  
>cut back on redundant replies to the List. I'd like to thank  
>each of them for taking the time to respond, even if each  
>staunchly disagree with my position. And I also recognize that  
>as a newcomer here, I'm challenging several. very well known and  
>highly respected researchers of this topic. This is not meant to  
>be personally disrespectful.

Welcome to the List, J.M. Although I may not agree with  
everything you wrote, you made some good points and you tried to  
keep personality issues aside.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 8](#)

## Re: News Links - 07-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Oct 2010 11:58:42 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 08 Oct 2010 11:58:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: News Links - 07-10-10

Correction...

Historic UFO Incident At Edwards AFB 45 years Ago On October 7, 1965  
Tucson Citizen

link is:

<http://tinyurl.com/2dyebgo>

Thanks to Jeri Jahnke for pointing out that the link was missing...

ebk

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 8](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 08:28:13 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 08 Oct 2010 12:01:18 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinias <[j.maynard.gelinias.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinias.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 18:38:57 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>This post is a combined response to David Rudiak, Stanton  
>Friedman, and Jerome Clarke [sic].

My last name is spelled Clark, same as on the many books, articles, and reviews I have published over the decades. Perhaps you have me confused with another writer and a genuine Clarke, David, recently departed from this List.

Two quick comments, since I don't want to get sucked into the vortex, having other, more urgent responsibilities in my real life and profession. I will leave it to Rudiak and Friedman to handle the detailed cogent responses:

(1) Mr. Gelinias's discussion - which, let me insert here, is to be commended for its intelligence and civility - reads as if reports, specifically credible CE3s (nearly all of humanoid entities, virtually none otherwise), bearing on the question don't exist; consequently, we can usefully address the issue of what UFO occupants might look like only by a priori assertion and speculation. As Stanislaw Lem observes, "No matter how unlikely a thing is, if it happens, it happens." Anyway, the case for bipedal, humanoid ETs has been made by both pro- and anti-UFO scientists interested in astrobiology, so even "unlikely" may not apply here.

Those with long historical memories will recall that in the latter 1950s and 1960s NICAP, while championing the ETH, pretended that CE3s don't exist and that therefore it remained an open question what kinds of beings might fly UFOs. Even then, it was apparent that the best CE3s were as credible as the best non-CE3s.

(2) Authors routinely judge their potential audience and shape their rhetorical strategies around that judgment. Reading what Mr. Gelinias says about Leslie Kean on the ETH, I wonder if he's aware of that simple reality. I say this not just because I know Leslie Kean, though I do, but because as a lifelong reader as well as writer I think I would have gotten the point even if I didn't.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 9](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 12:59:07 -0400  
Archived: Sat, 09 Oct 2010 07:57:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 18:38:57 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 10:23:23 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>Hi David, thank you for your reply. Of course, I'm not a  
>professional Evolutionary Biologist,

<snip>

>Here's a web site that discusses common evolutionary traits  
>between mammals and marsupials:

><http://www.nwcreation.net/marsupials.html>

<snip>

David,

Quick reply to you regarding an awful, mistaken supporting link  
in my last post:

I had Googled several on the subject of convergent evolution and  
in my haste to write the post quickly pasted in the wrong one.

Here's a somewhat more authoritative link:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\\_of\\_examples\\_of\\_convergent\\_evolution](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_examples_of_convergent_evolution)

My apologies for the error,

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 9](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 10:43:39 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Sat, 09 Oct 2010 07:59:45 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 18:38:57 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>This post is a combined response to David Rudiak, Stanton  
>Friedman, and Jerome Clarke. My apologies for not responding  
>sooner as I've been ill. I'm responding in this manner simply to  
>cut back on redundant replies to the List. I'd like to thank  
>each of them for taking the time to respond, even if each  
>staunchly disagree with my position. And I also recognize that  
>as a newcomer here, I'm challenging several very well known and  
>highly respected researchers of this topic. This is not meant to  
>be personally disrespectful.

>To start with, David Rudiak responded to my argument against  
>evolutionarily common bilateral bipedalism. Here is the salient  
>portion of David's reply

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 10:23:23 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>This point keeps coming up, that there are supposedly strong  
>>scientific arguments that (biological) space aliens would not be  
>>humanoid but some other unimaginable form. These arguments are  
>>neither strong, informed, nor particularly scientific, at best  
>>highly speculative, and also at odds with what we observe  
>>evolution creating here on planet Earth, where natural selection  
>>forces in particular environmental niches produce many examples  
>>of convergent evolution of form. Or as the jingle goes, form  
>>follows function.

>Hi David, thank you for your reply. Of course, I'm not a professional  
>Evolutionary Biologist, so please understand if I make an error.  
>However, I think your argument rests on a shaky foundation. Allow me  
>to repeat your point in my own words, so we both know our various  
>assertions are understood by the other. My interpretation is that you  
>are arguing that because some morphological forms show repetition  
>across varying Earth environments, that such might be the case across  
>extraterrestrial planetary environments. For example, mammals Europe  
>and North America might have a marsupial homologue in Australia.  
>Here's a web site that discusses common evolutionary traits between  
>mammals and marsupials:

><http://www.nwcreation.net/marsupials.html>

>So, on the one hand you're correct. Certainly, across two  
>isolated habitats similar morphology to fill in similar  
>ecological niches evolved. However, I think there's a flaw in  
>your argument. First, you ignore just how close mammals and  
>marsupials are to one another on the evolutionary tree compared  
>to - say - long since extinct organisms from the Pre-Cambrian  
>and Cambrian era.

I wasn't comparing mammals and marsupials, which are indeed very  
closely related, though even here there are interesting examples  
of convergent evolution of form, such as the Australian lion and

big mammalian cats.

Instead I made some comparisons across some widely disparate species in evolutionary history with some similar morphology, e.g. flying insects and birds or ichthyosaurs (reptiles) which evolved 150 million years before and looked almost identical to modern porpoises (mammals). In addition to many common morphological structures, both evolved from land animals and gave birth to live young, yet again far removed from one another in the evolutionary tree.

The point is again, with similar ecological niches, form follows function. There are a limited number of optimal solutions for survival in particular ecological niches. Another example, predators often have forward-looking eyes (with good binocular vision) while prey have their eyes off to the side to give them a much wider field of view to look for predators.

>This is a critical point, as many organisms  
>from that period do not follow bilateral forms. Most organisms  
>from that period are long since extinct.

Actually very little is known about pre-Cambrian life forms since they were soft-bodied and didn't leave much fossil evidence behind. But I think you miss the big picture with your argument. There aren't a lot of geometrical symmetries to work with: radial, spherical, and bilateral (or no symmetry, such as sponges). All existed pre-Cambrian just as they do post-Cambrian.

But ONLY bilateral symmetry results in streamlining and rapidly moving life forms. Bilateral symmetry is also more energy efficient for motion. Speed and energy efficiency both have highly significant survival value, which is why they were selected for. (Streamlining and energy efficiency is also why nearly all our craft, from canoes to submarines and jet airplanes are bilaterally symmetric--it is an optimal solution.)

Those are the primary reasons why all higher and more complex life forms are bilateral symmetric, and it isn't going to matter which star system they evolve in. Bilateral symmetry is going to be king because of basic physics that is the same everywhere.

Bilateral symmetry also leads to the development of a head end, nervous systems, and concentration of key sensory systems in the head end.

All surviving spherical and radially symmetric life forms are rather inert or sluggish and none have complex nervous or sensory systems. They've had just as much time to evolve something interesting as the bilaterally symmetric forms, but obviously these symmetry forms are evolutionary dead ends in terms of complexity. So don't expect an alien star fish to step out of a space ship and shake "hands" with you.

>The question then  
>becomes, did they go extinct by chance, selecting one set of  
>branches on the tree of life for Earth's current set of  
>organisms, or did they go extinct because such forms are  
>unsuitable across most environments?

They didn't go extinct. They are still with us. They just didn't go anywhere exciting or complex. Bilateral symmetry is inherently superior.

>We won't know the answer to  
>that question until we've surveyed life and its progenitors  
>across several exoplanets.

Nope, isn't going to matter.

>But right now I'd bet that most  
>professional evolutionary biologists would argue that former and  
>not the latter. That is was random chance that led to the  
>prevalence of bilateralism here on Earth.

Nope. Again bilaterally symmetry inevitably leads to faster, more efficient, and more complex life forms. Radial and spherical symmetry does not. Chance has nothing to do with it. Doesn't matter where you are.

>So, my counterargument to you is that while homologous form  
>across isolated ecological niches may be prevalent on Earth,  
>such as mammalian and marsupial forms, that is only because they  
>were so close on the evolutionary tree to begin with. Thus,  
>extrapolating similarities between mammals and marsupials in  
>order to argue for similarities between evolved organisms on  
>Earth to other unknown exoplanets may not be a valid argument.

Sorry, but I never argued similarities between mammals and marsupials, so I don't understand why you keep saying that is the foundation of my arguments. I was arguing more general principles, such as the superiority of bilateral symmetry, or why major sensory systems like vision and hearing will necessarily concentrate near the head end. All of these have highly significant survival value.

There was also the important restriction of dealing with technological beings capable of building space ships. So they better have something like big brains with good vision and appendages for fine manipulation, which also implies a certain minimal size and something like an internal skeleton for continued growth to that size. They also must necessarily be mostly land animals, unless you can figure out how to build fires and smelt metals underwater. So don't expect to shake hands with alien porpoises or octopi either, although alien amphibians might be a possibility.

All such arguments apply to animals that would evolve in the natural world. If we were dealing with cybernetic beings instead that evolve outside of natural selection, then morphological forms could be wildly different.

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 9](#)

## An Even More Secret Space Program?

From: Jay Nelson <[jnelson.nul](mailto:jnelson.nul)>  
Date: sat, 09 oct 2010 10:40:30 -0600  
Archived: Sat, 09 Oct 2010 18:27:35 -0400  
Subject: An Even More Secret Space Program?

Listers

Recently, the PBS affiliate in my area has been rebroadcasting NOVA's "Astrospies" episode repeatedly. I haven't minded. It's a fascinating story about secret manned orbiting spy satellites - the USAF Manned Orbiting Laboratory v. the Russian ALMAZ. But after watching it the third or fourth time, it dawned on me that the show might contain clues in plain sight to an even more clandestine space program than that of the space spooks.

<http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/astrospies/>

The show included a story about Robert H. Lawrence, Jr., a black military astronaut and one of the best pilots in the AF, who was killed in a crash while landing. He was in the back seat of an F-104, teaching steep glide Shuttle approach runs at the Cape to a rookie who survived.

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robert\\_Henry\\_Lawrence\\_Jr](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robert_Henry_Lawrence_Jr)

The thing is, this was in late 1967, almost a year before the very first Space Shuttle design studies were even initiated.

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Space\\_Shuttle\\_design\\_process](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Space_Shuttle_design_process)

And the accident occurred almost exactly 4 years after the Dyna-Soar space plane had been canceled.

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dyna-Soar>

Since the Manned Orbiting Laboratory program was to use a modified Gemini capsule anyway, the tragedy makes no sense to me. Why was their top dude teaching a newbie how to do a dangerous maneuver that had no place in the program?

(According to Wikipedia, Dyna-Soar might have gotten built (but how much later?) as something called Blackstar...

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Blackstar\\_%28spaceplane%29](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Blackstar_%28spaceplane%29)

In any case, Dyna-Soar - or any other spacecraft - could not have docked with the MOL. (I know; I made and played with plastic models of both back in the day... ;-> ) MOL was to be a disposable, one-time-use-only space station, built on the not-unreasonable assumption that having a man looking at things on the fly would allow them to see interesting things automated cameras wouldn't spot.

However, everything would be shot on film which would have to be returned to Earth with them undeveloped at the end of the mission. And meanwhile, the huge spy camera and the rest would be simply abandoned to burn up in the atmosphere.

Since they had 14 astronauts in the program, it seems likely they were probably planning on doing it 6 times or so, too. No wonder it cost so much - LBJ quoted a figure of \$1.5B, Richard Truly, a MOL astronaut who later became NASA chief, said \$3B, and he should know - but others complained that the budget was cut every year until Nixon axed the whole thing - so the real

cost must have been ginormous.

Maybe some great intelligence could have come of MOL, but nothing even remotely timely and with such a huge waste of material. If that was indeed all there was to the mission, MOL was indeed a huge boondoggle. If it also was intended for, say, long-term observation of other things - spotting saucer bases, stuff in orbit, who knows?

At least the Russians built a reusable station (armed with a freakin' cannon of all things), and though they also used film (developed in orbit with all lights off), they could scan it with a video camera and get results down to Moscow in an hour or so.

In any event much of the Manned Orbiting Laboratory program is still classified. Astronaut Al Crews said they were "to take pictures and blah, blah, blah, blah". So what other activities were planned that never were accomplished which still require secrecy after all this time?

I can't shake the feeling that something funny's been going on...

Keep looking up,

Jay Nelson

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 9](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 16:13:33 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Sat, 09 Oct 2010 18:36:37 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 18:38:57 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 4 Oct 2010 13:52:31 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>I find the above missive quite fascinating and unreal. Let us  
>>face the simple fact that the SETI movement has provided no  
>>evidence whatsoever of any kind that there are ETs out there  
>>sending radio or laser signals here. None, zero, Zzilch. I  
>>certainly derive my conclusions from a great deal of evidence  
>>which they refuse to examine.

>[Blue book SR14 cited as backing evidence ...]

>>Since when are witness statements not part of science?  
>>Astronomers have made many observations of meteors in the air  
>>and use them to find them on the ground. They can't control or  
>>repeat observations of eclipses or solar storms or earthquakes..  
>>They can and do record observations when the opportunity  
>>presents itself. Science is a method of approach to determine  
>>the truth. ... I will take the testimony of retired military  
>>officers with high level security clearances about simultaneous  
>>UFO observations over nuclear tipped missiles as the missiles go  
>>to a no-go situations. Leslie Kean's "UFOs" provides multiple  
>>witness/instrument confirmations. SETI has provided none.

><snip>

>>How scientific is it to say if I haven't seen something, it doesn't  
>>exist? But if I want to receive a radio signal from ET it must exist?

>Hi Mr. Friedman,

>

>I think I've included enough of your reply to cover your two  
>most salient points. I'll restate them here:

>1) UNLIKE with UFOs, there is no evidence of alien radio or laser  
>signals from distant stars. You then cited Blue Book SR14 as backing  
>evidence for the existence of UFO phenomena.

>2) Witness testimony is often used as data in collection for science.  
>Included was then a list of intermittent phenomena under scientific  
>study such as "eclipses or solar storms or earthquakes."

>Based upon this you concluded that SETI was a "pathetic" waste  
>of resources:

>>If there was no evidence that aliens were visiting, I suppose I  
>>could say why not look for radio signals?. But that evidence is  
>>plentiful. [...]

>First of all,

>I'll start with your second point as I don't think your

>comparison between the highly intermittent nature of UFO  
>phenomena to "eclipses or solar storms or earthquakes" follows.  
>Eclipses are well understood and predictable; they have been for  
>centuries. Solar storms are now watched by satellites to give we  
>on Earth some time to prepare before they hit. Earthquakes are  
>also detected by seismometers, which generate seismographs for  
>data interpretation. In each of these cases, what's under study  
>is well defined and the data easily normalized statistically. I  
>don't think it's fair to compare data collection and analysis in  
>these fields with the data collected by various UFO studies. One  
>objection is that in fields like seismology various participants  
>in the field have long since agreed on data collection  
>standards, which makes analysis much easier across institutions.  
>UFO data analysis is still held back by the varying collection  
>standards in use across the years. However, in counterpoint I do  
>admit that there's quite a lot of public data that's been  
>collected across the last sixty five years or so.

>Second,

>going to the first point - as I think it gets to the heart of  
>our disagreement - I agree that there exists more than enough  
>evidence on record to conclude that some percentage of  
>"credible reports of incredible things" in the air most  
>certainly does exist. SR14 was an excellent example to cite, and  
>I do not take issue with the analysis or your claims of its  
>relevance here. But I do ask you to note the difference between  
>the conclusions of SR14, which assert that a certain percentage  
>of "unknowns" were of high quality. But I don't think this  
>report concluded that alien space craft were the cause of those  
>unknowns. They were classified as "unknown" for the reason that  
>they were reported by highly credible individuals, they were  
>highly strange, and no prosaic answers were found by  
>investigators.

>It is quite the leap to jump from "unknown" to "alien space  
>craft". And an even greater leap to jump from "alien space  
>craft" to "specific alien types observed". One could view this  
>as three sets of thresholds of acceptance: That some UFO  
>phenomena exist and are not understood; that some UFO phenomena  
>represents alien life; that some UFO phenomena are alien space  
>ships flown by Gray / Reptilian / Nordic / etc bipedal life  
>forms. I've come to accept the first threshold, but I've got  
>serious problems with #2 and especially #3.

>You cited Leslie Kean and her recent book UFOs: Generals,  
>Pilots and Government Officials Go On the Record to make your  
>point about the validity of alien spacecraft and visitors  
>buzzing Earth. However, I've read that book. That is not what  
>Ms. Kean said in her book. She certainly discussed the ETH as a  
>potential explanation for UFO phenomena, but she did NOT  
>assert that the ETH was more than just a hypothesis. You do. You  
>say it is a fact. Aliens are buzzing the earth. There's a huge  
>difference. From my perspective, there is "plentiful" evidence  
>of something physical in our skies that we do not understand.  
>But I do not see that "plentiful" evidence of alien bipedal  
>creatures flying alien space ships around our skies. Thus, I  
>don't think your argument invalidates the viability of SETI at  
>all. And I can think of several arguments to continue SETI  
>research regardless of whether UFOs do - in fact - turn out to  
>be due to biological aliens flying space ships to earth.

>Confusing this demarcation between accepting UFO phenomena as  
>something real and worthy of study, to next representative of  
>some kind of intelligence from outside earth, to finally  
>biological bipedal aliens flying space ships is where I find the  
>most difficulty. I'll give my perspective as to why. Back when  
>Donald Keyhoe wrote his classics, like Flying Saucers are  
>Real, The Flying Saucer Conspiracy, and Aliens from Space:  
>The Real Story of Unidentified Flying Objects he may well have  
>been right but his abuse of anonymous sourcing was just  
>terrible. I'm sure there are plenty on this list who will defend  
>him, saying that 'he had no choice as the subject matter was top  
>secret and no military officers were willing to violate that  
>oath on the record.' Which is right. But it didn't help his  
>credibility. One could reasonably compare Judy Miller's abuse of  
>anonymous sources in her Iraq War coverage at the NY Times for a  
>modern day example of why.

>Keyhoe set a bad precedent. Combine that with intelligence  
>operatives who clearly intended to confuse open source

>researchers and damage their public credibility by having them  
>publish ridiculous claims and the UFO community has the  
>foundations for a massive disinformation set up. Richard Doty?  
>William Cooper? John Lear? Bob Lazar? Do these names ring a  
>bell? By entertaining and accepting known forgeries, like the  
>so-called initial batch of MJ-12 documents you, Linda Howe, and  
>later Timothy Good (who now calls them forgeries) handled, the  
>community only set itself up. The argument is that while these  
>documents may have been forgeries, they may well contain some  
>correct information. The problem is untangling lies from truth  
>with no secondary sources.

>But think about the issue from the perspective of an outsider.  
>If you can't generate valid and on the record sources to  
>untangle and verify aspects of forged documents, how the hell am  
>I - the outsider - supposed to judge the veracity of those  
>claims? And that is how every professional journalist and print  
>news source has responded. Of course, with the help of  
>intelligence sources who also debunk the subject per the  
>Robertson Panel. Ms. Kean is following the only rational choice  
>under these circumstances, she's taken the whole kit and  
>caboodle learned since the 1970s and thrown it away.

>She did not report that Grey aliens have underground bases,  
>formed an agreement with the United States, and are conducting a  
>cross-breeding experiment between aliens and humans. She did not  
>report that a "shooting war" took place in a secure facility  
>between aliens and US soldiers in 1979, leading to the  
>dissolution of that agreement. Nor did she report that aliens  
>have 'vats full of human body parts' which they use for feeding  
>purposes. I would guess she doesn't think much of John Lear's  
>anonymously sourced claims. Or Bob Lazar's, for that matter.

>As it turns out, I have read two of your books: Crash At  
>Corona and Top Secret/Majic (I also bought and paid for  
>them). I know that you spent a great deal of time finding and  
>interviewing witnesses both on the record and off the record to  
>piece together your work. I bought it, I read it, and I thought  
>about it. But I'm not - yet - convinced. And much of that has to  
>do with the tremendous confusion surrounding post 1980s  
>conclusions. Hell, even Richard Dolan (whose work I like) cites  
>some 'facts' obtained by Remote Viewing. Where did this  
>evidentiary standard come from? Why even pay attention? I mean,  
>I've - unfortunately - read Courtney Brown's Cosmac Voyage and  
>felt as though in the process I had actually degraded my  
>learning capacity. Frankly, I'd have been better off drinking  
>too much. (Though, strangely, I'm in the pro RV camp as far as  
>it's utility when claims can be easily verified. But, like  
>Schroedinger's cat, if you can't perform the measurement the  
>outcome will remain neither dead nor alive).

>So, where am I going with this? Well, based on what I saw, one  
>could lead me to the second threshold explanation of "this must  
>represent some kind of extraterrestrial intelligence." What I  
>saw looked intelligently controlled. But that does not mean a  
>collection of bipedal aliens is the ultimate cause. It could  
>be. But I want physical evidence before I accept that  
>conclusion. But right now, in public sources, there is none.  
>Whereas the evidence for a physical cause to some anomalous UFO  
>reports is pretty good.

Anyway, that's my perspective. Thanks for your time  
. -M

I am glad you bought my books Crash At Corona (1997) and hope  
your copy of the Top Secret/MAJIC was the 2nd Edition (2005).  
However, much more to the point about my views is my 2008  
"Flying Saucers and Science":and Kathleen Marden's and my  
"Captured! The Betty and Barney Hill UFO Experience (2007).

There is a major difference between observing eclipses,  
earthquakes, and solar storms.Mother nature produces them out  
side the influence of any intelligence. Flying Saucers a small  
subset of the UFO phenomena are controlled by intelligence.  
Secondly the best tools for observing UFOs(whose behavior is not  
under our control) are those operated by the military which  
provide data that is born classified specifically Aerospace  
Defense Command and aircraft and ship mounted radar and the eyes  
of those witnesses .as well as analysis of recovered wreckage. I  
don't talk about bi-pedal aliens. I do refer to observations of

clearly manufactured craft behaving in ways we can't duplicate with the many flying vehicles produced by earthlings. If they weren't manufactured on Earth, they are ET in origin. Doesn't tell us where, when, why, how.. only not manufactured here. As it happens the many reports of beings associated with physical trace cases and abductions seem to indicate bi-pedal. That tells us nothing about "creatures" out there. It doesn't tell us if they are cyborgs, partly artificial.

I have no idea why you bring up remote viewing , William Cooper or Bob Lazar, Courtney Brown etc ad nauseum. I have done more than anybody else to expose Lazar as a fraud having talked to 5 offices at MIT, his high school, LANL, Cal Tech, Bill Duxler his supposed prof at Cal Tech who actually worked at Pierce JC and did have Bob in his class. I noted problems with his espousal of Element 115. I have also written about fraudulent Cooper.

Why in the world do you claim "Known forgeries for initial batches of MJ-12?". Where are your arguments and refutation of my dismemberment of the claims of forgeries about the CT, EBD, and TF memos? or my review of "Case MJ-12"? I have made quite clear that there are phony documents just as most isotopes aren't fissionable, and most chemicals won't cure any disease, and most people aren't over 7' tall. The real ones matter, not the phonies. The question isn't what are UFOs, but are any of ET origin. The answer is yes..

There are plenty of studies where the up front conclusions aren't derived from the data included. I had to dig in BBSR 14 for the relevant data. The authors obscured it. Yes, the Blue Book Report conclusions did not say ETH. The evidence does. Perhaps that is why debunkers ignore it.

I believe I have also done more than anybody else to show that numerous so called MJ-12 documents are frauds. I espouse 3 and possibly SOM 1.01 . I can find no good reason for you or anybody else to talk of initial ones as frauds. Can you?

I also don't have a piece of a neutron star, or black hole or the surface of Venus or Jupiter or Gliese 581g. I accept that they may be real as well.

Stan

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 10](#)

## News Links - 09-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 11:48:59 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 10 Oct 2010 10:10:10 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 09-10-10

News Links - 09-10-10

Texas Witness Estimates 'Super Ship' Was 7500 Feet Long  
Examiner.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2e7x3fx>

Alabama Witness Gets Close To 'sickly Yellow-Green' Triangle  
Examiner.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2ukp3ts>

'Massive' Black Triangle Ufo Hovered Low Near West Seattle Bridge  
Examiner.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2u9akld>

UN Weighs How to Answer Any Knock on Earth's Door  
New York Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/29cm3h8>

Mainstream Media Places Faith In Tabloids Over UFO  
Bloggers  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/39spy6y>

UFO Disclosure 2010: Vatican Secrets And The Coming Hoax  
Basil & Spice  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bty5na>

China's UFO Frenzy - Will it Go Viral?  
Daily Galaxy  
<http://tinyurl.com/3yn27ra>

Google Compilation Of Chinese UFO News  
<http://tinyurl.com/29799w5>

Leslie Kean, UFOs, And The Need For Timely And Responsible UFO  
Reporting  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/2woj5z5>

UFO/ET Activism Now!  
UFODigest  
<http://tinyurl.com/27ya8wl>

Space Travel Could Advance With UFO Technologies  
Joint Recon Study Group  
<http://tinyurl.com/36t7vaj>

Aliens Among Suspects In 'surgical' Cat Deaths  
Surrey Now  
<http://tinyurl.com/22oeohm>

Come Clean On Aliens  
StarPhoenix  
<http://tinyurl.com/265jlyj>

When Faced With Fantastic, Think Simple

Sand Springs Leader  
<http://tinyurl.com/26nccvj>

From 'The Norm':

Evidence Of Water In Megacanyon On Mars  
<http://tinyurl.com/2ev9kne>

Recent Posts At The UFOBC Website...

Possible Abduction?  
<http://tinyurl.com/2b2xcxe>

Strange Anomaly  
<http://tinyurl.com/268vp8p>

Recently Reported Sightings  
<http://tinyurl.com/283qv82>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 11](#)

## Re: An Even More Secret Space Program?

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 10:33:25 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 15:19:03 -0400  
Subject: Re: An Even More Secret Space Program?

>From: Jay Nelson <[jnelson.nul](mailto:jnelson.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: sat, 09 oct 2010 10:40:30 -0600  
>Subject: An Even More Secret Space Program?

>Recently, the PBS affiliate in my area has been rebroadcasting  
>NOVA's "Astrospies" episode repeatedly. I haven't minded. It's a  
>fascinating story about secret manned orbiting spy satellites -  
>the USAF Manned Orbiting Laboratory v. the Russian ALMAZ. But  
>after watching it the third or fourth time, it dawned on me that  
>the show might contain clues in plain sight to an even more  
>clandestine space program than that of the space spooks.

><http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/astrospies/>

<snip>

>In any event much of the Manned Orbiting Laboratory program is  
>still classified. Astronaut Al Crews said they were "to take  
>pictures and blah, blah, blah, blah". So what other activities  
>were planned that never were accomplished which still require  
>secrecy after all this time?

>I can't shake the feeling that something funny's been going  
>on...

I've seen the PBS program twice and never thought about the UFO  
angle - maybe.

However the top-secret spy MOL program is a good example of how  
the government can keep secrets for decades. It was by pure  
accident that it was rediscovered (when somebody found an unusual  
blue spacesuit in a mothballed NASA control center).

Secrecy was king. The program came complete with a cover story  
put out from the top, namely President Johnson himself  
("scientific research"). Even the purpose of the program was kept  
secret from the initial group of astronaut candidates, who were  
trained separately from the other astronauts. Only the few  
finalists were eventually read into the program.

Even after cancellation, all those involved, from astronauts to  
engineers, kept their mouths shut for 40 some years. And,  
according to the PBS program, most of the MOL program is STILL  
classified. It is hard to understand why. The best I can come up  
with are modern spy satellites still use 40-year-old technology.  
But I doubt that would be of much interest to the Russians or  
Chinese.

There are lots of examples like this, such as the British Enigma  
code-breaking program, that remained completely secret for three  
decades after the war. Several thousand people were involved, but  
nobody talked. The British even busted up the code-breaking  
equipment, including the first all-electronic digital computer,  
lest any of it fall into the hands of Soviet spies. It turns out,  
the British got much further into code-breaking than anybody  
realized, including the ability to read more difficult German and  
Soviet codes at the end of the war.

However, since none of these secret programs were reported on for decades and largely forgotten until somebody finally rediscovered them, obviously they were not important and blown into mythical proportions by authors trying to sell books.

Wait, I'm confusing that with a standard debunking line about Roswell.

David Rudiak

BTW: The Enigma digital computer has since been reconstructed by a retired electrical engineer, complete with several thousand vacuum tubes, and you can see it if you ever visit Bletchley Park - very interesting place and well worth the trip. If you have old vacuum tubes laying around, send them over there. They are hard to come by and they need them badly.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 11](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 18:35:34 +0000  
Archived: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 15:21:03 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>Date: Sat, 9 Oct 2010 18:36:37 -0400  
>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 16:13:33 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

<snip>

>I believe I have also done more than anybody else to show that  
>numerous so called MJ-12 documents are frauds. I espouse 3 and  
>possibly SOM 1.01 . I can find no good reason for you or any  
>body else to talk of initial ones as frauds. Can you?

<snip>

Stan:

I have read everything I could find where you comment on MJ-12 documents. I even cite the sources in my book.

However, I can't decide, based on the attached e-mail, whether you are claiming the documents are frauds or not.

I was taught, at University, that logically something is or is not. How can the documents both be frauds and not frauds? Maybe, in some weird Boorlean universe that might be true, but not in this agreed upon reality.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 11](#)

## Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 15:02:34 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 20:01:18 -0400  
Subject: Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

I think the conversion to tinyurl wiped out my original link in that post. It now goes to a different YouTube video than the one I intended, I think because it linked to the YouTube channel and not the specific video. This should be the correct link:

<http://tinyurl.com/33j4ryg>

Following up,

I've emailed a Mr. John Ventre, who is listed as the MUFON director in Pennsylvania, about reports that he had gone to her house and conducted an investigation. He replied that while MUFON has a policy of not releasing official reports and conclusions to the public, he did go to visit her home and spent two hours there. He stated that he, "spent 2 hrs with her and only saw planes...."

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 11](#)

## Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 17:58:12 +0100  
Archived: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 20:03:53 -0400  
Subject: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview

I received the following from Norwegian film-maker Terje Toftenes.

Dave Haith

-----

New Wendelle Stevens Interview

The amazing 3 hour interview we did with Wendelle in his Tuscon home in Nov. 2006, is this week up for free screening.

<http://newparadigm.no/web/guest/wendell-stevens>

Best regards,

Terje Toftenes  
Producer/Director

NewParadigmFilms  
Fekjan 13  
N-1394 Nesbru

tlf: +47- 905 85 525 / 66906400  
[terje.nul](mailto:terje.nul)  
[www.newparadigm.no](http://www.newparadigm.no)

-----

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 11](#)

## News Links - 10-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 20:49:42 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 20:49:42 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 10-10-10

News Links - 10-10-10

At Pa. Conference, UFOs Are No Alien Concept  
Bling  
<http://tinyurl.com/23tr39w>

Nearly 200 Attend Philly-Area UFO Conference  
Centre Daily Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/26cd8xx>

UFO Faithful Gather To Await Confirmation  
UPI.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/27kjh1j>

The Evolution Of A UFO-Related Article: How Things Went From Bad  
To Worse  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bvvyhc>

UFO Over China: The Truth About China UFO Sighting & Others  
USANewsWeek.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/27accty>

UFO Sighting Over China: Was It Real Or A Military Test?  
NYNewsPost  
<http://tinyurl.com/3ay9xe4>

God An Alien? Author Thinks So  
Myrtle Beach Sun News  
<http://tinyurl.com/2exost8>

UFO Group Will Share Research In Bellingham Oct. 16  
TheNewsTribune.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2dxrm63>

Weird Equinox =96 The Premier UK UFO, Paranormal & Unknown  
Conference Launch  
I-Newswire.com

UFOs And The Men In Black (MIB)  
The Blunt Blogger  
<http://tinyurl.com/26cd8xx>

=46rom Frank Warren's UFO Chronicels:

Witness Affidavits And Declassified Documents  
<http://tinyurl.com/23ydwac>

Air Force Vets Talk of UFOs - ABC Video  
<http://tinyurl.com/29edkfw>

=46rom 'The Norm':

Astronomy Picture of the Day  
<http://tinyurl.com/3acgeg2>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 12](#)

## Texas UFO Network PodCast

From: **Steve Hudgeons** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 21:29:35 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Tue, 12 Oct 2010 08:56:08 -0400  
Subject: Texas UFO Network PodCast

Tonight, Tuesday, 9pm central time at:

<http://www.sjrn.net>

My guest will be MUFON International Director, Clifford Clift.

Please visit:

<http://www.texasufonetwork.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 12](#)

## Detection Of ET Life And Consequences

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 12 Oct 2010 09:59:23 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 12 Oct 2010 18:14:09 -0400  
Subject: Detection Of ET Life And Consequences

In late September a flurry of reports were published that Professor Mazlan Othman was to be appointed UN Space Ambassador for alien contact, such as this Telegraph story:

<http://tinyurl.com/2u3cwuk>

UN spokespersons quickly dismissed that claim, as well as Ms. Othman herself in this more recent BBC video interview:

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/science-environment-11480993>

The claims of a UN Space Ambassadorship were apparently due to her comments at the Kavli Royal Society International Centre in London on space matters, where she discussed a variety of items from UFOs and extraterrestrial contact to mitigating the risk of asteroid and comet impacts on earth. At the end she also took a few questions.

Her full speech is available on YouTube and is well worth listening to, as press reports do not quote her statements during that symposium. The speech and discussion is about thirty minutes long, broken into three parts:

The Detection Of Extra-Terrestrial Life And The Consequences For Science And Society, Professor Mazlan Othman's full speech

#1: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3pyontC93rM>

#2: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VEx73U6ZeTg>

#3: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oalgN-9lGIo>

Best,

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 12](#)

## Re: News Links - 10-10-10

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 12 Oct 2010 17:56:34 +0000  
Archived: Tue, 12 Oct 2010 18:15:52 -0400  
Subject: Re: News Links - 10-10-10

>Date: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 20:49:42 -0400  
>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: News Links - 10-10-10

>News Links - 10-10-10

<snip>

>>From Frank Warren's UFO Chronicels:

>Witness Affidavits And Declassified Documents  
><http://tinyurl.com/23ydwac>

Frank:

It was a pleasure to see that there are UFO investigators who understand exactly what an affidavit is.

Don Schmitt and I had a conversation just after he claimed he had an affidavit from a so-called Roswell witness. I explained to him what an Affidavit was and that what he was presenting was a Witness Statement not an Affidavit. He refused to understand the difference.

It was not an affidavit. It was a Witness Statement written by Don Schmitt and signed by the witness in Don's presence. Don Schmitt is not a notary. The Witness Statement was not done in the presence of a notary.

It is simply a Witness Statement.

In this matter, I feel he has mislead the public by making a claim that is not true.

As we all know an Affidavit carries more legal weight than a Witness Statement. It is the reason Schmitt was claiming he had an Affidavit.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 12](#)

## Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 12 Oct 2010 14:13:57 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Tue, 12 Oct 2010 18:22:07 -0400  
Subject: Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 15:02:34 -0400  
>Subject: Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

>I think the conversion to tinyurl wiped out my original link in  
>that post. It now goes to a different YouTube video than the one  
>I intended, I think because it linked to the YouTube channel  
>and not the specific video. This should be the correct link:

><http://tinyurl.com/33j4ryg>

>Following up,

>I've emailed a Mr. John Ventre, who is listed as the MUFON  
>director in Pennsylvania, about reports that he had gone to her  
>house and conducted an investigation. He replied that while  
>MUFON has a policy of not releasing official reports and  
>conclusions to the public, he did go to visit her home and spent  
>two hours there. He stated that he, "spent 2 hrs with her and  
>only saw planes...."

My guess: airplane with headlights traveling toward her at  
nearly constant altitude, that passes her and continues onward  
to her right, eventually reaching such a low angular elevation  
that it is lost in the trees.

The initial direction of the hypothetical plane was just to its  
left of traveling directly toward her, or, from her perspective,  
it was traveling in a direction that would make it pass just to  
her right.

It may have made a slight left turn just before it reached the  
maximum angular elevation at the time it started to move rapidly  
rightward on the video.

Airplane headlights, when viewed head on can be seen for miles,  
maybe 20 miles or more. When viewed with night vision device  
they can "bloom out" (overexpose) even when miles away.

At 180 mph or 3 miles per minute, if seen when 20 miles away  
would take 6 minutes to get close - if going more slowly, would  
take longer, if going more rapidly would take less -) which can  
explain why the light was seen for so long.

I have seen head-on airplane lights and have been 'astounded' at  
how long it can take for the plane to 'reveal' itself by  
appearing to turn and move away.

Note that if a plane moves toward you at constant altitude its  
initial angular elevation will be low - e.g. 2 miles up, 20  
miles away is about 6 deg elevation and as it approaches the  
angular elevation will increase, i.e., at 2 miles up and 2 miles  
distance the angle is 45 deg.

Initially the increase in angular elevation will be very slow,  
but as the poane approaches the angular elevation will increase  
more and more rapidly until it reaches its maximum angular  
elevation.

In this video the light initially very slowly creeps upward, but then the angular elevation increases rapidly to a maximum value about the time the flashing begins. Then it moves across her field of view and the angular elevation drops again as it moves away.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 13](#)

## Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Online Interview

From: Ron Eastwood <[rge.nul](mailto:rge.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 00:28:57 -0700  
Archived: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 06:26:37 -0400  
Subject: Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Online Interview

Continuation of earlier e-mail relating to E.T.s and my newly discovered acquaintances in Livermore, California.

One of the things my new friend tells me is that the old Atomic Energy Commission which has had several name changes and is now know as Lawrence Livermore Laboratory (one former name was Lawrence Radiation Lab) was a key developer of technology to allow gravity waves to be controled and to allow space/air/undersea vehicles the ability to not only navigate in any or all of those elements but in addition to transport from pole to pole in a couple seconds and earth to moon in 6 minutes and even from our place to other places in the universe at faster than light times (he was specific not to say speed which he claims is totally irrelevant and only applies to movement in a local place to another local place in the same near vicinity.

Travel between truly distant locations and other dimensions is done in a way that neither time or speed as we experience them really prevail. This is where his PhD got in the way of my mere 145 I.Q. and we had to agree to meet at another time to continue our dialogue. I will tell you that he seems totally credible and I felt like a kinder garden child in the presence of a master professor in a University. He assured me to be patient and I would learn and understand a great deal. Actually he said it has been many years since he has even found any of his current friends or neighbors who show any interest or comprehension in hearing his experiences.

Now for the final chapter in what was already one of the most enlightening and eventful days of my life. I had mentioned to his wife before he got home that my television was stolen last week. She mentioned it to him and he offered to give me their old TV since he bought his wife a brand new High Definition, Digital, state of the art flat screen TV only four inches thick at the thickest point.

I offered to buy his old one because I had just considered stopping at the local electronics store on my way home. In fact as I turned into their five hundred foot long driveway I did my Navajo process in which one asks the Universe for anything one seeks and if totally open to NOT receiving it the Navajo believe one will always receive exactly what they wanted or needed. For example one might be short on money to pay rent or mortgage. One asks for the money. Must be fully ready with no remorse or anger or feeling of being cheated be ready to not receive money. One will always get the money but not necessairly in the form expected or wanted. One may get hired at a new job which pays more than enough to make the mortgage payment.

Well I had used the process with respect to wether or not this was an appropriate use of my limited finances on something like a TV. Less than an hour later here is the offer to give me a FREE \$3,000.00 television in huge wooden cabinet on wheels with a screen about five feet across and four feet high. Judy did not believe my technique worked when I first came home from the reservation that summer ten years or so ago, but after seeing me get parking places right at the entrance to the mall with five or six thousand other shoppers on a busy Saturday afternoon and numerous other seemingly "miraculous" results when she was with

me, she finally in the last couple years started finding it really works. Her biggest difficulty was to let go of the "need" to be rewarded and truly allow it to be fine at the deepest levels of her heart and mind and soul to not have success. Once she got past that hurdle she became like a little kid in a candy store.

I am afraid she just may have finally asked for a release from her forty plus years of being a dog groomer and care taker of other peoples pets. She knew I had just that afternoon graduated from a two year long course in which I now have all the tools needed to start my own internet web site and generate up to half a million dollars in the first year. She just may have asked for retirement and a well deserved vacation from standing on her feet upwards of twelve hours a day. She might have also at the split second before entering the fatal intersection where she was killed have acknowledged that it would be o.k. if such a vacation and retirement need not happen. My advice is be very very thoughtful about what you ask for because it may surprise you how you actually receive it.

I am looking forward to more visits with my new acquaintances. I shall keep you all informed as usual. I am open to what ever develops and it is o.k. with me if nothing at all comes of the invitation to visit with E.T. children.

My neighbor says she won't believe it until I bring one home to introduce to her. I assured her that unwillingness to believe without proof is the same thing as placing conditions on the desire to meet an alien life form. It will not happen until that block is removed. To that she says, "You see Ron if God wants me to meet an alien it does not take any Navajo magic. He (God) will bring one to me or me to an E.T." I have a strong feeling Mary will never meet an E.T. any more than she will meet God whom she fervently claims she knows personally. Strange how she can hold onto that sort of blind faith and be unable to allow for something akin to it as practiced by the Navajo people and other indigenous groups.

Oh yes, my friend's wife worked with the Navajo Wind Talkers or whatever they were called. What a fantastic confluence of events this past few days and weeks. Judy is no doubt aware that the things I have been ranting and raving about all our life together are finally falling into place.

Do not try finding me if I just disappear one day. As the group Wendelle Stevens talked about went off to another solar system and after a few years decided to never return, I would have no regrets at all if something similar would happen in my life. At this moment my dog Posy is the only living entity I would have a difficult time leaving behind. I wonder if Arcturians have dog like pets. (God spelled backwards is dog)

Keep the Peace.

All my love,

Ron

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## **UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 13](#)

## Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 09:08:46 +0100  
Archived: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 06:28:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 17:58:12 +0100  
>Subject: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Online Interview

>I received the following from Norwegian film-maker Terje  
>Toftenes.

>Dave Haith

>-----

>New Wendelle Stevens Interview

>The amazing 3 hour interview we did with Wendelle in his Tuscon  
>home in Nov. 2006, is this week up for free screening.

><http://newparadigm.no/web/guest/wendell-stevens>

<snip>

I am sorry about the demise of Wendelle Stevens but in my view  
this interview represents the worst side of UFO research.

I have watched only the first hour of unsubstantiated wild  
stories which went far beyond my 'boggle factor'.

It's as if Stevens trawled every way-out tale on the web and  
rehashed it as truth including the SERPO exchange program.

He tells of one guy taken by aliens to their home planet and  
assures us it must be fact because the guy is a trusted Southern  
Baptist minister!

I'm very open to anybody's testimony however strange but no time  
did I hear the interviewer ask for any evidence from Stevens to  
back up his claims.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 13](#)

## Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

From: Diana Cammack <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 12:33:07 +0200  
Archived: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 07:14:13 -0400  
Subject: Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Tue, 12 Oct 2010 14:13:57 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 15:02:34 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

>>I think the conversion to tinyurl wiped out my original link in  
>>that post. It now goes to a different YouTube video than the one  
>>I intended, I think because it linked to the YouTube channel  
>>and not the specific video. This should be the correct link:

>><http://tinyurl.com/33j4ryg>

>>Following up,

>>I've emailed a Mr. John Ventre, who is listed as the MUFON  
>>director in Pennsylvania, about reports that he had gone to her  
>>house and conducted an investigation. He replied that while  
>>MUFON has a policy of not releasing official reports and  
>>conclusions to the public, he did go to visit her home and spent  
>>two hours there. He stated that he, "spent 2 hrs with her and  
>>only saw planes...."

>My guess: airplane with headlights traveling toward her at  
>nearly constant altitude, that passes her and continues onward  
>to he right, eventually reaching such a low angular elevation  
>that it is lost in the trees.

>The initial direction of the hypothetical plane was just to its  
>left of traveling directly toward her, or, from her perspective,  
>it was traveling in a direction that would make it pass just to  
>her right.

>It may have made a slight left turn just before it reached the  
>maximum angular elevation at the time it started to move rapidly  
>rightward on the video.

>Airplane headlights, when viewed head on can be seen for miles,  
>maybe 20 miles or more. When viewed with night vision device  
>they can "bloom out" (overexpose) even when miles away.

>At 180 mph or 3 miles per minute, if seen when 20 miles away  
>would take 6 minutes to get close - if going more slowly, would  
>take longer, if going more rapidly would take less -) which can  
>explain why the light was seen for so long.

>I have seen head-on airplane lights and have been 'astounded' at  
>how long it can take for the plane to 'reveal' itself by  
>appearing to turn and move away.

>Note that if a plane moves toward you at constant altitude its  
>initial angular elevation will be low - e.g. 2 miles up, 20  
>miles away is about 6 deg elevation and as it approaches the  
>angular elevation will increase, i.e., at 2 miles up and 2 miles  
>distance the angle is 45 deg.

>Initially the increase in angular elevation will be very slow,  
>but as the poane approaches the angular elevation will increase  
>more and more rapidly until it reaches its maximum angular  
>elevation.

>In this video the light initially very slowly creeps upward, but  
>then the angular elevation increases rapidly to a maximum value  
>about the time the flashing begins. Then it moves across her  
>field of view and the angular elevation drops again as it moves  
>away.

As the sound of an aircraft going overhead would be obvious to  
the camera person, your analysis means that this is a deliberate  
fake, not simply a misperception of lights in the sky. If  
correct, that changes the nature of the case altogether.

Diana

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 13](#)

## UFO Highway Site Closes?

From: Roy Hale <[roy.nul](mailto:roy.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 12:07:30 +0100  
Archived: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 08:06:27 -0400  
Subject: UFO Highway Site Closes?

List,

I just received this email from Anthony F. Sanchez informing me that his website the UFO Highway has closed with immediate effect. Below the mail I received.

Roy

-----

Farewell

It is with sad news that I report to all of you that the book UFO Highway and the website are permanently closed. I have undergone a devastating loss and I am unable to continue on. I will no longer be in the research field and I am stepping away, permanently. I have given Norio Hayakawa the copy of the interview.

For those who have my email and phone, please respect my privacy and do not contact me. I am dealing with a serious loss and cannot discuss anything with anyone. Please contact Norio Hayakawa for information on Dulce.

Thank you.

Anthony F. Sanchez

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 13](#)

## Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

From: **John Rimmer** <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 13:07:31 +0100 (BST)  
Archived: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 08:57:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

>From: Diana Cammack <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 12:33:07 +0200  
>Subject: Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

<snip>

>As the sound of an aircraft going overhead would be obvious to  
>the camera person, your analysis means that this is a deliberate  
>fake, not simply a misperception of lights in the sky. If  
>correct, that changes the nature of the case altogether.

That is not necessarily the case. Sound propagation is determined by many factors. One would need to know for instance the wind direction, other noises in the area, the witnesses own hearing capacity, the type of aircraft, etc., etc.

I live within a few miles of Heathrow, the world's busiest international airport and planes pass over at the rate of one a minute, at low altitude. The variation in sound level between similar aircraft can be remarkable, depending on ambient conditions.

We need to know far more about the circumstances before declaring this a hoax rather than a misidentification.

--

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 13](#)

## UFOs & E-Books

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 09:19:55 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 09:19:55 -0400  
Subject: UFOs & E-Books

Having been asked by several readers about the availability and publishing of UFO e-books, here's what a simple Google search yielded:

There are 63, apparently, UFO-related e-books for iPhones, iPads, PCs, Macs, Sony Readers and mobile phones... at:

EBooks.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/35abban>

For readers interested in creating & publishing their own, there are among others John Hocking's site:

<http://www.guidetoebookmarketing.com/>

and the eBookApprentice.Com site:

<http://www.ebookapprentice.com/ebooks/index.html>

and

<http://www.ebookcompiler.com/>

Any other links/advice are welcomed and doubtlessly helpful to those interested.

ebk

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 13](#)

## Re: UFOs & E-Books

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 09:51:07 EDT  
Archived: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 10:02:38 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs & E-Books

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 09:19:55 -0400  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: UFOs & E-Books

>Having been asked by several readers about the availability and  
>publishing of UFO e-books, here's what a simple Google search  
>yielded:

>There are 63, apparently, UFO-related e-books for iPhones, iPads,  
>PCs, Macs, Sony Readers and mobile phones... at:

>EBooks.Com

><http://tinyurl.com/35abban>

>For readers interested in creating & publishing their own, there  
>are among others John Hocking's site:

><http://www.guidetoebookmarketing.com/>

>and the eBookApprentice.Com site:

><http://www.ebookapprentice.com/ebooks/index.html>

>and

><http://www.ebookcompiler.com/>

>Any other links/advice are welcomed and doubtlessly helpful to those  
>interested.

>ebk

Errol, you must have been reading my mind.

I've been taking a massive amount of internet marketing courses  
and discovered there are opportunities out there that boggle the  
mind.

Matter of fact, I was just talking about ebooks last night with  
my new marketing teams. I was lucky to pull in 100s if not 1000s  
of top online marketers and we're gabbing it up non-stop. The  
subject of ebooks came up and the growing opportunities  
regarding marketing and development.

With today's technologies you can do wonders with an ebook as  
they are capable of having Flash video, audio, hyperlinks  
embedded in them.

I was looking for ebook development for comic books and  
children's books as I have two books out I'm converting into the  
ebook format.

There is so much information I could fall over. Had we these techniques and technologies then as we have now we'd all be living high off the hog.

Since I work in comics, animation, news, I'm always looking for ways to get myself and colleagues to maximize our profits. Artists often delegate responsibilities to others and the companies make the lion's share of the profits. In the new scenarios, the artists, the talent have to step up to the plate and learn the basics of publishing online and how to sell their goods and services. Authors should study and team up with people who can make life much simpler so that they can produce and distribute on a global scale with ease instead of banging their heads against the wall.

If you make it, there are millions of people who will sell it. It's now all a matter of the math. Think of it this way, you have a book, tutorial or product and you're selling it through one website, yours.

That's about as much exposure as wearing a t-shirt with your brand on it but you're at a football stadium with 50,000 people. You might get one sale. However what if those other 50,000 people wore the same t-shirt and did so 24/7? Sales would increase. Same as on the web. There are massive numbers, millions up millions of people looking for things to sell. They don't care what they sell as long as it's there. So the formula is getting easy. Produce it, promote it not to the public but to the marketers. They'll promote it to the public.

Anyhow the possibilities look endless and the UFO community should have long ago gotten into the marketing game to help keep the wolves off the door.

So for the next few months I'll be networking and arranging my teams because I have a lot of producing and studying to do. When I've separated the chaff from the wheat I'll list the good ones.

Believe me, I've said this for decades, with the popularity of UFOs no UFOlogist worth their salt should be struggling for capital. If Hollywood is making untold fortunes off your research you should have been getting a big piece of that pie.

Just the past couple of months I've had to do promotion in regard to online banking and ecommerce. In one week I must have made a good five figures for literally doing nothing but pointing people in the right direction who needed help. Wish I'd known about it years ago.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 13](#)

## Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Online Interview

From: Dave Haith <[visionsl.nul](mailto:visionsl.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 14:29:39 +0100  
Archived: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 10:09:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Online Interview

>From: Ron Eastwood <[rge.nul](mailto:rge.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates" <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 00:28:57 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Online Interview

>Continuation of earlier e-mail relating to E.T.s and my newly  
>discovered acquaintances in Livermore, California.

>One of the things my new friend tells me is that the old Atomic  
>Energy Commission which has had several name changes and is now  
>know as Lawrence Livermore Laboratory (one former name was  
>Lawrence Radiation Lab) was a key developer of technology to  
>allow gravity waves to be controled and to allow  
>space/air/undersea vehicles the ability to not only navigate in  
>any or all of those elements but in addition to transport from  
>pole to pole in a couple seconds and earth to moon in 6 minutes  
>and even from our place to other places in the universe at  
>faster than light times (he was specific not to say speed which  
>he claims is totally irrelevant and only applies to movement in  
>a local place to another local place in the same near vicinity.

>Travel between truly distant locations and other dimensions is  
>done in a way that neither time or speed as we experience them  
>really prevail. This is where his PhD got in the way of my mere  
>145 I.Q. and we had to agree to meet at another time to continue  
>our dialogue. I will tell you that he seems totally credible  
>and I felt like a kinder garten child in the presence of a  
>master professor in a University. He assured me to be patient  
>and I would learn and understand a great deal. Actually he said  
>it has been many years since he has even found any of his  
>current friends or neighbors who show any interest or  
>comprehension in hearing his experiences.

<snip>

Just to explain, Ron is on another group to which I posted the  
same message about the Wendelle Stevens interview and he replied  
to that group and copied in UFO UpDates also.

Despite my own post here about the interview, decrying its lack  
of evidence - Ron claims to have found a contact with inside  
knowledge which might validate some of Stevens' claims.

So I post here the relevant section of Ron's first message  
which might make sense of the second one!

Below is from Ron Eastwood of California:

-----

NOW FOR THE BOMB SHELL. This past Saturday while servicing one  
of my animal dryer customers we discovered that the wife worked  
in above top secret cryptography for our intelligence services  
(predecessors of the CIA) where she met her future husband who  
is now retired. Before they met he was doing above top secret  
work first as a pilot then as a commander of an elite group of  
specially selected men who guarded the facilities of a Naval  
base at Pensacola, Florida where living E.T.s were being housed  
after being debriefed at Wright Patterson after landing (not

crashing!) at Roswell, New Mexico.

According to him the entire crash thing was a massive well planned hoax from before anything ever happened to steer inquisitive minds away from the reality of the presence of living "visitors". Further more the British and the Germans also had working relationships with groups from other "realities" according to my friend. He wishes me to avoid using his name or rank since even though he is now 72 years old and free legally from his oath of secrecy he does not wish the publicity. I shall honor that request.

But, that is not the Bomb Shell. The Bomb Shell is that as I told them of some of the information related to my work assisting in writing up the protocols to be used by specially selected children when and if contact with E.T. entities is made he looked at her and said, "Tell him honey."

She grinned and said were you told your protocols would be used "if and when" contact is made? Yes, I said. She said her masters thesis was in linguistics. She is one of the facilitators working NOW with our protocols and the contact has been underway most of this century!

Dear friends, this is almost too much too fast for even my lightning fast open mind. She wants to get permission to take me with her on one of her next visits to the facilities (near us) where the work is now in progress. She, like me, was never told about people like me who have contributed to the protocol development, and I certainly was not told they are being used NOW, TODAY.

Her husband says it will not be long before all the alleged secrecy is irrelevant. I could have talked with them for hours but both they and I had other obligations that day.

-----

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 13](#)

## The UFO Mafia Radio Program

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 10:03:42 EDT  
Archived: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 10:45:35 -0400  
Subject: The UFO Mafia Radio Program

Well, I had been asked for years to do radio but I'm not a radio person. I must know the majority of the top radio talk show hosts from Rush Limbaugh to Dr. Deagle to George Noory and of course Jeff Rense.

I was in early watching radio develop on the web and have done work for and booked guests for many shows.

I also do voice over work as of recent I've been deluged for offers from companies to do narration for commercials and more. Didn't know I had the talent but when you have companies like IBM, Earthlink, Microsoft calling you up on the phone and leaving messages about how much the girls at the office love your voice you must be doing something right.

So I'm going to play around with doing a radio program on Blog Talk Radio based on my site UFO Mafia.

My first guest will be legendary writer Doug Moench. Doug is one of the most popular and prolific writers in the comic book industry having written Batman and created such characters as Deathlok and Moon Knight which are now in development for film.

Doug is also a die hard UFologist. We've chatted about UFOs endlessly.

Hopefully the program will gain some steam and I'll get some of you on this List on the program too. I'm working with some top marketers to maximize the opportunities to do shows like this.

I'll announce the air date of this program as I'm trying to keep it to one hour but I want it to be a program where the Ufologist gets to cover all the data they feel comfortable with, without interruptions and nonsense.

The program will be a bit more technically based than usual as I have an army of aerospace pals who love talking details but will have some humor.

I also want the authors who appear to be able to point to their books, videos etc. so that the public can get them directly. That's most important because getting the books and products helps the public build their own library of reference materials from the authors themselves instead of piecemeal.

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 13](#)

## Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 11:18:21 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 12:03:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview

>From: Dave Haith<[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 09:08:46 +0100  
>Subject: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview

>>From: Dave Haith<[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 17:58:12 +0100  
>>Subject: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Online Interview

>>I received the following from Norwegian film-maker Terje  
>>Toftenes.

>>Dave Haith

>>New Wendelle Stevens Interview

>>The amazing 3 hour interview we did with Wendelle in his Tuscon  
>>home in Nov. 2006, is this week up for free screening.

>><http://newparadigm.no/web/guest/wendell-stevens>

>><snip>

>I am sorry about the demise of Wendelle Stevens but in my view  
>this interview represents the worst side of UFO research.

>I have watched only the first hour of unsubstantiated wild  
>stories which went far beyond my 'boggle factor'.

>It's as if Stevens trawled every way-out tale on the web and  
>rehashed it as truth including the SERPO exchange program.

>He tells of one guy taken by aliens to their home planet and  
>assures us it must be fact because the guy is a trusted Southern  
>Baptist minister!

>I'm very open to anybody's testimony however strange but no time  
>did I hear the interviewer ask for any evidence from Stevens to  
>back up his claims.

The interview was full of unsubstantiated information, but I  
viewed it only as an indication of Steven's beliefs at the end  
of his life. It was a reminder that what we think is true is  
really just a distillation of various pieces of information that  
we find more or less believable.

Although it would have been nice, I did not feel that the  
interview was intended to prove anything. Steven's did try to  
discuss physical evidence concerning the validity of Billy  
Meier's photos, for example, but in the interview format it was  
hard to present evidence that amounts to proof.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 14](#)

## Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 12:03:43 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 06:59:16 -0400  
Subject: Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 13:07:31 +0100 (BST)  
>Subject: Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

>>From: Diana Cammack <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 12:33:07 +0200  
>>Subject: Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

><snip>

>>As the sound of an aircraft going overhead would be obvious to  
>>the camera person, your analysis means that this is a deliberate  
>>fake, not simply a misperception of lights in the sky. If  
>>correct, that changes the nature of the case altogether.

>That is not necessarily the case.

<snip>

>We need to know far more about the circumstances before  
>declaring this a hoax rather than a misidentification.

I agree with this assessment. The PA MUFON director, Mr. Ventre,  
and I continued our email correspondence off the record. Without  
quoting him or revealing his personal opinion of Ms. Kruse, he  
did not claim that he saw her perpetuating a deliberate hoax.  
However, without putting words into his mouth, I think it would  
be fair to say that he believes there are other, more pressing,  
investigations in Pennsylvania for MUFON-PA to pursue.

I'll forward him a link to this thread. I suspect he'd be  
particularly interested in Dr. Maccabees's input. I'd also like  
to thank Dr. Maccabee for taking the time to view the video and  
respond with his professional opinion.

Best,

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 14](#)

## Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 18:12:02 +0100  
Archived: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 07:02:11 -0400  
Subject: Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 11:18:21 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview

>>From: Dave Haith<[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 09:08:46 +0100  
>>Subject: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Interview

>>>From: Dave Haith<[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 11 Oct 2010 17:58:12 +0100  
>>>Subject: Wendelle Stevens 3 Hour Online Interview

>>>I received the following from Norwegian film-maker Terje  
>>>Toftenes.

>>>Dave Haith

>>>New Wendelle Stevens Interview

>>>The amazing 3 hour interview we did with Wendelle in his Tuscon  
>>>home in Nov. 2006, is this week up for free screening.

>>><http://newparadigm.no/web/guest/wendell-stevens>

>><snip>

>>I am sorry about the demise of Wendelle Stevens but in my view  
>>this interview represents the worst side of UFO research.

>>I have watched only the first hour of unsubstantiated wild  
>>stories which went far beyond my 'boggle factor'.

>>It's as if Stevens trawled every way-out tale on the web and  
>>rehashed it as truth including the SERPO exchange program.

>>He tells of one guy taken by aliens to their home planet and  
>>assures us it must be fact because the guy is a trusted Southern  
>>Baptist minister!

>>I'm very open to anybody's testimony however strange but no time  
>>did I hear the interviewer ask for any evidence from Stevens to  
>>back up his claims.

>The interview was full of unsubstantiated information, but I  
>viewed it only as an indication of Steven's beliefs at the end  
>of his life. It was a reminder that what we think is true is  
>really just a distillation of various pieces of information that  
>we find more or less believable.

>Although it would have been nice, I did not feel that the  
>interview was intended to prove anything. Steven's did try to  
>discuss physical evidence concerning the validity of Billy  
>Meier's photos, for example, but in the interview format it was  
>hard to present evidence that amounts to proof.

I agree William that we all have to continually assess whether what we accept or believe is really truth or just a kind of UFO dogma we've slowly absorbed over the years.

I didn't know Wendelle personally but I've just had a letter from a pal who did.

Here's what he wrote - I have his permission to post it:

-----

Even though dear old Wendelle died recently and one shouldn't speak ill of the dead, it must be said that he was an arch bullshitter.

He was, I concede, a wonderful storyteller - and I have hours and hours of stories he recorded for me - I fear that little of what he told me bore much relation to the truth. His desire to believe even the most outrageous stories about UFOs and aliens completely overrode any critical faculty whatsoever.

He was a leading supporter of Billy Meier and tales of his adventures with Semjase and the Pleiadians and that is quite definitely one of the biggest UFO frauds of all time.

Maybe Terje Toftenes doesn't appreciate that this is the case?

During the last 20 years that I've been going to the Ozark UFO Conference in Eureka Springs, AR, Wendelle Stevens was a regular speaker, usually having the first session on the Saturday morning. Few if any of my more critical ufologist friends ever bothered to attend Wendelle's session since we all knew this would be just another excursion into the realms of fantasy.

-----

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 14](#)

### Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

From: Dave Haith <[visionsl.nul](mailto:visionsl.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 18:55:42 +0100  
Archived: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 07:05:44 -0400  
Subject: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

I am unsure of the rules of Facebook... if somebody pastes something on a page, is it in the public domain?

Gambling that it's OK, on my Facebook page's Newsfeed appeared a current discussion about life in the universe and whether we might be the first.

Presumably it originated on Jim Penniston's Facebook page... to be honest I can't fathom a lot of this techno stuff.

Jim, who claims to have actually touched the landed craft, commented "But why can't we be the first - there is no evidence to show other than that for right now"

One of Jim's friends replies " I think it is possible that we could be the first. There had to be a first at one time. But given the size and age of our galaxy I find it very unlikely that we are the first. Not to mention the possibility of migration from other galaxies. I have a great deal of respect for you and what you have done. Given your experiences, It surprises me that you would think that. Do you think the thing that you experienced was something other than ET?"

Jim answers: "Yes"

Friend responds: "Wow, I did not know that. Very interesting. Not to put you on the spot, but what is your theory? Us from the future? Interdimensional?"

"I sure don't claim to know for sure, but given the knowledge that I have at this point in my life ET's ...seem the most likely to me, but I'm always open to new evidence."

Jim replies: "Yes, that is what John (Burroughs) and I will disclose in detail in December... In thirty years since the incident at Rendlesham, at no time whatsoever have we said it was ET... Only the media have... and recently Halt, and I am not sure if he has said that because of the technology involved or what. And it is his only rational explanation... But, John and I are the only witnesses who had contact... :) Oh yes, our beliefs are not a theory, but, are based on the facts... :)"

I will leave it to Listers to wonder what exactly he thinks landed at the base that day.....

The event he refers to in December is a reunion at Rendlesham.

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 14](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 18:11:42 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 07:11:31 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

Hi David; Stanton,

Thank you both for your responses. My reply is once again combined into a single message to you both:

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 10:43:39 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 18:38:57 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

<snip>

>The point is again, with similar ecological niches, form follows  
>function. There are a limited number of optimal solutions for  
>survival in particular ecological niches. Another example,  
>predators often have forward-looking eyes (with good binocular  
>vision) while prey have their eyes off to the side to give them  
>a much wider field of view to look for predators.

<snip>

>Actually very little is known about pre-Cambrian life forms  
>since they were soft-bodied and didn't leave much fossil  
>evidence behind. But I think you miss the big picture with your  
>argument. There aren't a lot of geometrical symmetries to work  
>with: radial, spherical, and bilateral (or no symmetry, such as  
>sponges). All existed pre-Cambrian just as they do post-  
>Cambrian.

I'm mostly talking about the Burgess Shale fossil findings from British Columbia. However, I should say that my experience with this field is no more than reading a good book by Stephen J. Gould on the subject some years ago. I am not a professional.

>But ONLY bilateral symmetry results in streamlining and rapidly  
>moving life forms. Bilateral symmetry is also more energy  
>efficient for motion. Speed and energy efficiency both have  
>highly significant survival value, which is why they were  
>selected for. (Streamlining and energy efficiency is also why  
>nearly all our craft, from canoes to submarines and jet  
>airplanes are bilaterally symmetric--it is an optimal solution.)

>Those are the primary reasons why all higher and more complex  
>life forms are bilateral symmetric, and it isn't going to matter  
>which star system they evolve in. Bilateral symmetry is going to  
>be king because of basic physics that is the same everywhere.

Are you really right in these assertions? I honestly don't know. Some years back on UFO UpDates I made the mistake of playing 'image analyst' with a photo and news article that had been posted to the List and defended it as the real thing. Well, lo and behold, the pro image analysts here (I think Dr. Maccabee was one), chimed in and called it a bird. I learned a valuable lesson there: I stepped outside my field and expertise and as a

result defended a bad conclusion. I'm trying to be careful and avoid making that mistake again.

However, I'm not sure you're right in these assertions about evolutionary biology. But I wonder if computer simulation along the lines of an updated version of Richard Dawkin's old Biomorph code that shipped within book The Blind Watchmaker might answer some of these questions.

I know that you are certain that you are right, but I am not. Unfortunately, I don't have a strong argument to counter your claims, so I'm not in a position to challenge them directly. This time I recognize that evolutionary biology is completely outside my field. But I will say that your argument does not resemble what several real biologists have said to me in the past. Though I admit they were drunk at the time and more concerned with munching hors d'oeuvres than debating the finer points of evo-bio.

I'll think about it and dig. I might come back to this debate later after a conversation with a Ph.D biologist (it might take a few beers at the pub, but I think I can find someone to chat with about the issue unofficially. lol).

Now on to my response to Mr. Friedman:

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 16:13:33 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

<snip>

>I am glad you bought my books Crash At Corona (1997) and hope  
>your copy of the Top Secret/MAJIC was the 2nd Edition (2005).  
>However, much more to the point about my views is my 2008  
>"Flying Saucers and Science":and Kathleen Marden's and my  
>"Captured! The Betty and Barney Hill UFO Experience (2007).

Lol. Actually, I bought Top Secret/MAJIC as a first edition hardcover when it was initially published. So, nope - not the second ed. I'll check out Flying Saucers And Science" though. Thanks. I will say that my favorite book on the subject is Paul Hill's Unconventional Flying Objects, which I think treads the razor's edge between fact and speculation on the UFO subject beautifully.

>There is a major difference between observing eclipses,  
>earthquakes, and solar storms.Mother nature produces them out  
>side the influence of any intelligence. Flying Saucers a small  
>subset of the UFO phenomena are controlled by intelligence.  
>Secondly the best tools for observing UFOs(whose behavior is not  
>under our control) are those operated by the military which  
>provide data that is born classified specifically Aerospace  
>Defense Command and aircraft and ship mounted radar and the eyes  
>of those witnesses .as well as analysis of recovered wreckage.

BREAK-IN:

One argument I would make \_against\_ and chasing unofficial military documents and anonymous testimony is how badly they have damaged the credibility of researchers. I mean, if what I've read in Dolan's Vol 2 '73 - '91 history is correct, Doty really screwed the community. And while it might be conspiracy theorist to assume he did so as disinformation at the behest of the intelligence community, it's hard not to at least wonder. His SERPO stuff makes me want to bang my head into the nearest wall.

OTOH: FIOA requests and official archive digging did generate documents leading to such classics as Clear Intent, which documented UFOs buzzing missile silos and military installations over two decades ago. Another very good book and excellent research done by the author.

>I don't talk about bi-pedal aliens. I do refer to observations of  
>clearly manufactured craft behaving in ways we can't duplicate  
>with the many flying vehicles produced by earthlings.If they  
>weren't manufactured on Earth, they are ET in origin.Doesn't  
>tell us where, when, why, how.. only not manufactured here. As  
>it happens the many reports of beings associated with physical

>trace cases and abductions seem to indicate bi-pedal. That tells  
>us nothing about "creatures" out there. It doesn't tell us if  
>they are cyborgs, partly artificial.

This I agree with. My sense of it is that biological species evolved on planets are likely not well suited to space. Organisms would have to build big pressurized tin cans and simulate gravity to come close to creating a comparable environment they're suited to. I'm not convinced it's worth the energy expenditure, assuming that alien biotechnology emerges along similar timespans as space faring technology - as it has with human development. Rather than changing the environment to suit the travelers (build space ships) why not change biology to suit the environment (live in space)? That's a speculative argument that follows from Singularity promoters like Ray Kurzweil.

>I have no idea why you bring up remote viewing , William Cooper >or Bob Lazar, Courtney Brown etc ad nauseum. I have done more >than anybody else to expose Lazar as a fraud having talked to 5 >offices at MIT, his high school, LANL, Cal Tech, Bill Duxler his >supposed prof at Cal Tech who actually worked at Pierce JC and >did have Bob in his class. I noted problems with his espousal >of Element 115. I have also written about fraudulent Cooper.

Only because the claims of Doty, Cooper, Lear, and Lazar are still widely circulated. I did so as an example, not to say that you argue in support of their claims. The Element 115 claim is just plain bogus. Though I suppose he couldn't have known in the late '80s that it would be synthesized so soon and thus blow his claims sky high.

>Why in the world do you claim "Known forgeries for initial >batches of MJ-12?". Where are your arguments and refutation of >my dismember-ment of the claims of forgeries about the CT, >EBD, and TF memos? or my review of "Case MJ-12"? I have made >quite clear that there are phony documents just as most isotopes >aren't fissionable, and most chemicals won't cure any disease, >and most people aren't over 7' tall. The real ones matter, not >the phonies. The question isn't what are UFOs, but are any of ET >origin. The answer is yes..

Well, I think that even on UFO UpDates you might find some diversity of opinion on the validity of the Eisenhower Briefing Document, Cutler Twining Memo, and the Truman Forestal memo. I've certainly read that there are plenty of UFO researchers who aren't as certain as you, and even more skeptics who simply debunk them outright. I'm not convinced.

>I believe I have also done more than anybody else to show that >numerous so called MJ-12 documents are frauds. I espouse 3 and >possibly SOM 1.01 . I can find no good reason for you or any >body else to talk of initial ones as frauds. Can you?

No, but there are sources on the internet that claim so. For example:

<http://www.hyper.net/ufo/docs.html>

"However, many other UFO researchers (Brad Sparks, Robert Hastings, Kevin Randle, Jan Aldrich, Jerome Clark etc) consider the MJ12 documents fraudulent. To them, the only remaining question is whether MJ12 docs were created by USAF/OSI as part of a disinformation campaign, or inside the UFO community to catalyze disclosure and/or propel specific people into the spotlight. New MJ12 revelations by Brad Sparks (MUFON 2007 conference). Robert Hastings' 2009 series: Operation Bird Droppings: The MJ12 Saga continues, Update 1, Update 2"

Some of these folks are on-List, so if they're opinions have been misrepresented I assume they'll chime in. I'm simply quoting from the source.

Again, thank you very much for your detailed reply. Also, thanks to Jerome Clark, who also replied. I didn't respond to that as I mostly agree with his argument and didn't want to take up additional list space on minutia.

Take care,

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 14](#)

## Re: Detection Of ET Life And Consequences

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 00:47:27 +0200 (CEST)  
Archived: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 07:13:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: Detection Of ET Life And Consequences

>From: J. Maynard Gelinus <[j.maynard.gelinus.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinus.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 12 Oct 2010 09:59:23 -0400  
>Subject: Detection Of ET Life And Consequences

>In late September a flurry of reports were published that  
>Professor Mazlan Othman was to be appointed UN Space Ambassador  
>for alien contact, such as this Telegraph story:

><http://tinyurl.com/2u3cwuk>

>UN spokespersons quickly dismissed that claim, as well as Ms.  
>Othman herself in this more recent BBC video interview:

><http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/science-environment-11480993>

>The claims of a UN Space Ambassadorship were apparently due to  
>her comments at the Kavli Royal Society International Centre in  
>London on space matters, where she discussed a variety of items  
>from UFOs and extraterrestrial contact to mitigating the risk of  
>asteroid and comet impacts on earth. At the end she also took a  
>few questions.

<snip>

I attended the Royal Society's discussion meeting last week. I heard Professor Othman's presentation and spoke to her privately about this.

The position is as she described it.

She hasn't been appointed as 'alien ambassador', but believes (as do I) that the UN Office for Outer Space Affairs and the UN Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space may be appropriate places to discuss issues relating to the detection of extraterrestrial life.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 14](#)

## Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

From: **Terrence Brown** <[mrbrownsdi.nul](mailto:mrbrownsdi.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 03:28:04 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 07:15:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sun, 10 Oct 2010 15:02:34 -0400  
>Subject: Re: YouTube Channel seeingUFOsPA

>I think the conversion to tinyurl wiped out my original link in  
>that post. It now goes to a different YouTube video than the one  
>I intended, I think because it linked to the YouTube channel  
>and not the specific video. This should be the correct link:

><http://tinyurl.com/33j4ryg>

>Following up,

>I've emailed a Mr. John Ventre, who is listed as the MUFON  
>director in Pennsylvania, about reports that he had gone to her  
>house and conducted an investigation. He replied that while  
>MUFON has a policy of not releasing official reports and  
>conclusions to the public, he did go to visit her home and spent  
>two hours there. He stated that he, "spent 2 hrs with her and  
>only saw planes...."

Her neighbour found the same thing.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b15zP9BPSbo>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 14](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 04:33:22 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 08:05:32 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 18:11:42 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>Hi David; Stanton,

>Thank you both for your responses. My reply is once again combined  
>into a single message to you both:

<snip>

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 16:13:33 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

><snip>

>>I am glad you bought my books Crash At Corona (1997) and hope  
>>your copy of the Top Secret/MAJIC was the 2nd Edition (2005).  
>>However, much more to the point about my views is my 2008  
>>"Flying Saucers and Science":and Kathleen Marden's and my  
>>"Captured! The Betty and Barney Hill UFO Experience (2007).

><LOL> Actually, I bought Top Secret/MAJIC as a first edition  
>hardcover when it was initially published. So, nope - not the  
>second ed. I'll check out Flying Saucers And Science" though.  
>Thanks. I will say that my favorite book on the subject is Paul  
>Hill's Unconventional Flying Objects, which I think treads the  
>razor's edge between fact and speculation on the UFO subject  
>beautifully.

>>There is a major difference between observing eclipses,  
>>earthquakes, and solar storms.Mother nature produces them out  
>>side the influence of any intelligence. Flying Saucers a small  
>>subset of the UFO phenomena are controlled by intelligence.  
>>Secondly the best tools for observing UFOs(whose behavior is not  
>>under our control) are those operated by the military which  
>>provide data that is born classified specifically Aerospace  
>>Defense Command and aircraft and ship mounted radar and the eyes  
>>of those witnesses .as well as analysis of recovered wreckage.

>BREAK-IN:

>One argument I would make against and chasing unofficial  
>military documents and anonymous testimony is how badly they  
>have damaged the credibility of researchers. I mean, if what  
>I've read in Dolan's Vol 2 '73 - '91 history is correct, Doty  
>really screwed the community. And while it might be conspiracy  
>theorist to assume he did so as disinformation at the behest of  
>the intelligence community, it's hard not to at least wonder.  
>His SERPO stuff makes me want to bang my head into the nearest  
>wall.

>OTOH: FIOA requests and official archive digging did generate  
>documents leading to such classics as Clear Intent, which  
>documented UFOs buzzing missile silos and military installations  
>over two decades ago. Another very good book and excellent

>research done by the author.

>>I don't talk about bi-pedal aliens. I do refer to observations of  
>>clearly manufactured craft behaving in ways we can't duplicate  
>>with the many flying vehicles produced by earthlings. If they  
>>weren't manufactured on Earth, they are ET in origin. Doesn't  
>>tell us where, when, why, how.. only not manufactured here. As  
>>it happens the many reports of beings associated with physical  
>>trace cases and abductions seem to indicate bi-pedal. That tells  
>>us nothing about "creatures" out there. It doesn't tell us if  
>>they are cyborgs, partly artificial.

>This I agree with. My sense of it is that biological species  
>evolved on planets are likely not well suited to space.  
>Organisms would have to build big pressurized tin cans and  
>simulate gravity to come close to creating a comparable  
>environment they're suited to. I'm not convinced it's worth the  
>energy expenditure, assuming that alien biotechnology emerges  
>along similar timespans as space faring technology - as it has  
>with human development. Rather than changing the environment to  
>suit the travelers (build space ships) why not change biology to  
>suit the environment (live in space)? That's a speculative  
>argument that follows from Singularity promoters like Ray  
>Kurzweil.

>

>>I have no idea why you bring up remote viewing , William Cooper  
>>or Bob Lazar, Courtney Brown etc ad nauseum. I have done more  
>>than anybody else to expose Lazar as a fraud having talked to 5  
>>offices at MIT, his high school, LANL, Cal Tech, Bill Duxler his  
>>supposed prof at Cal Tech who actually worked at Pierce JC and  
>>did have Bob in his class. I noted problems with his espousal  
>>of Element 115. I have also written about fraudulent Cooper.

>Only because the claims of Doty, Cooper, Lear, and Lazar are  
>still widely circulated. I did so as an example, not to say that  
>you argue \_in support\_ of their claims. The Element 115 claim is  
>just plain bogus. Though I suppose he couldn't have known in the  
>late '80s that it would be synthesized so soon and thus blow his  
>claims sky high.

>>Why in the world do you claim "Known forgeries for initial  
>>batches of MJ-12?". Where are your arguments and refutation of  
>>my dismemberment of the claims of forgeries about the CT,  
>>EBD, and TF memos? or my review of "Case MJ-12"? I have made  
>>quite clear that there are phony documents just as most isotopes  
>>aren't fissionable, and most chemicals won't cure any disease,  
>>and most people aren't over 7' tall. The real ones matter, not  
>>the phonies. The question isn't what are UFOs, but are any of ET  
>>origin. The answer is yes..

>

>Well, I think that even on UFO Updates you might find some  
>diversity of opinion on the validity of the Eisenhower Briefing  
>Document, Cutler Twining Memo, and the Truman Forestal memo.  
>I've certainly read that there are plenty of UFO researchers who  
>aren't as certain as you, and even more skeptics who simply  
>debunk them outright. I'm not convinced.

>>I believe I have also done more than anybody else to show that  
>>numerous so called MJ-12 documents are frauds. I espouse 3 and  
>>possibly SOM 1.01 . I can find no good reason for you or any  
>>body else to talk of initial ones as frauds. Can you?

>No, but there are sources on the internet that claim so. For  
>example:

><http://www.hyper.net/ufo/docs.html>

>"However, many other UFO researchers (Brad Sparks, Robert  
>Hastings, Kevin Randle, Jan Aldrich, Jerome Clark etc) consider  
>the MJ12 documents fraudulent. To them, the only remaining  
>question is whether MJ12 docs were created by USAF/OSI as part  
>of a disinformation campaign, or inside the UFO community to  
>catalyze disclosure and/or propel specific people into the  
>spotlight. New MJ12 revelations by Brad Sparks (MUFON 2007  
>conference). Robert Hastings' 2009 series: Operation Bird  
>Droppings: The MJ12 Saga continues, Update 1, Update 2"

>Some of these folks are on-List, so if they're opinions have  
>been misrepresented I assume they'll chime in. I'm simply  
>quoting from the source.

>Again, thank you very much for your detailed reply. Also, thanks  
>to Jerome Clark, who also replied. I didn't respond to that as I  
>mostly agree with his argument and didn't want to take up  
>additional list space on minutia.

I would certainly acknowledge that there are many people on this  
List and elsewhere claiming that the EBD, CT, TF items are  
fraudulent. I believe I have dealt with all their arguments. I  
keep asking for refutations of my refutations. All I get is  
research by proclamation. "They are obvious frauds". I wish they  
would add "because A,B,C... which with STF hasn't dealt".

I am off to a conference in Strasbourg... Back Monday.  
I won't hold my breath.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 13](#)

## News Links - 12-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 20:19:51 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 20:19:51 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 12-10-10

News Links - 12-10-10

UFO Spotted Over Barrow  
NW Evening Mail  
<http://tinyurl.com/2vkc72v>

UFO Expert Reveals Spate Of Sightings Around Edinburgh  
stv.tv  
<http://tinyurl.com/25g4zry>

Did A UFO Land In A Tucson Wash In October Of 1967?  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/32dn6j7>

Glenrothes 'UFO' Mystery Solved?  
Fife Today  
<http://tinyurl.com/3y4e4js>

UFO Faithful Await Confirmation While UFO Over China Reports  
Cause Ripples  
NYNewsPost - Karyn Dolan  
<http://tinyurl.com/34tr4y5>

UFO Sighting Over China: The Historical Perspective  
USANewsWeek.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/37224sr>

NASA, JPL Scientists Among First To Endorse Billy Meier UFO  
Photos [Really?!]  
<http://tinyurl.com/2dr722k>

3 Books On UFOs  
Washington Post  
<http://tinyurl.com/335a2hy>

Aliens, Military Wackos, And The Next War  
Miami New Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/28kb7k5>

UFO Group Postpones This Week's Meeting  
Milford Daily News  
<http://tinyurl.com/3y2qtvd>

From 'The Norm':

Conspiracy Theorists Confident: Altered Image A NASA Cover-Up  
<http://tinyurl.com/34fzwej>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 14](#)

### Re: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 10:08:27 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 11:43:44 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 18:55:42 +0100  
>Subject: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

>I am unsure of the rules of Facebook... if somebody pastes  
>something on a page, is it in the public domain?

>Gambling that it's OK, on my Facebook page's Newsfeed appeared a  
>current discussion about life in the universe and whether we  
>might be the first.

>Presumably it originated on Jim Penniston's Facebook page... to  
>be honest I can't fathom a lot of this techno stuff.

>Jim, who claims to have actually touched the landed craft,  
>commented "But why can't we be the first - there is no evidence  
>to show other than that for right now"

>One of Jim's friends replies "I think it is possible that we  
>could be the first. There had to be a first at one time. But  
>given the size and age of our galaxy I find it very unlikely  
>that we are the first. Not to mention the possibility of  
>migration from other galaxies. I have a great deal of respect  
>for you and what you have done. Given your experiences, It  
>surprises me that you would think that. Do you think the thing  
>that you experienced was something other than ET?"

>Jim answers: "Yes"

Dave,

I haven't been able to find a source for this, on Facebook or elsewhere. Jim Penniston has a Facebook account, which I found through his participation (and yours) in the Justice For The Bentwaters 81st Security Police At Rendlesham Forest 1980 FB group.

For some reason a FB search for James Penniston does not bring up this James Penniston, though you can get to his profile from posts in the Justice... group. I haven't run into that before.

Penniston's FB account is set to private, so those who are not signed on as his 'friends' will not see any details, such as conversations on his Wall. I'm not sure how the newsfeeds apps work.

Anything that is on a FB Wall that is not publicly visible should have a reasonable expectation of privacy, at least in theory. Netiquette and ethics would suggest that before quoting elsewhere, permission should be obtained from the participants (much like Errol's guidelines on this List).

The writers own the rights to their words no matter what, and they are not public domain unless those copyrights are relinquished, or permissible under a stated Creative Commons agreement. Fair Use standards might apply to short excerpts that are publicly visible. Copyright issues regarding internet

material may depend on which country the servers are located in. As with many issues, there are a lot of grey areas between the spirit of the law and the letter of the law. When in doubt, aim to be thoughtful and ethical in your cutting and pasting of online material.

Carol Maltby

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 14](#)

### Re: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 14:22:12 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 14:22:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 18:55:42 +0100  
>Subject: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

>I am unsure of the rules of Facebook... if somebody pastes  
>something on a page, is it in the public domain?

>Gambling that it's OK, on my Facebook page's Newsfeed appeared a  
>current discussion about life in the universe and whether we  
>might be the first.

>Presumably it originated on Jim Penniston's Facebook page... to  
>be honest I can't fathom a lot of this techno stuff.

>Jim, who claims to have actually touched the landed craft,  
>commented "But why can't we be the first - there is no evidence  
>to show other than that for right now"

>One of Jim's friends replies " I think it is possible that we  
>could be the first. There had to be a first at one time. But  
>given the size and age of our galaxy I find it very unlikely  
>that we are the first. Not to mention the possibility of  
>migration from other galaxies. I have a great deal of respect  
>for you and what you have done. Given your experiences, It  
>surprises me that you would think that. Do you think the thing  
>that you experienced was something other than ET?"

>Jim answers: "Yes"

>Friend responds: "Wow, I did not know that. Very interesting.  
>Not to put you on the spot, but what is your theory? Us from the  
>future? Interdimensional?"

>"I sure don't claim to know for sure, but given the knowledge  
>that I have at this point in my life ET's ...seem the most  
>likely to me, but I'm always open to new evidence."

>Jim replies: "Yes, that is what John (Burroughs) and I will  
>disclose in detail in December... In thirty years since the  
>incident at Rendlesham, at no time whatsoever have we said it  
>was ET... Only the media have... and recently Halt, and I am  
>not sure if he has said that because of the technology involved  
>or what. And it is his only rational explanation... But, John  
>and I are the only witnesses who had contact... :) Oh yes, our  
>beliefs are not a theory, but, are based on the facts... :)"

>I will leave it to Listers to wonder what exactly he thinks  
>landed at the base that day.....

>The event he refers to in December is a reunion at Rendlesham.

Penniston has already made comments on this before. It is his  
opinion that the visitors are time-travelers and he reportedly  
believes he received telepathic communication.

As David Jacobs points out, the problem with telepathy is that

since it is mind-to-mind it may be hard to distinguish the message from the noise in our heads.

Personally, I find it fascinating that Penniston hasn't yet to publicly acknowledge that he believed he communicated with an machine.

The craft he claimed he witnessed had no crew compartment. It was only about 9ft long and the assumption is that it was a highly advanced example of a UAV, be it from here or not.

Now, the question I have is whether or not the craft was somehow controlled by an organic race from elsewhere or was in fact a representation of an advanced, intelligent machine, the kind that most futurists and transhumanists believe will dominate the future.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 14](#)

## Manhattan UFOs

From: **Joe Merrell** <[joe.nul](mailto:joe.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 09:05:38 -0700  
Archived: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 14:26:40 -0400  
Subject: Manhattan UFOs

These objects were spotted over Manhattan yesterday (10/13/10):

<http://tinyurl.com/32bmybn>

<http://www.huliq.com/10164/floating-orb-manhattan-sets-ufo-debate>

Many reports refer to a single object (mainstream press reports), though multiple objects seem to have been present - as can be seen clearly in the linked videos.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 14](#)

## Re: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 18:20:09 +0100  
Archived: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 14:31:07 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

>From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 10:08:27 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

>>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 18:55:42 +0100  
>>Subject: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

>>I am unsure of the rules of Facebook... if somebody pastes  
>>something on a page, is it in the public domain?

>>Gambling that it's OK, on my Facebook page's Newsfeed appeared a  
>>current discussion about life in the universe and whether we  
>>might be the first.  
>>(Snip)

>Dave,

>I haven't been able to find a source for this, on Facebook or  
>elsewhere. Jim Penniston has a Facebook account, which I found  
>through his participation (and yours) in the Justice For The  
>Bentwaters 81st Security Police At Rendlesham Forest 1980 FB  
>group.

>For some reason a FB search for James Penniston does not bring  
>up this James Penniston, though you can get to his profile from  
>posts in the Justice... group. I haven't run into that before.

>Penniston's FB account is set to private, so those who are not  
>signed on as his 'friends' will not see any details, such as  
>conversations on his Wall. I'm not sure how the newsfeeds apps  
>work.

>Anything that is on a FB Wall that is not publicly visible  
>should have a reasonable expectation of privacy, at least in  
>theory. Netiquette and ethics would suggest that before quoting  
>elsewhere, permission should be obtained from the participants  
>(much like Errol's guidelines on this List).

>The writers own the rights to their words no matter what, and  
>they are not public domain unless those copyrights are  
>relinquished, or permissible under a stated Creative Commons  
>agreement. Fair Use standards might apply to short excerpts that  
>are publicly visible. Copyright issues regarding internet  
>material may depend on which country the servers are located in.  
>As with many issues, there are a lot of grey areas between the  
>spirit of the law and the letter of the law. When in doubt, aim  
>to be thoughtful and ethical in your cutting and pasting of  
>online material.

Carol

I now gather that Jim Penniston, a Facebook 'friend' of Nick  
Pope posted his comments on Nick's 'wall' as part of a thread  
about Nick's Royal Albert Hall talk.

I am also a 'friend' of Nick Pope and Jim Penniston which

presumably is why the item appeared on my Facebook.

Nick tells me his settings are 'relatively open', so posting a comment on his wall means it's likely to be seen by numerous people.

I appreciate your advice about being "thoughtful and ethical in cutting and pasting of online material" but my view is that if you want to keep something private, posting it on a social networking site would be unwise.

The law must set its own standards but I would argue that the responsibility of guarding privacy lies primarily with the poster.

As for "writers owning the rights to their words no matter what", it seems this isn't true as far as Facebook is concerned.

According to this link:

<http://tiny.cc/r28nu>

Facebook has a license to do whatever they want with your content!

Facebook rules state:

"By posting User Content to any part of the Site, you automatically grant, and you represent and warrant that you have the right to grant, to the Company an irrevocable, perpetual, non-exclusive, transferable, fully paid, worldwide license (with the right to sublicense) to use, copy, publicly perform, publicly display, reformat, translate, excerpt (in whole or in part) and distribute such User Content for any purpose on or in connection with the Site or the promotion thereof, to prepare derivative works of, or incorporate into other works, such User Content, and to grant and authorize sublicenses of the foregoing."

According to the legal writer on that link: "this means you're giving up copyright control of your material. If you upload a photo to Facebook, they can sell copies of it without paying you a cent. If you write lengthy notes (or import your blog posts!), Facebook can turn them into a book, sell a million copies, and pay you nothing."

Oh, the purpose of this post was rather to prompt reaction to Penniston's views rather than discuss Facebook!

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 14](#)

## Re: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 18:52:17 +0100  
Archived: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 14:33:47 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

>From: Dave Haith <[visions1.nul](mailto:visions1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 18:55:42 +0100  
>Subject: Rendlesham Was Not ET Says Prime Witness

>I will leave it to Listers to wonder what exactly he thinks  
>landed at the base that day.....

I believe he is going to tell you they were time-travellers.

MS

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 15](#)

## Re: Manhattan UFOs

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 16:28:01 -0300  
Archived: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 09:41:43 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>From: Joe Merrell <[joe.nul](mailto:joe.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 09:05:38 -0700  
>Subject: Manhattan UFOs

>These objects were spotted over Manhattan yesterday (10/13/10):

><http://tinyurl.com/32bmybn>

><http://www.hulig.com/10164/floating-orb-manhattan-sets-ufo-debate>

>Many reports refer to a single object (mainstream press reports), though multiple objects seem to have been present - as can be seen clearly in the linked videos.

These are reminiscent of the reports of the late 40s and early 50s. Very interesting and of course not weather balloons. They don't use weather balloons in that highly congested area (the New York TRACON) but rather laser ceilometers to read cloudbases.

The first video is suspect because it cuts away to witness actions which has to be edited in afterwards. Also the first video must have been outside of the Manhattan skyscraper area judging by the unobstructive view of the objects.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 15](#)

## Re: Manhattan UFOs

From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 15:29:03 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 09:43:39 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>From: Joe Merrell <[joe.nul](mailto:joe.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 09:05:38 -0700  
>Subject: Manhattan UFOs

>These objects were spotted over Manhattan yesterday (10/13/10):

><http://tinyurl.com/32bmybn>

><http://www.hulig.com/10164/floating-orb-manhattan-sets-ufo-debate>

>Many reports refer to a single object (mainstream press reports), though multiple objects seem to have been present - as can be seen clearly in the linked videos.

If indeed it is the anomaly, then it shouldn't be a big surprise: New York has recently had a very anti-structural and non-typical event - a tornado that devastated the lives of many and laid waste to cars and homes. Routine for many has been completely interrupted and continues to be so.

Notice the "if it's the anomaly", and that's a big "if".

Jeff Ritzmann

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 15](#)

## Re: News Links - 07-10-10

From: **Rich Heiden** <[rwheidenu.nul](mailto:rwheidenu.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 13:32:16 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 09:49:57 -0400  
Subject: Re: News Links - 07-10-10

>News Links - 07-10-10

>From Nick Balaskas:

>Historians Admit To Inventing Ancient Greeks  
>TheOnion  
>[Man Never Went To The Moon... And Now, This --NB]  
><http://tinyurl.com/36d78mm>

Milwaukee's humor weekly humor/satire tabloid, The Onion, is available elsewhere too. (When I was in Rochester, N.Y., for the MUFON symposium a few years ago, I saw it for sale in a large newspaper shop there.) But here in Milwaukee we have the advantage of being able to pick it up for free at racks around town.

Anyway, I can assure you that the article Historians Admit To Inventing Ancient Greeks was indeed in print, in the issue with the cover date of Oct. 7, 2010 (Vol. 46, Issue 40), pp. 1 and 10. (A lot of the paper's online articles - at least in the AV Club section, which has its own Web site - are not in print.)

Of course, that doesn't make it true, or even a serious attempt at journalism. No more so than its cover article awhile back about how the U.S. Capitol Building was being gutted and turned into a theme park. At that time, some lazy Chinese reporter stole the article and it was printed in China as a real news item.

Rich Heiden

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 15](#)

## Re: Leslie Kean On Wisconsin Public Radio

From: Rich Heiden <[rwheidenu.nul](mailto:rwheidenu.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 13:45:41 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 10:14:47 -0400  
Subject: Re: Leslie Kean On Wisconsin Public Radio

>From: Giuliano Marinkovic <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 26 Aug 2010 19:16:41 +0200  
>Subject: Leslie Kean On Wisconsin Public Radio

>Dear Colleagues,

>Leslie Kean was interviewed today Wisconsin Public Radio.

>I have archived it at:

><http://tinyurl.com/3yk7nuz>

I missed it this show it was first on (10:00 a.m.), but caught the replay at 10:00 p.m. Kathleen Dunn has done similar kinds of programs before, and treats the subject in a serious manner.

CDs of the program are available, for \$14.95 postpaid for non-members. Call 800-747-7444, and ask for Kathleen Dunn's program of Aug. 26.

By the way, the above tinyurl link no longer works. Try these:

<http://tinyurl.com/2bbkt5r>

<http://tinyurl.com/33tkwoq>

Rich Heiden

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 15](#)

## Kean & NASA's Kendall On UFOs

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 08:34:50 +0200  
Archived: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 10:18:26 -0400  
Subject: Kean & NASA's Kendall On UFOs

Dear Colleagues,

Fox 5 News broadcasted on October 14, 2010 discussion on UFOs with Leslie Kean and James Kendall from NASA based on recent reports from Manhattan.

You can watch discussion here:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BFUxHMP409I>

Background videos and Kendall's profile:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RnVjzahBxu0>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tNUBIdSZvaE>

<http://tinyurl.com/327a6hs>

Best Wishes

Giuliano

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 15](#)

### Stunning CE-3 In La Pampa Argentina

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 08:05:56 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 10:24:38 -0400  
Subject: Stunning CE-3 In La Pampa Argentina

Source: CEUFO

Date: 10.15.10

Argentina: September's Stunning Close Encounter

By Quique Mario, CEUFO

A new close encounter of the third kind (CE-3) took place in the afternoon of 20 September at a community 80 km distant from the city of Santa Rosa, capital city of La Pampa province.

The protagonist of the strange episode is the same one who was visited by a strange being a few months ago. The intruder left a series of burns on her arms after having made contact with one of her extremities.

This shocking incident was made known to the Centro de Estudios UFO as it was in the past, and only a few hours after, a member of the group arrived on the scene to commence his field study, ascertaining that aside from the marks on the experiencer's face, it was possible to find marks in the back yard that resembled very large feet. The woman was aided by her relatives, who contacted our center. Research kicked off with a test aimed at determining several of the experiencer's physical and psychological aspects, whose results shall be made known in coming weeks.

Reiteration

It should be remembered that this woman has "suffered" experiences of this kind on several occasions, the first of them as she went about her chores in a farm in November 2008; the second in October 2009; the third in March 2010 and the last one in September 2010.

Entity Characteristics

The outstanding characteristics of the strange being described by the witnesses are the following: a height estimated at 2 meters. Very thin body with long extremities. Gave the impression of being very frail, but was extraordinarily strong on occasions in which physical contact was made. Has no ears. The eye cavity appears hollow, but the reddish light within them, "as though from a little light", stands out. The nose is imperceptible or else flattened "like an ape's" and the mouth is an almost imperceptible slit on the skin. The witness was shocked by what appeared to be the entity's suit or skin, which she defined as "wrinkled" or "like fish scales". Its hands were large, but the most significant aspect were its large, rounded fingers. Regarding feet, which she was able to notice in the latest experience, "they were large and as if in three parts" although she cannot define if it was footwear. The color of the skin or suit is dark, either grey or greenish.

Details

The being appeared within the home shortly after 20:15 hours.

How it entered is unknown, as the doors were shut. She claims that she felt the sensation that [the entity] was not alone, as it looked and made sounds as though there were something behind it, but she was unable to see if this was the case. The witness recanted saying: "nooooo, not again, noooo" and the being took hold of her face in a firm, decisive manner. This resulted in some dark marks on her cheekbones that were visible in photographs. It was thus that she felt the great strength of its extremities.

The protagonist's son was one of the first to come to her assistance. He says that he heard a strange buzzing sound, similar to a very sharp "beep". The experience lasted only a few minutes, after which the woman calmed down and retold her experience. The young man contacted CEUFO and took the photo of the strange print in the back yard of the house. We shall provide further information on this research in future updates.

Translation (c) 2010, S. Corrales, IHU  
Special thanks to Quique Mario (CEUFO) and Guillermo Gimenez  
Planeta UFO

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 16](#)

## New York City UFO Mystery Solved?

From: **Jeff Ritzmann** <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 12:00:31 -0400  
Archived: Sat, 16 Oct 2010 08:42:53 -0400  
Subject: New York City UFO Mystery Solved?

Not all that surprising really I suppose.

<http://tinyurl.com/34r275p>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 16](#)

## Re: Manhattan UFOs

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 12:29:12 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sat, 16 Oct 2010 08:44:45 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>From: Joe Merrell <[joe.nul](mailto:joe.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 09:05:38 -0700  
>Subject: Manhattan UFOs

>These objects were spotted over Manhattan yesterday (10/13/10):

><http://tinyurl.com/32bmybn>

><http://www.hulig.com/10164/floating-orb-manhattan-sets-ufo-debate>

>Many reports refer to a single object (mainstream press  
>reports), though multiple objects seem to have been present - as  
>can be seen clearly in the linked videos.

UFO? NYC kids say no, mysterious floating orbs were escaped  
balloons from teacher's engagement party

<http://tinyurl.com/3yqtjgy>

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 16](#)

## UFOs & Nukes Follow-Up Press Release

From: **Robert Hastings** <[ufohastings.nul](mailto:ufohastings.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 14:24:52 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sat, 16 Oct 2010 08:52:49 -0400  
Subject: UFOs & Nukes Follow-Up Press Release

For Immediate Release  
October 14, 2010

The UFO-Nukes Connection  
Press Conference:

Military Witness Affidavits and Declassified Documents Are Now  
Available Online

The UFO-Nukes Connection press conference held at the National Press Club in Washington D.C. on September 27, 2010, and streamed live by CNN has generated extensive and ongoing media interest worldwide. The original press release was distributed by PR Newswire:

<http://tinyurl.com/38h9y9f>

The lead was "U.S. Nuclear Weapons Have Been Compromised by Unidentified Aerial Objects" followed by "Ex-military men say unknown intruders have monitored and even tampered with American nuclear missiles" and "Group to call on U.S. Government to reveal the facts."

The press kit distributed to those attending the event contained notarized affidavits from seven of the participants=E2=80=94former or retired U.S. Air Force personnel who were directly or indirectly involved in UFO incursions at nuclear weapons sites=E2=80=94as well as a small cross-section of declassified FBI and U.S. Air Force documents relating to such incidents over the years. Those items have now been posted online:

<http://tinyurl.com/23ydwac>

All of the press conference participants, including the researcher who investigated their cases, continue to be available for telephone, radio and television interviews.

Contact:

Researcher Robert Hastings  
[ufohastings.nul](mailto:ufohastings.nul)  
[hastings444.nul](mailto:hastings444.nul)

Former USAF Captain Robert Salas  
[rsalas15.nul](mailto:rsalas15.nul)

Additional ex-military eyewitness testimony and declassified U.S. government documents relating to the UFO-Nukes Connection may be found in Robert Hastings' 600-page book, UFOs and Nukes: Extraordinary Encounters at Nuclear Weapons Sites, available only at [www.ufohastings.com](http://www.ufohastings.com). Robert Salas' book, Faded Giant, describes his UFO-related experience at Malmstrom AFB in 1967, and is available at amazon.com.

Related items of interest:

A 'skeptical' organization, Committee for Skeptical Inquiry (CSI), has attempted to discredit witness testimony regarding UFO activity at nuclear weapons sites. However, the

organization's online rebuttal conveniently neglects to mention CSI's largely-unpublicized and intriguing connections with the U.S. government's nuclear weapons program:

<http://tinyurl.com/3yfkhnq>

UFO debunker James T. Carlson has attempted to dismiss a UFO-involvement in two separate, large-scale nuclear missile shutdown incidents at Malmstrom AFB, Montana, on March 16th and 24th, 1967. However, Robert Hastings' article "The Echo/Oscar Witch Hunt" contains links to audio-taped conversations with two of the ICBM launch officers involved, who confirm a UFO presence during both missile-malfunction incidents:

<http://tinyurl.com/28q6l5t>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 16](#)

## Re: Manhattan UFOs

From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 13:16:37 -0700  
Archived: Sat, 16 Oct 2010 08:55:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 15:29:03 -0400  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>>From: Joe Merrell <[joe.nul](mailto:joe.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 09:05:38 -0700  
>>Subject: Manhattan UFOs

>>These objects were spotted over Manhattan yesterday (10/13/10):

>><http://tinyurl.com/32bmybn>

>><http://www.huliq.com/10164/floating-orb-manhattan-sets-ufo-debate>

>>Many reports refer to a single object (mainstream press  
>>reports), though multiple objects seem to have been present - as  
>>can be seen clearly in the linked videos.

>If indeed it is the anomaly, then it shouldn't be a big  
>surprise: New York has recently had a very anti-structural and  
>non-typical event - a tornado that devastated the lives of many  
>and laid waste to cars and homes. Routine for many has been  
>completely interrupted and continues to be so.

>Notice the "if it's the anomaly", and that's a big "if".

>Jeff Ritzmann

Hi, Jeff, Joe, and List:

Yeah, that is a mighty big "if". More realistic and obvious  
answers can be found here:

<http://tinyurl.com/2fo6rr4> [New York Post]

<http://tinyurl.com/29c49kh> [Fox News]

Basically, the story is that a woman attending an engagement  
party at a local Mt. Vernon, NY, school had a cluster of 12  
silver and white party balloons pulled out of her hands by the  
wind as she neared the entrance to the school.

Both the Post and Fox stories are headlined Kids Behind UFOs...  
which makes no sense, as it was the female teacher who was  
attending the party who lost the balloons, but I suppose  
sensationalistic headlines, even when part of a story deflating  
(heh!) the idle speculations of some in NYC who saw the objects  
floating in the sky, are intended to make something out of  
nothing for financial motivations. Consider the media sources  
involved.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 16](#)

## Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 20:16:03 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Sat, 16 Oct 2010 09:00:46 -0400  
Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinus <[j.maynard.gelinus.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinus.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 18:11:42 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Fri, 8 Oct 2010 10:43:39 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>>From: J. Maynard Gelinus <[j.maynard.gelinus.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinus.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2010 18:38:57 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>The point is again, with similar ecological niches, form follows  
>>function. There are a limited number of optimal solutions for  
>>survival in particular ecological niches. Another example,  
>>predators often have forward-looking eyes (with good binocular  
>>vision) while prey have their eyes off to the side to give them  
>>a much wider field of view to look for predators.

<snip>

>>But ONLY bilateral symmetry results in streamlining and rapidly  
>>moving life forms. Bilateral symmetry is also more energy  
>>efficient for motion. Speed and energy efficiency both have  
>>highly significant survival value, which is why they were  
>>selected for. (Streamlining and energy efficiency is also why  
>>nearly all our craft, from canoes to submarines and jet  
>>airplanes are bilaterally symmetric--it is an optimal solution.)

>>Those are the primary reasons why all higher and more complex  
>>life forms are bilateral symmetric, and it isn't going to matter  
>>which star system they evolve in. Bilateral symmetry is going to  
>>be king because of basic physics that is the same everywhere.

>Are you really right in these assertions? I honestly don't know.

Again it's a matter of physics, which is the same everywhere.  
Speed in various forms is one of the key basic strategies of  
survival (there are a limited number), and only bilateral  
symmetry of the also limited number of possible symmetries (the  
others being spherical and radial) leads to optimum  
streamlining, rapid motion, and lowered energy requirements, all  
key elements of survival, which leads to strong selection  
pressure favoring its development.

In billions of years of evolution on this planet, there is not  
one rapidly moving purely spherical or radially symmetric life  
form. All are stationary or sluggish. They employ different  
basic survival strategies, such as hiding, camouflage, body  
armor, or weaponry, such as poison.

Bilateral symmetry also leads to specialization of a head and  
tail end and top and bottom (ventral/dorsal). A direction of  
travel leads to major senses being up in the leading head end  
where the food and probing are, along with a concentration of  
nervous tissue to process the sensory information, or a brain.

There are very compelling reasons why all higher animals follow this very general morphological form, which has nothing to do with chance.

>However, I'm not sure you're right in these assertions about >evolutionary biology. But I wonder if computer simulation along >the lines of an updated version of Richard Dawkin's old Biomorph >code that shipped within book The Blind Watchmaker might answer >some of these questions.

Biomorph code is a simplistic computer simulation showing how a particular morphological form generated by the code will develop if there is slight selection pressure generated by humans, just like we do when breeding plants or animals.

But it has nothing to do with survival in the natural world, where a clear survival advantage of speed and energy efficiency will inevitably lead to the development of bilaterally symmetric forms, that also leads to greater complexity compared to spherical or radial ones.

>I know that you are certain that you are right, but I am not. >Unfortunately, I don't have a strong argument to counter your >claims, so I'm not in a position to challenge them directly. >This time I recognize that evolutionary biology is completely >outside my field. But I will say that your argument does not >resemble what several real biologists have said to me in the >past. Though I admit they were drunk at the time and more >concerned with munching hors d'oeuvres than debating the finer >points of evo-bio.

Well, as you say, drunken biologists munching hors d'oeuvres are not much of a counterargument.

>I'll think about it and dig. I might come back to this debate >later after a conversation with a Ph.D biologist (it might take >a few beers at the pub, but I think I can find someone to chat >with about the issue unofficially. lol).

If you want to examine very similar arguments as mine on a discussion forum see, e.g.:

<http://boards.straightdope.com/sdmb/archive/index.php/t-272738.html>

Also note the additional point raised, like I did, if we are dealing with a technological race that builds spaceships and comes here, this puts further restrictions on morphology. Thus dolphins and octopi may be intelligent, and octopi can even manipulate things, but they will never become technological, so don't expect to meet an alien equivalent emerging from a flying saucer.

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 16](#)

## News Links - 15-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Oct 2010 11:50:12 -0400  
Archived: Sat, 16 Oct 2010 11:50:12 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 15-10-10

News Links - 15-10-10

UFO Expert Reveals Spate Of Sightings Around Edinburgh  
Scotish Television  
<http://tinyurl.com/25g4zry>

Missed UFO Experience? Sound Was Enough To 'Wake The Dead'  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2fu6np4>

More UFO Lights Over Inverness Skies  
Highland News  
<http://tinyurl.com/2vn5q2g>

UFO over Manhattan Caught On Tape  
CBS News  
<http://tinyurl.com/374zj6g>

UFO In Chelsea?  
amNY  
<http://tinyurl.com/39p8tjc>

Shiny Objects Hovering Over Chelsea Spark UFO Speculation  
Sify  
<http://tinyurl.com/2co7fdw>

UFO in New York? This is Starting To Get Eerie ...  
Washington Post  
<http://tinyurl.com/2uf5g9s>

New York City: UFO Aftermath  
Ghost Theory  
<http://tinyurl.com/2582vxp>

Objects Identified: Men, Aliens And Narcissism Edition  
New York Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/23solbg>

NYC Fox Station Reports Jupiter And Balloons As UFOs  
Bad Astronomy  
<http://tinyurl.com/2etc2dy>

Crying Wolf: The Manhattan UFO  
Technorati  
<http://tinyurl.com/24ldk67>

UFOs Frequently Appear Over Taiyuan, Shanxi  
People's Daily Online  
<http://tinyurl.com/24sml6m>

UFO Sightings Over China: The Search For Truth Continues  
USANewsWeek.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2c7l5rv>

Frequent UFO Sightings Reported In China's Shanxi Province  
Tucson Citizen

<http://tinyurl.com/263lbhd>

Heads-up, Earthlings! Aliens Are On Way  
Toronto Sun

UFO Disclosure NOW 2010: Russian Gov Behind The Hoax  
Basil & Spice  
<http://tinyurl.com/2azftyu>

Goldilocks Planet Found - Fox Video  
<http://tinyurl.com/3angnak>

Filer's Files # 42 - 2010  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bq4m62>

From Greg Boone:

Extraterrestrial Dust Found In Hayabusa Probe Container  
The Japan Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/354pt8v>

From Fran Warren's UFO Chronicles:

Military Witness Affidavits and Declassified Documents Available  
Online  
<http://tinyurl.com/29hto4k>

Renowned U.S. Navy Commander Reveals Stunning Roswell Crash Secret  
<http://tinyurl.com/29fs8fs>

From J. Maynard Gelinias:

Planet Hunters No Longer Blinded By The Light  
PhysOrg.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/38a33om>

From 'The Norm':

Fulham Told Me There'd Be Days Like These  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/2dqonsl>

Stop The Presses: It's SETI vs. METI  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bpc8ey>

Old-guard Lion Blows Off Vets? Testimony  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/35nosyl>

Cosmic Dioramas  
<http://tinyurl.com/2ely4o7>

Conspiracy Debunked: NASA Photoshops Images For Good Reason  
<http://tinyurl.com/26ps9kf>

New Image-Manipulation Software Makes Morphing Quicker -  
w/ Video  
PhysOrg.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2ulajoy>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 16](#)

## Re: Manhattan UFOs

From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Oct 2010 10:38:27 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Sat, 16 Oct 2010 14:14:31 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 12:29:12 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>>From: Joe Merrell <[joe.nul](mailto:joe.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 09:05:38 -0700  
>>Subject: Manhattan UFOs

>>These objects were spotted over Manhattan yesterday (10/13/10):

>><http://tinyurl.com/32bmybn>

>><http://www.huliq.com/10164/floating-orb-manhattan-sets-ufo-debate>

>>Many reports refer to a single object (mainstream press  
>>reports), though multiple objects seem to have been present - as  
>>can be seen clearly in the linked videos.

>UFO? NYC kids say no, mysterious floating orbs were escaped  
>balloons from teacher's engagement party

><http://tinyurl.com/3yqtjqy>

Surely there are a few ufologists in the NYC area, perhaps MUFON investigators? I wonder how long one must wait to hear what they find while investigating the various balloon hypotheses. Surely they've noticed apparent discrepancies such as:

1. The sightings in Manhattan were reported to be going on as early as 9:30 in the morning. The inadvertent balloon release at the grade school was said to have occurred around 1 pm. So unless the morning sightings were all hallucinations, the 1 pm balloon release hypothesis can't explain it.
2. One witness saw some 50 dots moving around in the sky, in the morning. Another reported "hundreds" of them. But only 12 were inadvertently released, and those in a single cluster, apparently tied together, since the school mom had brought four clusters of a dozen each in her car or van - one cluster escaped, as a cluster. So the numbers also don't fit the balloon hypothesis. 12 versus 50 or more.
3. Most of the videos I viewed showed between 3 and 8 white individual dots or orbs. But if it was a tied cluster that escaped, they would not be expected to come untied while drifting upwards and along with the breezes. One video did show a tight cluster of orbs, but less than twelve. So obviously the chronology of the various sightings and videos needs checking - the cluster should come before the individually moving orbs if there's a chance for the same balloons to have been involved in both.
4. Three video segments in the pasted-together video each showed three orbs; in two of the segments the orbs were separated from each other by a few or by many orb-diameters, while in the third segment they were very close to each other, practically touching. In what order were these footage segments taken? It

would be extremely improbable for three definitely separated balloons drifting within the mildly turbulent daytime air to come together, rather than to continue dispersing.

5. The one segment of the three orbs very close together by itself would shoot down the balloon hypothesis, assuming balloons had been released from ground level individually either dozens of feet away or miles away from the witnesses. Dispersion would have occurred as always, if they were balloons released within the Earth's turbulent boundary layer. So a ufologist should instead investigate if three balloons had been released in a tied cluster, with that cluster finally coming untied somehow just before the video footage commenced of the three together, and with the other two video segments taken slightly later after the three orbs had dispersed a bit.

6. Several witnesses reported that the orbs hovered over the same area in Manhattan for 2 =BD hours. But the wind was reported to be between 5-10 mph, which would have carried balloons some 20 miles. If so, this rules out all the one-time-release balloon hypotheses.

7. One report had it that there were balloon releases going on in Times Square (of yellow balloons), but a reported check on this found that nothing of relevance had been going on in Times Square. Was there anything to this report, and would the wind direction have been right?

8. Concerning the retired AF officer whose recent book predicted major UFO events over major cities on Oct. 13th, 2010: The balloon hypothesis has to invoke a rare coincidence, while the UFO/alien hypothesis can easily explain it if the history of UFO deception is recalled. Or was it a failed prediction, occurring only over NYC?

Hopefully there are some NYC ufologists, perhaps newly generated ones, who are looking into these matters and who will report their findings. Or is the fear of balloon ridicule just too strong? If ufologists cannot deal with a major UFO event involving multiple witnesses and multiple pieces of video footage, what can they deal with?

Jim Deardorff

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 16](#)

## Re: Manhattan UFOs

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Oct 2010 14:26:13 EDT  
Archived: Sat, 16 Oct 2010 15:00:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>From: Joe Merrell <[joe.nul](mailto:joe.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 09:05:38 -0700  
>Subject: Manhattan UFOs

>These objects were spotted over Manhattan yesterday (10/13/10):

><http://tinyurl.com/32bmybn>

><http://www.hulig.com/10164/floating-orb-manhattan-sets-ufo-debate>

>Many reports refer to a single object (mainstream press reports), though multiple objects seem to have been present - as can be seen clearly in the linked videos.

Being a native New Yorker I found this story interesting of course.

The balloon explanation is a tired one even if it is true. I'm surprised Chinese Lanterns weren't introduced as the latest explanation.

What's of particular note is that here we have untold numbers of people in a major city that is still suffering from the 9/11 attacks yet no one panicked, no military planes were scrambled?

One would think any strange aerial objects would bring to bear some form of official and public alert.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 17](#)

## Monthly UFOB Summary, Oct 55 - 01 Of 03

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 13:49:40 +0700 (GMT+07:00)  
Archived: Sun, 17 Oct 2010 08:40:59 -0400  
Subject: Monthly UFOB Summary, Oct 55 - 01 Of 03

PROJECT BLUE BOOK - HQ, 4602D AISS AISOP SUBJ: MONTHLY UFOB  
SUMMARY, OCT 55 - 01 OF 03 //VOL CCN//

The following is section one of three dealing with the initial UFO analysis by the 4602nd Air Intelligence Service Squadron. Tacked on is an UNofficial history of Project Blue Book which is a fascinating outside view of the U.S. Air Force approach to handling the UFO reports.

These three sections are currently running on CC-2, a virtual teletype circuit in the JANAP 128 format. Turn your sound on if you want to hear a simulation of low-speed teletype noise.

<http://www.commcen-2.net/opnet.html>

Terry

-----

PTTUZELX RUMOUHA3751 2840419-UUUU--RUCICSA RUEOEHA RUMKC.  
ZNR UUUUU ZEL //VOL CCN//  
RUCICSA T AUTODIN OPNET  
RUEOEHA T RULPALJ  
P R 100448Z OCT 10 ZEL  
FM COMMCEN CAMP SAMAE SAN TH//TERRY C//  
TO RUCICSA/AUTODIN OPNET//COMMCENTER-2//  
RUMKC/AIG 600  
INFO ZEN2/CDRUSARSUPTHAI CAMP SAMAE SAN TH//S-2/PAO/MEDTC/CILTHAI//  
RUEOEHA/USCINCSO QUARRY HEIGHTS PN//SCJ2/INTAFF/POLAD/NCR/SCJ6/SSO//  
BT  
UNCLAS SECTION 01 OF 03

(XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX)

HQ, 4602D AISS AISOP SUBJ: MONTHLY UFOB SUMMARY, OCT 55

INVESTIGATIVE EFFORTS (CONT'D)

INVESTIGATIVE UNIT	LOCATION OF SIGHTING	CONCLUSION
1. DETACHMENT 2	SHELBY, IOWA	WAS BALLOON
2. FLIGHT 3-H	OXFORD, PENNSYLVANIA	PROBABLY BALLOON
3. HQ, 4602D AISS	CHEYENNE, WYOMING	NO OBJECT, SOUND OF DIESEL LOCOMOTIVE

PAGE 02 RUMOUHA3751 UNCLAS

B. IN ADDITION TO THE ABOVE, FOUR (4) LIMITED FOLLOW-UP INVESTIGATIONS WERE CONDUCTED BY HEADQUARTERS, HQ 4602D, BY MEANS OF ATIC FORM 164 (U.S. AIR FORCE TECHNICAL INFORMATION SHEET). ONE (1) RADAR SIGHTING AT MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA, IS BEING INVESTIGATED BY MEANS OF ATIC FORM 332 (ELECTRONICS DATA SHEET). LIMITED INVESTIGATIONS AS FOLLOWS:

INVESTIGATE UNIT	LOCATION OF SIGHTING	CONCLUSION
1. HEADQUARTERS	HOLYOKE, MASS.	NOT CONCLUDED

2. HEADQUARTERS	CLEVELAND, OHIO	POSSIBLY BALLOON
3. HEADQUARTERS	VERMILLION, OHIO	POSSIBLY ASTRONOMICAL
4. HEADQUARTERS	WARREN, OHIO	PROBABLY ASTRONOMICAL
5. ATIC	MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.	METEOR + INVERSION

C. THE ONE (1) INVESTIGATION NOT CONCLUDED AT THE TIME OF THE SEPTEMBER 1955 UFOB SUMMARY HAS BEEN CONCLUDED WITH RESULTS AS FOLLOWS:

PAGE 03 RUMOUHA3751 UNCLAS

INVESTIGATIVE UNIT	LOCATION OF SIGHTING	CONCLUSION
FLIGHT 3-H	WEST CHESTER, PENN. (PENNE IN: LEONAPE)	PROBABLY BALLOON

-----  
 ATTACHING FILE NEXT TO THIS IMAGE FILE. IT IS PAGE FIVE (5). PAGES ONE (1) THROUGH FOUR (4) WERE NOT FOUND IN THIS GROUP OF IMAGES.  
 -----

MONTH	LOCATION OF SIGHTING	CONCLUSION(?) (ILLEGIBLE)
SEP 1955	DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA	BALLOON
	CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	BALLOON
	DAYTON, OHIO	PROBABLY BALLOON
	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NEW YORK	BALLOON
	OSSINING, NEW YORK	ASTRONOMICAL
	FLUSHING, NEW YORK	ASTRONOMICAL
	DAYTON, OHIO	POSSIBLY AIRCRAFT

PAGE 04 RUMOUHA3751 UNCLAS

OCT 1955	ELYRIA, OHIO	INSUFFICIENT DATA
	DAYTON, OHIO	ASTRONOMICAL
	LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY	POSSIBLY BALLOON
	DAYTON, OHIO	POSSIBLY BALLOON
	UNION CITY, PENNSYLVANIA	OTHER ( SL )(SEARCHLIGHT?)
	CREAM RIDGE, NEW JERSEY	BALLOON
NOV 1955	GALVESTON, TEXAS	BALLOON
	WOOSTER, OHIO	POSSIBLY AIRCRAFT
	PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	POSSIBLY AIRCRAFT
DEC 1955	(NO REPORTS BY LETTER)	
JAN 1956	N. STONINGTON, CONNECTICUT	POSSIBLY AIRCRAFT
	CHITTENANGO, NEW YORK	INSUFFICIENT DATA
	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	PROBABLY AIRCRAFT
	LANCASTER, OHIO	POSSIBLY AIRCRAFT
FEB 1956	TROY, OHIO	INSUFFICIENT DATA

PAGE 05 RUMOUHA3751 UNCLAS

	CARIBOUS, MAINE	INSUFFICIENT DATA
	LAMIRADO, CALIFORNIA	PROBABLY BALLOON
	KILGORE, TEXAS	INSUFFICIENT DATA
MAR 1956	YORK HARBOR, MAINE	POSSIBLY AIRCRAFT
	NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	UNKNOWN
	FREMONT, NEBRASKA	INSUFFICIENT DATA
	TUCSON, ARIZONA	BALLOON
	DENVER, COLORADO	OTHER(ECCENTRIC, HOAX)
	LARSON AFB, WASHINGTON	INSUFFICIENT DATA
APR 1956	DAYTON, OHIO	PROBABLY AIRCRAFT
	DAYTON, OHIO	INSUFFICIENT DATA
	ARGYL, PENNSYLVANIA	PROBABLY AIRCRAFT
	BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA	HOAX(OTHER)
	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	PROBABLY AIRCRAFT
MAY 1956	BROOKLYN, NEW YORK	OTHER
	COVINGTON, KENTUCKY	AIRCRAFT
	MCKINNEY, TEXAS	BALLOON

PAGE 06 RUMOUHA3751 UNCLAS

ALLENTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA  
DAYTON, OHIO

POSSIBLY AIRCRAFT  
BALLOON

((HTTP://EN.WIKIPEDIA.ORG/WIKI/PROJECT(UNDERSCORE)BLUE(UNDERSCORE)BOOK

QUOTE  
IN HIS BOOK (SEE EXTERNAL LINKS) RUPPELT DESCRIBED THE DEMORALIZATION OF THE BLUE BOOK STAFF AND THE STRIPPING OF THEIR INVESTIGATIVE DUTIES FOLLOWING THE ROBERTSON PANEL. AS AN IMMEDIATE CONSEQUENCE OF THE ROBERTSON PANEL RECOMMENDATIONS, IN FEBRUARY 1953, THE AIR FORCE ISSUED REGULATION 200-2, ORDERING AIR BASE OFFICERS TO PUBLICLY DISCUSS UFO INCIDENTS ONLY IF THEY WERE JUDGED TO HAVE BEEN SOLVED, AND TO CLASSIFY ALL THE UNSOLVED CASES TO KEEP THEM OUT OF THE PUBLIC EYE. THE SAME MONTH, INVESTIGATIVE DUTIES STARTED TO BE TAKEN ON BY THE NEWLY FORMED 4602ND AIR INTELLIGENCE SQUADRON (AISS) OF THE AIR DEFENSE COMMAND. THE 4602ND AISS WAS TASKED WITH INVESTIGATING ONLY THE MOST IMPORTANT UFO CASES WITH INTELLIGENCE OR NATIONAL SECURITY IMPLICATIONS. THESE WERE DELIBERATELY SIPHONED AWAY FROM BLUE BOOK, LEAVING BLUE BOOK TO DEAL WITH THE MORE TRIVIAL REPORTS. GENERAL NATHAN TWINING, WHO GOT PROJECT SIGN STARTED BACK IN 1947, WAS NOW AIR FORCE CHIEF OF STAFF. IN AUGUST 1954, HE WAS TO FURTHER CODIFY THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE 4602ND AISS BY ISSUING AN UPDATED ((PROJECT BLUE BOOK - HQ, 4602D AISS AISOP SUBJ: MONTHLY UFOB SUMMARY, OCT 55))

BT  
#3751

NNNN

Terry W. Colvin  
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand  
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand  
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>  
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 17](#)

## Monthly UFOB Summary, Oct 55 - 02 Of 03

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 13:53:02 +0700 (GMT+07:00)  
Archived: Sun, 17 Oct 2010 08:43:07 -0400  
Subject: Monthly UFOB Summary, Oct 55 - 02 Of 03

Here is section two of three.

Don't forget this link for teletype "print out" and noise:

<http://www.commcen-2.net/opnet.html>

Also, there are new messages "printed" almost every day.

Terry

PTTUZELX RUMOUHA3752 2840419-UUUU--RUCICSA RUEOEHA RUMKC.  
ZNR UUUUU ZEL //VOL CCN//  
RUCICSA T AUTODIN OPNET  
RUEOEHA T RULPALJ  
P R 100448Z OCT 10 ZEL  
FM COMMCEN CAMP SAMAE SAN TH//TERRY C//  
TO RUCICSA/AUTODIN OPNET//COMMCENTER-2//  
RUMKC/AIG 600  
INFO ZEN2/CDRUSARSUPTHAI CAMP SAMAE SAN TH//S-2/PAO/MEDTC/CILTHAI//  
RUEOEHA/USCINCSO QUARRY HEIGHTS PN//SCJ2/INTAFF/POLAD/NCR/SCJ6/SSO//  
BT  
UNCLAS SECTION 02 OF 03  
CAPTAIN GEORGE T. GREGORY TOOK OVER AS BLUE BOOK'S DIRECTOR  
IN 1956. CLARK WRITES THAT GREGORY LED BLUE BOOK "IN AN EVEN  
FIRMER ANTI-UFO DIRECTION THAN THE APATHETIC HARDIN." (9) THE  
4602ND WAS DISSOLVED, AND THE 1066TH AIR INTELLIGENCE SERVICE  
SQUADRON WAS CHARGED WITH UFO INVESTIGATIONS.

AIR FORCE REGULATION 200-2. IN ADDITION, UFOS (CALLED "UFOBS")  
WERE DEFINED AS "ANY AIRBORNE OBJECT WHICH BY PERFORMANCE,  
AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS, OR UNUSUAL FEATURES, DOES NOT CONFORM  
TO ANY PRESENTLY KNOWN AIRCRAFT OR MISSILE TYPE, OR WHICH CANNOT  
BE POSITIVELY IDENTIFIED AS A FAMILIAR OBJECT." INVESTIGATION OF  
UFOS WAS STATED TO BE FOR THE PURPOSES OF NATIONAL SECURITY AND

PAGE 02 RUMOUHA3752 UNCLAS  
TO ASCERTAIN "TECHNICAL ASPECTS." AFR 200-2 AGAIN STATED THAT  
BLUE BOOK COULD DISCUSS UFO CASES WITH THE MEDIA ONLY IF THEY  
WERE REGARDED AS HAVING A CONVENTIONAL EXPLANATION. IF THEY WERE  
UNIDENTIFIED, THE MEDIA WAS TO BE TOLD ONLY THAT THE SITUATION  
WAS BEING ANALYZED. BLUE BOOK WAS ALSO ORDERED TO REDUCE THE  
NUMBER OF UNIDENTIFIED TO A MINIMUM.

ALL THIS WAS DONE SECRETLY. THE PUBLIC FACE OF BLUE BOOK  
CONTINUED TO BE THE OFFICIAL AIR FORCE INVESTIGATION OF UFOS,  
BUT THE REALITY WAS IT HAD ESSENTIALLY BEEN REDUCED TO DOING  
VERY LITTLE SERIOUS INVESTIGATION, AND HAD BECOME ALMOST SOLELY  
A PUBLIC RELATIONS OUTFIT WITH A DEBUNKING MANDATE. TO CITE ONE  
EXAMPLE, BY THE END OF 1956, THE NUMBER OF CASES LISTED AS  
UNSOLVED HAD DIPPED TO BARELY 0.4 PERCENT, FROM THE 20 TO 30%  
IT HAD BEEN ONLY A FEW YEARS EARLIER.  
((ADDED TEXT))  
EVENTUALLY, RUPPELT REQUESTED REASSIGNMENT; AT HIS DEPARTURE IN  
AUGUST 1953, HIS STAFF HAD BEEN REDUCED FROM MORE THAN TEN (PRECISE  
NUMBERS OF PERSONNEL VARIED) TO JUST TWO SUBORDINATES AND HIMSELF.

HIS TEMPORARY REPLACEMENT WAS A NONCOMMISSIONED OFFICER. MOST WHO

PAGE 03 RUMOUHA3752 UNCLAS

SUCCEEDED HIM AS BLUE BOOK DIRECTOR EXHIBITED EITHER APATHY OR OUTRIGHT HOSTILITY TO THE SUBJECT OF UFOS, OR WERE HAMPERED BY A LACK OF FUNDING AND OFFICIAL SUPPORT.

UFO INVESTIGATORS OFTEN REGARD RUPPELT'S BRIEF TENURE AT BLUE BOOK AS THE HIGH-WATER MARK OF PUBLIC AIR FORCE INVESTIGATIONS OF UFOS, WHEN UFO INVESTIGATIONS WERE TREATED SERIOUSLY AND HAD SUPPORT AT HIGH LEVELS(8). THEREAFTER, PROJECT BLUE BOOK DESCENDED INTO A NEW "DARK AGES" FROM WHICH MANY UFO INVESTIGATORS ARGUE IT NEVER EMERGED(8). HOWEVER, RUPPELT LATER CAME TO EMBRACE THE BLUE BOOK PERSPECTIVE THAT THERE WAS NOTHING EXTRAORDINARY ABOUT UFOS; HE EVEN LABELED THE SUBJECT A "SPACE AGE MYTH."

THE CAPTAIN HARDIN ERA

IN MARCH 1954, CAPTAIN CHARLES HARDIN WAS APPOINTED THE HEAD OF BLUE BOOK. HOWEVER, MOST UFO INVESTIGATIONS WERE CONDUCTED BY THE 4602ND, AND HARDIN HAD NO OBJECTION. RUPPELT WROTE THAT HARDIN "THINKS THAT ANYONE WHO IS EVEN INTERESTED (IN UFOS) IS CRAZY. THEY BORE HIM."(9)

PAGE 04 RUMOUHA3752 UNCLAS

IN 1955, THE AIR FORCE DECIDED THAT THE GOAL OF BLUE BOOK SHOULD BE NOT TO INVESTIGATE UFO REPORTS, BUT RATHER TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF UNIDENTIFIED UFO REPORTS TO A MINIMUM. BY LATE 1956, THE NUMBER OF UNIDENTIFIED SIGHTINGS HAD DROPPED FROM THE 20-25% OF THE RUPPELT ERA, TO LESS THAN 1%.

THE CAPTAIN GREGORY ERA

ONE WAY THAT GREGORY REDUCED THE NUMBER OF UNEXPLAINED UFOS WAS BY SIMPLE RECLASSIFICATION. "POSSIBLE CASES" BECAME "PROBABLE", AND "PROBABLE" CASES WERE UPGRADED TO CERTAINTIES. BY THIS LOGIC, A POSSIBLE COMET BECAME A PROBABLE COMET, WHILE A PROBABLE COMET WAS FLATLY DECLARED TO HAVE BEEN A MISIDENTIFIED COMET. SIMILARLY, IF A WITNESS REPORTED AN OBSERVATION OF AN UNUSUAL BALLOON-LIKE OBJECT, BLUE BOOK USUALLY CLASSIFIED IT AS A BALLOON, WITH NO RESEARCH AND QUALIFICATION. THESE PROCEDURES BECAME STANDARD FOR MOST OF BLUE BOOK'S LATER INVESTIGATIONS; SEE HYNEK'S COMMENTS BELOW.

PAGE 05 RUMOUHA3752 UNCLAS

THE MAJOR FRIEND ERA

MAJOR ROBERT J. FRIEND WAS APPOINTED THE HEAD OF BLUE BOOK IN 1958. FRIEND MADE SOME ATTEMPTS TO REVERSE THE DIRECTION BLUE BOOK HAD TAKEN SINCE 1954. CLARK WRITES THAT "FRIEND'S EFFORTS TO UPGRADE THE FILES AND CATALOG SIGHTINGS ACCORDING TO VARIOUS OBSERVED STATISTICS WERE FRUSTRATED BY A LACK OF FUNDING AND ASSISTANCE."(9)

HEARTENED BY FRIEND'S EFFORTS, HYNEK ORGANIZED THE FIRST OF SEVERAL MEETINGS BETWEEN BLUE BOOK STAFFERS AND ATIC PERSONNEL IN 1959. HYNEK SUGGESTED THAT SOME OLDER UFO REPORTS SHOULD BE REEVALUATED, WITH THE OSTENSIBLE AIM OF MOVING THEM FROM THE "UNKNOWN" TO THE "IDENTIFIED" CATEGORY. HYNEK'S PLANS CAME TO NAUGHT.

DURING FRIEND'S TENURE, ATIC CONTEMPLATED PASSING OVERSIGHT BLUE BOOK TO ANOTHER AIR FORCE AGENCY, BUT NEITHER THE AIR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER, NOR THE OFFICE OF INFORMATION FOR THE SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE WAS INTERESTED.

IN 1960, THERE WERE U.S. CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS REGARDING UFOS.

PAGE 06 RUMOUHA3752 UNCLAS

CIVILIAN UFO RESEARCH GROUP NICAP HAD PUBLICLY CHARGED BLUE BOOK WITH COVERING UP UFO EVIDENCE, AND HAD ALSO ACQUIRED A FEW ALLIES IN THE U.S. CONGRESS. BLUE BOOK WAS INVESTIGATED BY THE CONGRESS AND THE CIA, WITH CRITICS=E2=80=94MOST NOTABLY THE CIVILIAN UFO GROUP NICAP(8) ASSERTING=

THAT BLUE BOOK WAS LACKING AS A SCIENTIFIC STUDY. IN RESPONSE, ATIC

ADDED PERSONNEL (INCREASING THE TOTAL PERSONNEL TO THREE MILITARY PERSONNEL, PLUS CIVILIAN SECRETARIES) AND INCREASED BLUE BOOK'S BUDGET. THIS SEEMED TO MOLLIFY SOME OF BLUE BOOK'S CRITICS, (8) THAT BUT IT WAS ONLY TEMPORARY. A FEW YEARS LATER (SEE BELOW), THE CRITICISM WOULD BE EVEN LOUDER.

BY THE TIME HE WAS TRANSFERRED FROM BLUE BOOK IN 1963, FRIEND THOUGHT THAT BLUE BOOK WAS EFFECTIVELY USELESS AND OUGHT TO BE DISSOLVED, EVEN IF IT CAUSED AN OUTCRY AMONGST THE PUBLIC.

THE MAJOR QUINTANILLA ERA

MAJOR HECTOR QUINTANILLA TOOK OVER AS BLUE BOOK'S LEADER IN AUGUST 1963. HE LARGELY CONTINUED THE DEBUNKING EFFORTS, AND IT WAS UNDER HIS ((PROJECT BLUE BOOK - HQ, 4602D AISS AISOP SUBJ: MONTHLY UFOB SUMMARY, OCT 55))

BT  
#3752

NNNN

Terry W. Colvin  
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand  
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand  
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>  
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 17](#)

## Re: Monthly UFOB Summary, Oct 55 - 032 Of 03

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 13:55:24 +0700 (GMT+07:00)  
Archived: Sun, 17 Oct 2010 08:45:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Monthly UFOB Summary, Oct 55 - 032 Of 03

Here is section 3 of 3.

Once again, the CC-2 virtual teletype link is:

<http://www.commcen-2.net/opnet.html>

Terry

-----

PTTUZELX RUMOUHA3753 2840419-UUUU--RUCICSA RUEOEHA RUMKC.  
ZNR UUUUU ZEL //VOL CCN//  
RUCICSA T AUTODIN OPNET  
RUEOEHA T RULPALJ  
P R 100448Z OCT 10 ZEL  
FM COMMCEN CAMP SAMAE SAN TH//TERRY C//  
TO RUCICSA/AUTODIN OPNET//COMMCENTER-2//  
RUMKC/AIG 600  
INFO ZEN2/CDRUSARSUPTHAI CAMP SAMAE SAN TH//S-2/PAO/MEDTC/CILTHAI//  
RUEOEHA/USCINCSO QUARRY HEIGHTS PN//SCJ2/INTAFF/POLAD/NCR/SCJ6/SSO//  
BT  
UNCLAS FINAL SECTION OF 03  
DIRECTION THAT BLUE BOOK RECEIVED SOME OF ITS SHARPEST CRITICISM.  
UFO RESEARCHER JEROME CLARK GOES SO FAR AS TO WRITE THAT, BY THIS  
TIME, BLUE BOOK HAD "LOST ALL CREDIBILITY.'"(10)

PHYSICIST AND UFO RESEARCHER DR. JAMES E. MCDONALD ONCE FLATLY DECLARED  
THAT QUINTANILLA WAS "'NOT COMPETENT'" FROM EITHER A SCIENTIFIC OR AN  
INVESTIGATIVE PERSPECTIVE.[11] HOWEVER, MCDONALD ALSO STRESSED THAT  
QUINTANILLA "'SHOULDN'T BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR IT'", AS HE WAS CHOSEN  
FOR HIS POSITION BY A SUPERIOR OFFICER, AND WAS FOLLOWING ORDERS IN  
DIRECTING BLUE BOOK.(11)

BLUE BOOK'S EXPLANATIONS OF UFO REPORTS WERE NOT UNIVERSALLY ACCEPTED,

PAGE 02 RUMOUHA3753 UNCLAS  
HOWEVER, AND CRITICS =E2=80=94 INCLUDING SOME SCIENTISTS =E2=80=94 SUGGESTED=  
THAT  
PROJECT BLUE BOOK WAS ENGAGED IN QUESTIONABLE RESEARCH OR, WORSE,  
PERPETRATING COVER UP.(8) THIS CRITICISM GREW ESPECIALLY STRONG AND  
WIDESPREAD IN THE 1960S.

TAKE FOR EXAMPLE, THE MANY MOSTLY NIGHTTIME UFO REPORTS FROM THE  
MIDWESTERN AND SOUTHEASTERN UNITED STATES IN THE SUMMER OF 1965:  
WITNESSES IN TEXAS REPORTED "'MULTICOLORED LIGHTS'" AND LARGE AERIAL  
OBJECTS SHAPED LIKE EGGS OR DIAMONDS.(8) THE OKLAHOMA HIGHWAY PATROL  
REPORTED THAT TINKER AIR FORCE BASE (NEAR OKLAHOMA CITY) HAD TRACKED  
UP TO FOUR UFO'S SIMULTANEOUSLY, AND THAT SEVERAL OF THEM HAD DESCENDED  
VERY RAPIDLY: FROM ABOUT 22000 FEET TO ABOUT 4000 FEET IN JUST A FEW  
SECONDS,(8) AN ACTION WELL BEYOND THE CAPABILITIES OF CONVENTIONAL  
AIRCRAFT OF THE ERA. JOHN SHOCKLEY, A METEOROLOGIST FROM WICHITA,  
KANSAS, REPORTED THAT, USING THE STATE WEATHER BUREAU RADAR, HE TRACKED  
A NUMBER OF ODD AERIAL OBJECTS FLYING AT ALTITUDES BETWEEN ABOUT 6000  
AND 9000 FEET.(8) THESE AND OTHER REPORTS RECEIVED WIDE PUBLICITY.

PROJECT BLUE BOOK OFFICIALLY DETERMINED(8) THE WITNESSES HAD MISTAKEN  
JUPITER OR BRIGHT STARS (SUCH AS RIGEL OR BETELGEUSE) FOR SOMETHING ELSE.

PAGE 03 RUMOUHA3753 UNCLAS

BLUE BOOK'S EXPLANATION WAS WIDELY CRITICIZED AS INACCURATE. ROBERT RISER, DIRECTOR OF THE OKLAHOMA SCIENCE AND ART FOUNDATION PLANETARIUM OFFERED A STRONGLY-WORDED REBUKE OF PROJECT BLUE BOOK THAT WAS WIDELY CIRCULATED: 'THAT IS AS FAR FROM THE TRUTH AS YOU CAN GET. THESE STARS AND PLANETS ARE ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE EARTH FROM OKLAHOMA CITY AT THIS TIME OF YEAR. THE AIR FORCE MUST HAVE HAD ITS STAR FINDER UPSIDE-DOWN DURING AUGUST.'(8)

A NEWSPAPER EDITORIAL FROM THE RICHMOND NEWS LEADER OPINED THAT 'ATTEMPTS TO DISMISS THE REPORTED SIGHTINGS UNDER THE RATIONALE AS EXHIBITED BY PROJECT BLUEBOOK (SIC) WON'T SOLVE THE MYSTERY ... AND SERVE ONLY TO HEIGHTEN THE SUSPICION THAT THERE'S SOMETHING OUT THERE THAT THE AIR FORCE DOESN'T WANT US TO KNOW ABOUT',(8) WHILE A WICHITA-BASED UPI REPORTER NOTED THAT 'ORDINARY RADAR DOES NOT PICK UP PLANETS AND STARS.'(8)

ANOTHER CASE THAT BLUE BOOK'S CRITICS SEIZED UPON WAS THE SO-CALLED PORTAGE COUNTY UFO CHASE, WHICH BEGAN AT ABOUT 5.00AM, NEAR RAVENNA, OHIO ON APRIL 17, 1966. POLICE OFFICERS DALE SPAUR AND WILBUR NEFF SPOTTED WHAT THEY DESCRIBED AS A DISC-SHAPED, SILVERY OBJECT WITH A BRIGHT LIGHT EMANATING FROM ITS UNDERSIDE, AT ABOUT 1000 FEET IN ALTITUDE.(8)(12)

PAGE 04 RUMOUHA3753 UNCLAS

THEY BEGAN FOLLOWING THE OBJECT (WHICH THEY REPORTED SOMETIMES DESCENDED AS LOW AS 50 FEET), AND POLICE FROM SEVERAL OTHER JURISDICTIONS WERE INVOLVED IN THE PURSUIT. THE CHASE ENDED ABOUT 30 MINUTES LATER NEAR FREEDOM, PENNSYLVANIA, SOME 85 MILES AWAY.

THE UFO CHASE MADE NATIONAL NEWS, AND THE POLICE SUBMITTED DETAILED REPORTS TO BLUE BOOK. FIVE DAYS LATER, FOLLOWING BRIEF INTERVIEWS WITH ONLY ONE OF THE POLICE OFFICERS (BUT NONE OF THE OTHER GROUND WITNESSES), BLUE BOOK'S DIRECTOR, MAJOR HECTOR QUINTANILLA, ANNOUNCED THEIR CONCLUSIONS: THE POLICE (ONE OF THEM AN AIR FORCE GUNNER DURING THE KOREAN WAR) HAD FIRST CHASED A COMMUNICATIONS SATELLITE, THEN THE PLANET VENUS.

THIS CONCLUSION WAS WIDELY DERIDED,(8) AND WAS STRENUOUSLY REJECTED BY THE POLICE OFFICERS. IN HIS DISSENTING CONCLUSION, HYNEK DESCRIBED BLUE BOOK'S CONCLUSIONS AS ABSURD: IN THEIR REPORTS, SEVERAL OF THE POLICE HAD UNKNOWNLY DESCRIBED THE MOON, VENUS AND THE UFO, THOUGH THEY UNKNOWNLY DESCRIBED VENUS AS A BRIGHT 'STAR' VERY NEAR THE MOON. OHIO CONGRESSMAN WILLIAM STANTON SAID THAT 'THE AIR FORCE HAS SUFFERED A GREAT LOSS OF PRESTIGE IN THIS COMMUNITY ... ONCE PEOPLE

PAGE 05 RUMOUHA3753 UNCLAS

ENTRUSTED WITH THE PUBLIC WELFARE NO LONGER THINK THE PEOPLE CAN HANDLE THE TRUTH, THEN THE PEOPLE, IN RETURN, WILL NO LONGER TRUST THE GOVERNMENT.'

IN SEPTEMBER 1968, HYNEK RECEIVED A LETTER FROM COLONEL RAYMOND SLEEPER OF THE FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION. SLEEPER NOTED THAT HYNEK HAD PUBLICLY ACCUSED BLUE BOOK OF SHODDY SCIENCE, AND FURTHER ASKED HYNEK TO OFFER ADVICE ON HOW BLUE BOOK COULD IMPROVE ITS SCIENTIFIC METHODOLOGY. HYNEK WAS TO LATER DECLARE THAT SLEEPER'S LETTER WAS 'THE FIRST TIME IN MY 20 YEAR ASSOCIATION WITH THE AIR FORCE AS SCIENTIFIC CONSULTANT THAT I HAD BEEN OFFICIALLY ASKED FOR CRITICISM AND ADVICE (REGARDING) ... THE UFO PROBLEM.'(13)

HYNEK WROTE A DETAILED RESPONSE, DATED OCTOBER 7, 1968, SUGGESTING SEVERAL AREAS WHERE BLUE BOOK COULD IMPROVE. IN PART, HE WROTE:

1. ... NEITHER OF THE TWO MISSIONS OF BLUE BOOK (DETERMINING IF UFOS ARE A THREAT TO NATIONAL SECURITY AND USING SCIENTIFIC DATA GATHERED BY BLUE BOOK) ARE BEING ADEQUATELY EXECUTED.
2. THE STAFF OF BLUE BOOK, BOTH IN NUMBERS AND IN SCIENTIFIC TRAINING,

PAGE 06 RUMOUHA3753 UNCLAS

IS GROSSLY INADEQUATE...

3. BLUE BOOK SUFFERS ... IN THAT IT IS A CLOSED SYSTEM ... THERE IS VIRTUALLY NO SCIENTIFIC DIALOGUE BETWEEN BLUE BOOK AND THE OUTSIDE SCIENTIFIC WORLD...
4. THE STATISTICAL METHODS EMPLOYED BY BLUE BOOK ARE NOTHING LESS

THAN A TRAVESTY.

5. THERE HAS BEEN A LACK OF ATTENTION TO SIGNIFICANT UFO CASES ... AND TOO MUCH TIME SPENT ON ROUTINE CASES ... AND ON PERIPHERAL PUBLIC RELATIONS TASKS. CONCENTRATION COULD BE ON TWO OR THREE POTENTIALLY SCIENTIFIC SIGNIFICANT CASES PER MONTH (INSTEAD OF BEING) SPREAD THIN OVER 40 TO 70 CASES PER MONTH.

6. THE INFORMATION INPUT TO BLUE BOOK IS GROSSLY INADEQUATE. AN IMPOSSIBLE LOAD IS PLACED ON BLUE BOOK BY THE ALMOST CONSISTENT FAILURE OF UFO OFFICERS AT LOCAL AIR BASES TO TRANSMIT ADEQUATE INFORMATION...

7. THE BASIC ATTITUDE AND APPROACH WITHIN BLUE BOOK IS ILLOGICAL AND UNSCIENTIFIC...

8. INADEQUATE USE HAD BEEN MADE OF THE PROJECT SCIENTIFIC CONSULTANT (HYNK HIMSELF). ONLY CASES THAT THE PROJECT MONITOR DEEMS WORTHWHILE ARE BROUGHT TO HIS ATTENTION. HIS SCOPE OF OPERATION ... HAS BEEN

PAGE 07 RUMOUHA3753 UNCLAS  
CONSISTENTLY THWARTED ... HE OFTEN LEARNS OF INTERESTING CASES ONLY A MONTH OR TWO AFTER THE RECEIPT OF THE REPORT AT BLUE BOOK.(14)

8. JEROME CLARK, THE UFO BOOK: ENCYCLOPEDIA OF THE EXTRATERRESTRIAL, 1998; DETROIT: VISIBLE INK PRESS, ISBN 1-57859-029-9

9. JEROME CLARK, THE UFO BOOK: ENCYCLOPEDIA OF THE EXTRATERRESTRIAL, P468

10. JEROME CLARK, THE UFO BOOK: ENCYCLOPEDIA OF THE EXTRATERRESTRIAL, P592

11. ANN DRUFFEL; FIRESTORM: DR. JAMES E. MCDONALD'S FIGHT FOR UFO SCIENCE; 2003, WILD FLOWER PRESS; ISBN 0-926524-58-5, P63

12. SEE ALSO PORTAGE COUNTY UFO CHASE PAGE FOR FURTHER DETAILS

13. JEROME CLARK, THE UFO BOOK: ENCYCLOPEDIA OF THE EXTRATERRESTRIAL, P477

14. JEROME CLARK, THE UFO BOOK: ENCYCLOPEDIA OF THE EXTRATERRESTRIAL, 1998; DETROIT: VISIBLE INK PRESS, P478=E2=80=93479; EMPHASIS AS IN ORIGINAL

((PROJECT BLUE BOOK - HQ, 4602D AISS AISOP SUBJ: MONTHLY UFOB SUMMARY, OCT 55))

((DUPLICATE OASN 3753 DUE TO RESECTIONING OF YESTERDAY'S MESSAGE))

BT

#3753

NNNN

Terry W. Colvin

Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand

Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand

<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>

[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 17](#)

## MJ-12 Redux [was: Shostak's Search Shift?]

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 17 Oct 2010 11:24:56 EDT  
Archived: Sun, 17 Oct 2010 12:40:29 -0400  
Subject: MJ-12 Redux [was: Shostak's Search Shift?]

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 04:33:22 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 18:11:42 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>Hi David; Stanton,

>>Thank you both for your responses. My reply is once again combined  
>>into a single message to you both:

<snip>

>I would certainly acknowledge that there are many people on this  
>List and elsewhere claiming that the EBD, CT, TF items are  
>fraudulent. I believe I have dealt with all their arguments. I  
>keep asking for refutations of my refutations. All I get is  
>research by proclamation. "They are obvious frauds". I wish they  
>would add "because A,B,C... which with STF hasn't dealt".

>I am off to a conference in Strasbourg... Back Monday.  
>I won't hold my breath.

Stan, List, All -

I can't just let this go unchallenged. The consensus is that MJ-12 is a hoax. Not a government disinformation campaign, not something designed to keep us guessing and chasing phantoms, but something created and designed to propel specific people back into the spotlight. Or, as has been suggested by one of those who released it into the world, to fool those who had knowledge of the Roswell crash into revealing information that was, and is, classified.

Although Stan attempts to shout down those of us who do not accept MJ-12 as authentic by suggesting that he has answered all objections to MJ-12, he has done so only in his own mind. He has not explained the lack of provenance, which contrary to what he has said to me, is not an indicator of its authenticity but is predictive of hoax.

Those who have researched the type style of the various components of MJ-12 (the Truman memo) say that it was created on a typewriter that did not exist in 1952. This is based, not on just a comparison of the upper and lower case letters, but on the various numbers and symbols, done with the complete drawings and specifications from the factory. It is evidence that the typewriter did not exist in 1952... And yes, I know he will say that Peter Tytell has not issued a formal written report but will not remember to say that it was he who sent the material to Tytell in the first place.

I will note here that the information on the EBD is the state of the investigation of crashed saucers in 1982 or 1983 and not

what it is today. This provides us with a clue as to when the document was created and that is not 1952.

I will note, though Stan will deny it, Bill Moore said, in the early 1980s, that he was thinking of creating a Roswell document to shake things loose. Moore said this to a number of people and it has been widely reported. Then, the MJ-12 documents arrive at the home of Moore's friend who was not well known in the UFO community.

It is interesting that here is a document that was created to brief the President-Elect but is incomplete. It mentions nothing about the Plains of San Agustin or Aztec, two of the crashes that are now said to be real. There is no reasonable explanation for these two events to be ignored if MJ-12 is real and those events are real... but remember, in the early 80s almost no one believed Aztec to be anything other than a hoax and Bill Moore knew that the only connection to the Plains was the rather vague information attributed to Fleck Danley. The Barney Barnett diary (or actually the diary kept by Ruth Barnett) has nothing, absolutely nothing, to suggest that Barney saw anything on the Plains, or that he was out on the Plains on the right days.

And we have Herbert Dick, who was clearly on the Plains, in a position to see any UFO crash there (he arrived on July 1 according to a letter he sent to a colleague in late 1947) but reported nothing.

But by the early 1980s, Bill Moore, among others, were questioning the validity of suggesting that Barnett's story had anything to do with July 1947.

So, the MJ-12 documents mention nothing about either the Plains or Aztec because, in the early 1980s many knew that those two events were not well documented.

Stan will say that I said I rejected MJ-12 because Admiral Hillenkoetter got his rank wrong. I have told Stan this really isn't important, though Stan has yet to find a document on which Hillenkoetter got his rank wrong. Rather than address the real issues, he'll attempt to divert the conversation to these other points.

I will say that no one has ever found a document, an authentic document referring to MJ-12 in the 25 years since these were announced. The Cutler-Twining Memo, found in the National Archives was clearly planted there, something that Stan will tell you. But if the document was planted, and there is again, no provenance, then it does nothing to validate MJ-12. And that's where we are today. There is nothing that validates MJ-12. And the information we do have suggests that MJ-12 is a hoax.

Yes, I know that Stan will say that we have no evidence that Bill Moore (and Richard Doty) were behind the MJ-12 documents, but there are some good clues. The dating format used by Moore, but not the US military or the US government is on them. Yes, it is used in Europe and in NATO, but those arguments are irrelevant.

Normally, I don't get involved in these discussions because they turn out the same way. But sometimes I just don't want to let Stan get away with his proclamations about the validity of MJ-12. I am surprised that he continues to defend MJ-12 when even Bill Moore says that he finds many of those documents to be of dubious provenance.

The thing is, no matter how often you argue for the authenticity of MJ-12, it doesn't make it so. You need to have evidence and there is precious little of that for MJ-12.

For a more comprehensive look at some of this, please go to [www.kevinrandle.blogspot.com](http://www.kevinrandle.blogspot.com).

So, let the game begin.

KRandle

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 18](#)

## Another Interesting Sighting Video

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 17 Oct 2010 21:07:53 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 08:25:07 -0400  
Subject: Another Interesting Sighting Video

I don't know much about this sighting, other than what is posted by the video submitter - who would appear to have been the videographer.

This is a night time raw video. However, a street lamp does provide some context for movement.

What is seen are between two and three lights moving, changing color from bright white to blue and back, as well as light intensity diminishing and increasing over time.

The students shooting the video do seem disturbed by what they're witnessing.

Some of the movement does seem pretty strange, but I'll wait for an analysis by a better educated list members in image analysis. The video is broken up into three parts:

#1: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WAE5EnobP6E>

#2: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0FlOnXzeEfo>

#3: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VGM5Gih42ME>

Best,

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 18](#)

## Re: Manhattan UFOs

From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 17 Oct 2010 22:18:31 -0700  
Archived: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 08:27:05 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sat, 16 Oct 2010 10:38:27 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Fri, 15 Oct 2010 12:29:12 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>>>From: Joe Merrell <[joe.nul](mailto:joe.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](#)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 09:05:38 -0700  
>>>Subject: Manhattan UFOs

>>>These objects were spotted over Manhattan yesterday (10/13/10):

>>><http://tinyurl.com/32bmybn>

>>><http://www.hulig.com/10164/floating-orb-manhattan-sets-ufo-debate>

>>>Many reports refer to a single object (mainstream press reports), though multiple objects seem to have been present - as can be seen clearly in the linked videos.

>>UFO? NYC kids say no, mysterious floating orbs were escaped balloons from teacher's engagement party

>><http://tinyurl.com/3yqtjgy>

>Surely there are a few ufologists in the NYC area, perhaps MUFON investigators? I wonder how long one must wait to hear what they find while investigating the various balloon hypotheses. Surely they've noticed apparent discrepancies such as:

>1. The sightings in Manhattan were reported to be going on as early as 9:30 in the morning. The inadvertent balloon release at the grade school was said to have occurred around 1 pm. So unless the morning sightings were all hallucinations, the 1 pm balloon release hypothesis can't explain it.

>2. One witness saw some 50 dots moving around in the sky, in the morning. Another reported "hundreds" of them. But only 12 were inadvertently released, and those in a single cluster, apparently tied together, since the school mom had brought four clusters of a dozen each in her car or van - one cluster escaped, as a cluster. So the numbers also don't fit the balloon hypothesis. 12 versus 50 or more.

>3. Most of the videos I viewed showed between 3 and 8 white individual dots or orbs. But if it was a tied cluster that escaped, they would not be expected to come untied while drifting upwards and along with the breezes. One video did show a tight cluster of orbs, but less than twelve. So obviously the chronology of the various sightings and videos needs checking - the cluster should come before the individually moving orbs if there's a chance for the same balloons to have been involved in both.

>4. Three video segments in the pasted-together video each showed  
>three orbs; in two of the segments the orbs were separated from  
>each other by a few or by many orb-diameters, while in the third  
>segment they were very close to each other, practically  
>touching. In what order were these footage segments taken? It  
>would be extremely improbable for three definitely separated  
>balloons drifting within the mildly turbulent daytime air to  
>come together, rather than to continue dispersing.

>5. The one segment of the three orbs very close together by  
>itself would shoot down the balloon hypothesis, assuming  
>balloons had been released from ground level individually either  
>dozens of feet away or miles away from the witnesses. Dispersion  
>would have occurred as always, if they were balloons released  
>within the Earth's turbulent boundary layer. So a ufologist  
>should instead investigate if three balloons had been released  
>in a tied cluster, with that cluster finally coming untied  
>somehow just before the video footage commenced of the three  
>together, and with the other two video segments taken slightly  
>later after the three orbs had dispersed a bit.

>6. Several witnesses reported that the orbs hovered over the  
>same area in Manhattan for 2 =BD hours. But the wind was reported  
>to be between 5-10 mph, which would have carried balloons some  
>20 miles. If so, this rules out all the one-time-release balloon  
>hypotheses.

>7. One report had it that there were balloon releases going on  
>in Times Square (of yellow balloons), but a reported check on  
>this found that nothing of relevance had been going on in Times  
>Square. Was there anything to this report, and would the wind  
>direction have been right?

>8. Concerning the retired AF officer whose recent book predicted  
>major UFO events over major cities on Oct. 13th, 2010: The  
>balloon hypothesis has to invoke a rare coincidence, while the  
>UFO/alien hypothesis can easily explain it if the history of UFO  
>deception is recalled. Or was it a failed prediction, occurring  
>only over NYC?

>Hopefully there are some NYC ufologists, perhaps newly generated  
>ones, who are looking into these matters and who will report  
>their findings. Or is the fear of balloon ridicule just too  
>strong? If ufologists cannot deal with a major UFO event  
>involving multiple witnesses and multiple pieces of video  
>footage, what can they deal with?

Hi, Jim & List,

Jim is absolutely correct in his preliminary evaluation of the factors and other variables he notes, that this case begs for a greater degree of investigation and analysis than it is most probably going to get. His list of points and questions is a kind of exemplar of what all serious UFO researchers should bear in mind--don't take at face value or from initial press reports that just because a woman lost a cluster of balloons a little after 1 pm, that that accounts for all aspects of this apparent series of sightings, assuming Jim's reporting is correct that other incidents at differing times occurred on the same day.

Of course, the mainstream media will quickly move on to new sensations and exploit other stories as required by the 24-hour news cycle, superficial reporting, and generic dismissal of these kinds of incidents as always being of prosaic, explainable nature, but as Jim cogently points out, the timeframes, nature of the objects observed (and where, when, and of what varying kinds of object groupings) would suggest at the very least that more than one thing occurred here, and it would be useful and productive if some MUFON or other UFO researcher of some depth of experience and investigatory acumen were to look at the whole picture that Jim's post presents, and the discrepancies among the elements of the incidents coincidentally involved.

It would also be much appreciated if Jim might notate or cite the sources of his 8-point summary of questions and generally not known about or reported early sightings, such as the 9:30 am or later (and prior to 1 or 1:30 pm) sighting(s) and the reports he apparently found of some witnesses reporting far more than a dozen objects in the sky, and the strange length of reported hover time without obvious, wind-borne dispersal, as one would

expect in even a very light breeze over a relatively shorter period of time. If anyone were to try and pursue these discrepancies, it's necessary for citations or links to these other reports being provided, however. Jim?

I myself was guilty of this rush to judgement, when I posted two links to media references about this incident, one to the NY Post, the other to Fox News, both of which basically concluded that a teacher going to an engagement party at a New York school who lost a cluster of 12 silver and white party balloons, and which were entangled by their attached strings for at least a little while (as photos in some reports showed the balloons remained in a cluster for some unknown period while rising up into the sky), was the responsible party and single source of the incident. The details Jim mentions weren't included in either of those links I noted, nor most others. It was like, whoa, case solved! Party balloons! On to the next story...but it now seems there may have been more to this story, as Jim notes above.

The case or incidents may still have a prosaic, or natural explanation related to misidentification or other man-made or astronomical objects being misperceived under the all too general catch-all of "UFOs," and the implied baggage that term normally carries as indicating something other than its' actual definition, unidentified or unknown, not alien craft, but the real question and story is just what other things might have been going on in parallel and how best to determine the answers to Jim's points and questions. The only point I would dispute is No.8, where Jim confusingly (at least to me) says:

"Concerning the retired AF officer whose recent book predicted major UFO events over major cities on Oct. 13th, 2010: The balloon hypothesis has to invoke a rare coincidence, while the UFO/alien hypothesis can easily explain it if the history of UFO deception is recalled. Or was it a failed prediction, occurring only over NYC?"

The prediction of UFOs over all major cities on Oct. 13th by the long-retired USAF officer referred to, Stanley Fulham, and his recent re-issue (3rd edition) of his book, "Challenges of Change," which is based on info that was "channeled" through an allegedly psychic third-party, is absurd and ridiculous.

I talked to the Fulham's publisher, Randy Kitchur, a few weeks ago, when this issue first surfaced on UpDates, and pointed out to Kitchur the very elementary mistake Fulham made in claiming our atmosphere was currently composed of 9% carbon dioxide, and that global warming would raise it to 22% within our lifetimes (in actual fact, the trace gas CO2 amounts to only 0.0387% of our atmosphere, so the guy is off by only, what, a factor of over 230 or so?), which is completely wrong. This is just simple mathematics, based on the well-established, known fact that the percentage of CO2 in Earth's atmosphere is, as of 2009, approximately 387 parts per million, or as noted, 0.0387%, not 9% by volume. See:

<http://tinyurl.com/yb4gmqs>

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon\\_dioxide](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon_dioxide)

Kitchur, who's also Fulham's agent, website designer, and online content provider/interviewer/rep for the author totally agreed, and then corrected these figures (leaving the original statements by the author in place), but in an indirect and somewhat misleading way. He also said the Fulham, in his estimation, had paid his psychic source around \$100,000 over the years for the series of "revelations" from the "alien council" of "Transcendors" included in the author's book, which also, believe it or not, includes credulous references to the "Akashic Records", MJ-12 origins and involvement, a secret cabal of scientists and techs working in the Himalayan Mountains for Al-Qaeda, and who've developed WMD's and "dirty [nuclear or radiation] bombs," and many, many other old and discredited myths and hoaxed data sources combined into a bizarre and malign melange of fantabulous and extraordinary goofiness.

I kid you not. Go to the website involved, and read up on this miasmatic goo of confabulation, delusion, fraudulent psychic channelers, and igenerally ncredible silliness at:

<http://tinyurl.com/2dbcq45>

As David Letterman's old catch-phrase would suggest, the book and interviews with the author at the link above represent some kind of "nightmarish carnival of mayhem" and absurdity, and the only benefit of reading it would be to see the strange depth to which one man's gullibility and delusions have descended to, like some crazy bad SciFi novel by some Philip K. Dick wannabe-- only the author seems to think it's all real. Go figure.

Essentially, if he can't even get basic, known facts like the % amount of CO2 in the atmosphere (and his editor, Kitchur, inserted "corrections" that appear in parenthetical yellow text alongside Fulham's false statements, which is an interesting new development, resulting apparently from the conversation I had with Kitchur), and makes predictions like a massive UFO global display over all major cities on Oct. 13th, (and which was also a complete bust), perhaps these two facts will tell you all you need to know about the matter, but, in turn, I think Jim Deardorff's points 1 - 7 do conversely present a valid basis for further investigation and analysis of the recent NYC sightings.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 18](#)

## Re: Manhattan UFOs

From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 02:57:31 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 08:43:08 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>If indeed it is the anomaly, then it shouldn't be a big  
>surprise: New York has recently had a very anti-structural and  
>non-typical event - a tornado that devastated the lives of many  
>and laid waste to cars and homes. Routine for many has been  
>completely interrupted and continues to be so.

>Notice the "if it's the anomaly", and that's a big "if".

>Yeah, that is a mighty big "if". More realistic and obvious  
>answers can be found here:

><http://tinyurl.com/2fo6rr4> =C2 [New York Post]

><http://tinyurl.com/29c49kh> =C2 [Fox News]

>Basically, the story is that a woman attending an engagement  
>party at a local Mt. Vernon, NY, school had a cluster of 12  
>silver and white party balloons pulled out of her hands by the  
>wind as she neared the entrance to the school.

>Both the Post and Fox stories are headlined Kids Behind UFOs...  
>which makes no sense, as it was the female teacher who was  
>attending the party who lost the balloons, but I suppose  
>sensationalistic headlines, even when part of a story deflating  
>(heh!) the idle speculations of some in NYC who saw the objects  
>floating in the sky, are intended to make something out of  
>nothing for financial motivations. Consider the media sources  
>involved.

Hi Steve

Yeah I posted that here a few days or more ago.

Now of course we have the more recent Texas sighting also  
compared to this NYC thing - doesn't do anyone much good when  
the two are compared - even if both were explained. The TV  
footage I watched compared two frames of each video that showed  
a similar pattern...I mean so what? Given enough time any 3 dots  
could correlate in the same fashion.

Seems to be a lot of hysterical reactions lately.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 18](#)

## More Mysterious Lights Over El Paso

From: **Jeff Olson** <[jlolson53.nul](mailto:jlolson53.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 09:20:47 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 10:37:04 -0400  
Subject: More Mysterious Lights Over El Paso

Man, those kids' party balloons must really get around! (And have some mysterious property that causes them to form triangles!!).

<http://www.ktsm.com/mysterious-lights-over-east-el-paso>

Jeff

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 18](#)

## Re: Manhattan UFOs

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 10:28:07 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 10:39:15 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>From: Jeff Ritzmann<[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 02:57:31 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>>If indeed it is the anomaly, then it shouldn't be a big  
>>surprise: New York has recently had a very anti-structural and  
>>non-typical event - a tornado that devastated the lives of many  
>>and laid waste to cars and homes. Routine for many has been  
>>completely interrupted and continues to be so.

>>Notice the "if it's the anomaly", and that's a big "if".

><snip>

>Now of course we have the more recent Texas sighting also  
>compared to this NYC thing - doesn't do anyone much good when  
>the two are compared - even if both were explained. The TV  
>footage I watched compared two frames of each video that showed  
>a similar pattern...I mean so what? Given enough time any 3 dots  
>could correlate in the same fashion.

And then there was the show said to be over Moscow which  
consisted of a similar display of multiple dots in the sky:

<http://tinyurl.com/3851lrk>

These displays are nothing new since they have appeared a number  
of times over Mexico and South American countries. There, they  
were often made up of hundreds of dots.

In the cases where there are a large number of dots, my  
impression is that the dots tend not to vary in brightness. This  
contrasts with cases where there are only a few dots which  
appear more likely to flicker or flash. Could this distinction  
be the beginning of a classification system?

My feeling at this point is that the relatively constant  
displays might be made up of plasma balls created by a novel  
technology. One candidate might some kind of EM interferometry.  
I can imagine a ball of plasma forming at each point of  
constructive interference where there was sufficient energy.  
This would mean that there should be an organizing principle  
underlying the distribution of the dots. This principle would  
not be obvious given that the objects exist in three dimensions,  
and the orientation to the observer in the videos is  
uncontrolled.

I wrote earlier about a spiral display of dots photographed over  
Long Island, NY, and I compared it to a similar spiral array of  
dots created by the EISCAT antenna in Norway, which is like the  
HAARP antenna in Alaska:

<http://tinyurl.com/2dfvfhs>

I suggested there that the luminous orbs making up the spirals  
may also be appearing elsewhere either alone or in formation.  
The recent displays may be examples of human-made balls of plasm  
a created by particular configurations of such antennae.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 18](#)

## Proof Of The UFO Coverup?

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 11:16:20 -0400 (Eastern Daylight Time)  
Archived: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 12:11:11 -0400  
Subject: Proof Of The UFO Coverup?

Greetings Everyone,

Over the past few years I have followed up on leads about direct contacts with non-human entities, alleged joint activities with various world governments and secret groups and even accounts of reverse engineering of alien technology, either shared with us by the "Boys Topside" or acquired from crashed UFO debris.

Below are the official translations, independently verified by another professional translator, of 3 handwritten documents that were forwarded to me by Italian UFO researchers several years ago before they were made public.

Although I first had these 3 incomplete Italian documents, dating back to the Mussolini era, quickly translated years ago by colleagues who could read and write Italian, when 3 "missing" pages to the 4 that I originally had recently surfaced, a proper and true translation of the complete documents was justified.

As one can see, the UFO coverup did not start sometime after 1947 with the crash in Roswell, or even during World War II, but at least a full decade earlier by Fascist leaders.

The public is still kept in the dark about UFOs and contacts with human looking entities that are not from our world, or at least from the reality we know and accept. The reason(s) for the ongoing coverup is still above top secret...

-----

Mussolini Era Handwritten UFO Document 1

SENATE OF THE KINGDOM

August 22, 14th Year of the Fascist Era [Translator's note: 1936]

Dear Valiberghi, [Translator's note: last name illegible, this is the best guess offered in the transcription of the documents]

I confirm what you learned from Valminuti. [Translator's note: last name illegible, as above]

Even though the Venice Prefecture is actively investigating, nothing is clear about the story of the mysterious aircraft!!

It was sighted on Monday morning (not in the evening). It was a metallic, sharp, bright disc, said to be about ten or twelve metres in width.

Two fighters scrambled from the nearby airbase, but even at 130 km/h they didn't manage to close with it.

It emitted no sound, which would seem to point to an aerostat. However, nobody knows of balloons that can fly faster than the wind. I know for a fact that other air force pilots saw it, including that Marinelli who wrote the report that ended up in Ciano's hands.

Later, after about an hour at least, after maybe passing over Mestre, people saw (you still do not know this) a sort of long metallic pipe, gray- or slate-coloured. In the report written by informer S.X. it was sketched like this:

<sketches>

[Translator's note: page 2 of the source document ends here; what follows is taken from the link to the originals. The link is copied at the end of this translation]

What I marked as A was described as a kind of air torpedo, with well-marked windows. These rectangular openings gave out alternatively white and red lights. B marks two "hats," resembling priest's hats: wide, round, with a central dome, metallic; they followed the torpedo without changing their relative positions.

These devices made smoke, white and long-lasting.

The Prefecture has opened an investigation, but you can imagine that it will go nowhere and will have the same result as the one held in 1931.

Il Duce expressed his concerns, because he said that if these were real British or French aircraft, he would have to revise his whole foreign policy. I know for a fact that he told Starace and others, "If they have such devices, we can expect war in a matter of days, and - even worse - war on their own terms!!"

I can tell you that the rumours that the aircraft exploded are utterly unfounded. I will let you have reliable news as soon as I shall have any.

Andrea

<Mussolini Era Handwritten UFO Document 2>

SENATE OF THE KINGDOM

August 30, 14th Year of the Fascist Era [Translator's note: 1936]

Unfortunately I cannot provide you with photographs.

At least a dozen were taken, but they are strictly reserved for il Duce and a few others whose names I don't know. I only know that those photographs were taken from the airplane pursuing the aircraft between Lido and Venice. I don't know anybody who has seen them and I doubt that what Aldini [Translator's note: last name illegible, as above] told you may be true. I repeat that this matter is being personally followed by il Duce.

Andrea

<Mussolini Era Handwritten UFO Document 3>

CHAMBER OF DEPUTIES

Press Gallery

For Zoppani

1) Intervene directly -

2) Il Duce - Personal secretary GF

Telephone call from Ciano

Sighted at 3.30 p.m. According to M.F. object similar to Saturn

[Translator's note: page 4 of the source document ends here; what follows is taken from the link to the originals. The link is copied at the end of this translation]

The Air Force distributed a questionnaire to all its pilots operating in the area.

Everything must be denied. The event must exclusively be attributed to an optical phenomenon.

Il Duce is personally following the events.

The alert extends to the whole North-Eastern air defence zone.

Yellow-orange light occasionally intensely white regular flashes  
- smoke and sparks -

Are they armed?

Are they friendly?

Have they been sighted on other occasions?

573

Carati

[Translator's note: Link to the transcripts of the complete originals]

<http://www.edicolaweb.net/ufost09s.htm#identificatorec>

-----

Nick Balaskas

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 19](#)

## UFOs Over Manhattan Were Balloons

From: **Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos** <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 18:19:46 +0100 (BST)  
Archived: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 07:21:12 -0400  
Subject: UFOs Over Manhattan Were Balloons

Dear List members:

According to the Spanish newspaper EL MUNDO:

<http://tinyurl.com/274d4de>

those were balloons launched by representatives of the Madrid city's town hall to celebrate the 100 years of the Gran Via (Main Street) at Madrid in New York.

Regards,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos  
FOTOCAT Blog <http://fotocat.blogspot.com/>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 19](#)

## Re: Manhattan UFOs

From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 12:39:16 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 07:24:39 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 17 Oct 2010 22:18:31 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

>>From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 16 Oct 2010 10:38:27 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Manhattan UFOs

<snip>

>>Hopefully there are some NYC ufologists, perhaps newly generated  
>>ones, who are looking into these matters and who will report  
>>their findings. Or is the fear of balloon ridicule just too  
>>strong? If ufologists cannot deal with a major UFO event  
>>involving multiple witnesses and multiple pieces of video  
>>footage, what can they deal with?

>Hi, Jim & List,

>Jim is absolutely correct in his preliminary evaluation of the  
>factors and other variables he notes, that this case begs for a  
>greater degree of investigation and analysis than it is most  
>probably going to get. His list of points and questions is a  
>kind of exemplar of what all serious UFO researchers should bear  
>in mind-don't take at face value or from initial press reports  
>that just because a woman lost a cluster of balloons a little  
>after 1 pm, that that accounts for all aspects of this apparent  
>series of sightings, assuming Jim's reporting is correct that  
>other incidents at differing times occurred on the same day.

>Of course, the mainstream media will quickly move on to new  
>sensations and exploit other stories as required by the 24-hour  
>news cycle, superficial reporting, and generic dismissal of  
>these kinds of incidents as always being of prosaic, explainable  
>nature, but as Jim cogently points out, the timeframes, nature  
>of the objects observed (and where, when, and of what varying  
>kinds of object groupings) would suggest at the very least that  
>more than one thing occurred here, and it would be useful and  
>productive if some MUFON or other UFO researcher of some depth  
>of experience and investigatory acumen were to look at the whole  
>picture that Jim's post presents, and the discrepancies among  
>the elements of the incidents coincidentally involved.

>It would also be much appreciated if Jim might notate or cite  
>the sources of his 8-point summary of questions and generally  
>not known about or reported early sightings, such as the 9:30 am  
>or later (and prior to 1 or 1:30 pm) sighting(s), and the reports  
>he apparently found of some witnesses reporting far more than a  
>dozen objects in the sky...

Steve and List,

The sighting of "hundreds" around 9:30 a.m. by the vender was  
mentioned by the blond reporter in the MyFox video:

<http://tinyurl.com/37qsyrd>

between 10 & 22 sec into the video. The vender was at or near 23rd St. & 8th Ave. This video report apparently occurred before the balloon story got going. The interview of the man who sighted some 50 of the dots occurs at 1 min - 13-22 sec into the video, but the time of his lengthy sighting unfortunately isn't given - perhaps it was after dark.

>and the strange length of reported  
>hover time without obvious, wind-borne dispersal, as one would  
>expect in even a very light breeze over a relatively shorter  
>period of time. If anyone were to try and pursue these  
>discrepancies, it's necessary for citations or links to these  
>other reports being provided, however.

The following CBS video is by far the best one I've been informed of:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HRKnGnjLY4&feature=related>

from CBSNEWS.com. It has over 6 minutes of continuous filming of the orbs, apparently taken at street level, seemingly with the camera well braced. It shows mostly three orbs that don't disperse. It would need to be postulated that, if balloons, the three were tied together with equal length strings, so as to form a tight equilateral triangle at times. However, they fade from sight in just 2 or 3 seconds, around a time of 2min 18sec (2:18). Then at 2:48 they reappear over a period of just a few seconds. This obviously rules out balloons, and requires UFO nomenclature. By a time of 3:30 to 3:50 the orbs have stretched apart more, sometimes into a linear formation. If balloons, this would require one of the three connecting strings to have come untied.

As an afterthought, each of the orbs subtends a sufficiently large angular diameter that I would expect it to be barely discernable that they would appear brighter on an upper side and darker below, such as normal objects, including balloons, exhibit in the sunlight. So a balloon would not show up as emitting or reflecting light of uniform intensity within a perfect circle on a sunny day. Perhaps Bruce can comment on this. Supporters of the balloon hypothesis could even do experiments to check this out.

.....

> The only point I would dispute is  
>No.8 , where Jim confusingly (at least to me) says [see above]...

>The prediction of UFOs over all major cities on Oct. 13th by the  
>long-retired USAF officer referred to, Stanley Fulham, and his  
>recent re-issue (3rd edition) of his book, "Challenges of  
>Change," which is based on info that was "channeled" through an  
>allegedly psychic third-party, is absurd and ridiculous.

>I talked to the Fulham's publisher, Randy Kitchur, a few weeks  
>ago, when this issue first surfaced on UpDates, and pointed out  
>to Kitchur the very elementary mistake Fulham made in claiming  
>our atmosphere was currently composed of 9% carbon dioxide, and  
>that global warming would raise it to 22% within our lifetimes  
>(in actual fact, the trace gas CO2 amounts to only 0.0387% of  
>our atmosphere, .....  
>Steve

Granted, Fulham's book is full of stuff as bad as "chem trails". Yet its partially fulfilled prediction, involving Oct. 13th, has a UFO-intelligence alternative to the "rare coincidence" hypothesis, involving UFO deception. I find the aliens involved to be quite creative in providing instances of plausible deniability that can give skeptics a cause for ridicule. Here I prefer this alternative to the "rare coincidence" hypothesis.

Jim Deardorff

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 19](#)

## Re: More Mysterious Lights Over El Paso

From: **Terrence Brown** <[mrbrownsdi.nul](mailto:mrbrownsdi.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 19:33:20 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 07:26:20 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Mysterious Lights Over El Paso

>From: Jeff Olson <[jlolson53.nul](mailto:jlolson53.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 09:20:47 -0500  
>Subject: More Mysterious Lights Over El Paso

>Man, those kids' party balloons must really get around! (And  
>have some mysterious property that causes them to form  
>triangles!!).

><http://www.ktsm.com/mysterious-lights-over-east-el-paso>

This sighting was caused by a night jump of the United States Army Parachute Team The Golden Knights, as confirmed on their blog.

Hola, El Paso!! Black Team's in Town!

<http://armygk.com/2010/10/hola-el-paso-black-teams-in-town/>

This is recent video of one of their jumps, which is nearly identical to the news footage

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eagvrv-8jIY>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 19](#)

## Balloons? I Think Not

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 00:07:33 EDT  
Archived: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 07:28:48 -0400  
Subject: Balloons? I Think Not

There are pathetic explanations and there are beyond pathetic explanations for UFO sightings but to regress to balloons again after watching this video:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rEtTl9cGrJc>

just takes the cake.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com  
ufofight.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 19](#)

## News Links - 18-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 08:28:27 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 08:28:27 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 18-10-10

News Links - 18-10-10

Four Disc-Shaped UFOs Low Over Sanford, Florida  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/352f318>

UFOs Over College Station Posted On Youtube  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2c38zb3>

UFO Sighting?  
Gulf Daily News - Bahrain  
<http://tinyurl.com/2d5gk3k>

UFOs Over New York - Google Article Compilation  
<http://tinyurl.com/2cx35y2>

Texas UFO Sighting Similar To NYC's Sighting  
Ghost Theory  
<http://tinyurl.com/27vcouf>

MUFON Pennsylvania Pittsburgh-Area UFO Conference Jammed With  
Attendees  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2es5edd>

Greeley Man Is An Identified Expert On Unidentified Objects  
Greeley Tribune  
<http://tinyurl.com/275azlq>

UK Schools Doing 'UFO Crash Drills'?  
The Weston Mercury  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bylxcg>

Adequate Preparedness Of Public On UFOs, Discoveries?  
Transcendent TV & Media  
<http://tinyurl.com/2d94uwv>

Project Blue Beam And The Great UFO Hoax  
UFOMystic  
<http://tinyurl.com/3a63o65>

The Truth Is Out There In Barry UFO Novel!  
Barry and District News  
<http://tinyurl.com/27eusw8>

Space Planes Compete With UFOs On Sub-Orbital Flights  
Joint Recon Study Group  
<http://tinyurl.com/393t96u>

From 'The Norm':

Planet Hunters No Longer Blinded By The Light: New Way To See  
Faint Planets Previously Hidden In Their Star's Glare  
<http://tinyurl.com/36ebrdv>

Falling Brains Dept:

Is FAA Covering Up Flight Delays Due To New York UFO Sighting?

ExoNews

<http://tinyurl.com/2fm4jp2>

UFO Abduction Of Whole Village Exposed As Rumor

People's Daily Online

<http://tinyurl.com/2ene6ux>

S Korea Media Asks: Are UFOs a CIA Conspiracy?

Dallas Blog

<http://tinyurl.com/2feytee>

Nordic Aliens

<http://tinyurl.com/2acekq5>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 19](#)

## Royal Society Article

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 16:24:51 +0200 (CEST)  
Archived: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 11:41:54 -0400  
Subject: Royal Society Article

On October 4th and 5th the Royal Society held a discussion meeting entitled Towards a Scientific And Societal Agenda On Extraterrestrial Life:

<http://royalsociety.org/extra-terrestrial-life/>

I attended the meeting and wrote an article about it, giving an overview of the event and addressing in particular the disagreement over replying to an alien transmission (or actively sending messages) and the media reports that Dr Mazlan Othman had been appointed as an "alien ambassador". This article was published yesterday on msnbc.com's technology & science site:

[http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/39675346/ns/technology\\_and\\_science/](http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/39675346/ns/technology_and_science/)

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 20](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think Not

From: Bruce maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 11:34:29 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 06:05:31 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 00:07:33 EDT  
>Subject: Balloons? I Think Not

>There are pathetic explanations and there are beyond pathetic  
>explanations for UFO sightings but to regress to balloons again  
>after watching this video:

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rEtTl9cGrJc>

>just takes the cake.

My guesses:

Daylight in NYC - balloons

Night in El Paso - falling pyrotechnics (like fireworks) with  
parachutes (to arrest the fall before they burn out)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 20](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think Not

From: **Franck Boitte** <[franckboitte.nul](mailto:franckboitte.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 18:17:13 +0200  
Archived: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 06:08:14 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 00:07:33 EDT  
>Subject: Balloons? I Think Not

>There are pathetic explanations and there are beyond pathetic  
>explanations for UFO sightings but to regress to balloons again  
>after watching this video:

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rEtTl9cGrJc>

>just takes the cake.

Dear Greg, Listers,

Just dream as the dreamers like to... To-morrow dream would be  
as different as the previous one and at the same time just  
remain the same dream ...

All in all considered, what is the real question except: What  
would be life worth without them damn dreamers that repeatedly  
make us dream?

And would the world still exist without "God" in His  
irresponsible dreams dreaming it actually does?

Best,

F. Boitte

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 20](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think Not

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 17:18:28 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 06:10:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 00:07:33 EDT  
>Subject: Balloons? I Think Not

>There are pathetic explanations and there are beyond pathetic  
>explanations for UFO sightings but to regress to balloons again  
>after watching this video:

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rEtTl9cGrJc>

>just takes the cake.

Well, my gosh, last Thursday night at 11:40 PM and last night at 10:55 PM in the skies over Green Valley, AZ I saw what I called iridium flashes.

Both of them descending in the east in the same part of the sky. Naturally, I thought it was space junk entering the lower atmosphere and burning up. Silly me. I didn't think it was UFOs trying to make contact.

Was I wrong?

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 20](#)

## Weird Photo And Nightline UFO Video

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 14:02:23 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 06:13:30 -0400  
Subject: Weird Photo And Nightline UFO Video

The weird photo was taken recently by a witness who claims to have seen a "weird distortion" effect that reminded her of the Predator movie. It seems that she photographed it. Any comments or information on similar cases would be appreciated. See:

[http://www.brumac.8k.com/JANs\\_Phenomenon/JANs\\_Phenomenon.htm](http://www.brumac.8k.com/JANs_Phenomenon/JANs_Phenomenon.htm)

Somewhat recently (2007) ABC News has made available on Amazon a Nightline documentary on SETI and UFOs and speculation about ET life, etc. This documentary featured a 'UFO video' they had obtained from a highly credible source.

I was asked to analyze the video 'live' so they could get my immediate reaction. However, they would not let me see it first.

It seemed that the science correspondent, Michael Guillan, tried to browbeat me into positively identifying (as ET?) the strange lights in the video, but I refused.

It's a good thing I refused because information I obtained weeks after the show indicate a mundane identification which, by the way, Nightline never reported to the audience.

My final report is at:

<http://www.brumac.8k.com/NIGHTLINEUFO/NIGHTLINEUFO.html>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 20](#)

## Re: MJ-12 Redux

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 06:08:58 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 13:08:36 -0400  
Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: <<mailto:post.nul>>post.nul  
>Date: Sun, 17 Oct 2010 11:24:56 EDT  
>Subject: MJ-12 Redux [was: Shostak's Search Shift?]

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <<mailto:post.nul>>post.nul  
>>Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 04:33:22 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>>>To: <<mailto:post.nul>>post.nul  
>>>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 18:11:42 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>>Hi David; Stanton,

>>>Thank you both for your responses. My reply is once again combined  
>>>into a single message to you both:

><snip>

>>I would certainly acknowledge that there are many people on this  
>>List and elsewhere claiming that the EBD, CT, TF items are  
>>fraudulent. I believe I have dealt with all their arguments. I  
>>keep asking for refutations of my refutations. All I get is  
>>research by proclamation. "They are obvious frauds". I wish they  
>>would add "because A,B,C... which with STF hasn't dealt".

>>I am off to a conference in Strasbourg... Back Monday.  
>>I won't hold my breath.

>Stan, List, All -

>I can't just let this go unchallenged. The consensus is that  
>MJ-12 is a hoax. Not a government disinformation campaign, not  
>something designed to keep us guessing and chasing phantoms, but  
>something created and designed to propel specific people back  
>into the spotlight. Or, as has been suggested by one of those  
>who released it into the world, to fool those who had knowledge  
>of the Roswell crash into revealing information that was, and  
>is, classified.

Thank you for providing examples of just what I complained  
about: research by proclamation and a failure to examine  
what I have written. It was the consensus that flight was impossible,  
that space flight was impossible, that planes couldn't go faster than  
the speed of sound. Take note of "Science Was Wrong"

>Although Stan attempts to shout down those of us who do not  
>accept MJ-12 as authentic by suggesting that he has answered all  
>objections to MJ-12, he has done so only in his own mind. He has  
>not explained the lack of provenance, which contrary to what he  
>has said to me, is not an indicator of its authenticity but is  
>predictive of hoax.

Please don't put words in my mouth. I said that if the documents

are genuine, than the leaker is very much at risk for having released classified material to uncleared people without a need to know. Absence of evidence is Not evidence for

>Those who have researched the type style of the various  
>components of MJ-12 (the Truman memo) say that it was created on  
>a typewriter that did not exist in 1952. This is based, not on  
>just a comparison of the upper and lower case letters, but on  
>the various numbers and symbols, done with the complete drawings  
>and specifications from the factory. It is evidence that the  
>typewriter did not exist in 1952... And yes, I know he will say  
>that Peter Tytell has not issued a formal written report but  
>will not remember to say that it was he who sent the material to  
>Tytell in the first place.

You have changed your tune, but it is still off key.

What you said in your book that Tytell had said was ; "It was just perfect because the whole thing of the 12 pages or however many pages it was. Most of the pages were just blank pages with just five words written on them like Top Secret or Appendix A or something like that". His memory was obviously very poor. There were 8 pages and only one, page 7(not included by Kevin) had "Appendix 8"... If there was a professional examination by Tytell, no one has seen it. However, Bob Wood did hire a forensic documents expert, James A. Black, to perform a professional examination. On November 13, 1998, Black stated "My knowledge of typewriter fonts permits me to conclude that the letter was likely to have been typed by an Underwood Standard Typewriter. The portions of the type font of the letter that can be clearly visualized match those of a typewriter exemplar of a Underwood Standard typed in May 1940". This statement is included in the very lengthy article (4 long segments ) on my web site for years now. It is also on page 227 of the 2nd edition of my book "TOP SECRET/MAJIC".That has been out for 5 years.

>I will note here that the information on the EBD is the state of  
>the investigation of crashed saucers in 1982 or 1983 and not  
>what it is today. This provides us with a clue as to when the  
>document was created and that is not 1952.

>  
>How about saying what that information is, Kevin?. The reality is the reverse. We didn't find out that much information in the documents was true until long after the documents had been received.I have listed many items not known to be true until later.. such as Twining beginning his investigation on July 7. I found his Pilot log in a newly declassified box at the LCMC years later. I also found the log of his pilot.They matched.. We didn't know that Cutler was out of the country until years later. How did the hoaxer know not to put a signature on it?  
>etc etc

>  
>I will note, though Stan will deny it, Bill Moore said, in the  
>early 1980s, that he was thinking of creating a Roswell document  
>to shake things loose. Moore said this to a number of people and  
>it has been widely reported. Then, the MJ-12 documents arrive at  
>the home of Moore's friend who was not well known in the UFO  
>community.

Not being well known to the UFO community means what???

>It is interesting that here is a document that was created to  
>bribe the President-Elect but is incomplete. It mentions nothing  
>about the Plains of San Agustin or Aztec, two of the crashes  
>that are now said to be real. There is no reasonable explanation  
>for these two events to be ignored if MJ-12 is real and those  
>events are real... but remember, in the early 80s almost no one  
>believed Aztec to be anything other than a hoax and Bill Moore  
>knew that the only connection to the Plains was the rather vague  
>information attributed to Fleck Danley. The Barney Barnett diary  
>(or actually the diary kept by Ruth Barnett) has nothing,  
>absolutely nothing, to suggest that Barney saw anything on the  
>Plains, or that he was out on the Plains on the right days.

>And we have Herbert Dick, who was clearly on the Plains, in a  
>position to see any UFO crash there (he arrived on July 1  
>according to a letter he sent to a colleague in late 1947) but  
>reported nothing.

Ruth's diary indeed did say Barney had often been out on the

Plains including during the week in question. No Barney did not tell Ruth about it. He had been told to shut up and had been in the military. Kevin. let us not stretch the truth. Dick was only in the Plains 3 weeks that July.

Also I do not accept that you or anybody else we know is in a position to know what should or should not have been in the EBD. Are you conveniently forgetting that the EBD states "NOTE:This document has been prepared as a preliminary briefing only. It should be regarded as introductory to a full operations briefing intended to follow.": Last I heard, full and preliminary are not synonyms.

>But by the early 1980s, Bill Moore, among others, were  
>questioning the validity of suggesting that Barnett's story  
>had anything to do with July 1947.

Skeptical people question the validity of everything.

I question the validity of everything (rather than making proclamations) which is why, for example, I checked Forrestal's papers at Princeton and Menzel's very surprising papers at the Harvard Archives (and have been at 18 other archives, often more than once) and why I talked at length with George Elsey who worked for Truman the entire time he was in the White House. He was very helpful about James Lay (Exec. Secretary of the NSC) and Robert Cutler. I talked with family members of all but one of the MJ-12 group. There is a difference between investigating and proclaiming

>So, the MJ-12 documents mention nothing about either the Plains  
>or Aztec because, in the early 1980s many knew that those two  
>events were not well documented.

I am glad to know you are psychic and know what highly classified material exists. I am not and don't.

Yes, I personally am convinced that indeed there was a crash in the Plains, and that the very very extensive research done by Scott and Suzanne Ramsey (soon to be published in a book) establishes that there was a crash of an almost intact saucer at Aztec in 1948. It is interesting that Roswell provided a mess of small pieces. Aztec and the Plains provided almost intact vehicles. Neither had extensive publicity in the 1940s. An intact saucer is a whole other matter.

>Stan will say that I said I rejected MJ-12 because Admiral  
>Hillenkoetter got his rank wrong. I have told Stan this really  
>isn't important, though Stan has yet to find a document on  
>which Hillenkoetter got his rank wrong. Rather than address the  
>real issues, he'll attempt to divert the conversation to these  
>other points.

Kevin, why not quote what you said in your book about Roscoe's wrong rank being the crucial argument? Why not quote what I say on my website and elsewhere that I found documents at the Ike Library (not that far from you) proving that it was standard practice at the White House to use generic ranks.? Ike's staff secretary, Brigadier General Goodpaster, used them when listing attendees at White House meetings including for himself "General Goodpaster". But signing Brigadier General. You asked me early on if I had found any other documents with Roscoe's signed rank being Admiral. I had to point out that There is no Hillenkoetter signature in the EBD I have shown that the White house calendars sometimes listed him as Admiral.rank, sometimes rear.

>I will say that no one has ever found a document, an authentic  
>document referring to MJ-12 in the 25 years since these were  
>announced. The Cutler-Twining Memo, found in the National  
>Archives was clearly planted there, something that Stan will  
>tell you. But if the document was planted, and there is again,  
>no provenance, then it does nothing to validate MJ-12. And  
>that's where we are today. There is nothing that validates MJ-  
>12. And the information we do have suggests that MJ-12 is a  
>hoax.

It is the information that validates not the absence. Don't forget Phil Klass paying me \$1000. for proving him wrong about the typeface on the CT memo. Pages 243-246 list a bunch of items not previously known to be true. How did the hoaxer know?

>Yes, I know that Stan will say that we have no evidence that  
>Bill Moore (and Richard Doty) were behind the MJ-12 documents,  
>but there are some good clues. The dating format used by Moore,  
>but not the US military or the US government is on them. Yes, it  
>is used in Europe and in NATO, but those arguments are  
>irrelevant.

I found the same dating format used by Hillenkoetter and Smith .

>Normally, I don't get involved in these discussions because  
>they turn out the same way. But sometimes I just don't want to  
>let Stan get away with his proclamations about the validity of  
>MJ-12. I am surprised that he continues to defend MJ-12 when  
>even Bill Moore says that he finds many of those documents to be  
>of dubious provenance.

>The thing is, no matter how often you argue for the authenticity  
>of MJ-12, it doesn't make it so. You need to have evidence and  
>there is precious little of that for MJ-12.

>For a more comprehensive look at some of this, please go to:

>[www.kevinrandle.blogspot.com](http://www.kevinrandle.blogspot.com).

>So, let the game begin.

>KRandle

Again I suggest looking at the MJ-12 article in 4 parts on  
my website:

<http://www.stantonfriedman.com>

and in TOP SECRET/MAJIC. There is a great deal of evidence. You  
might learn something.

ST Friedman

[Exposer of false arguments against the validity of the EBD,TF,  
and CT and provider of proof that there are a bunch of false MJ-  
12 docs. Also not an acceptor of the false doctrine that Absence  
of evidence is Evidence of absence.]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 21](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think Not

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 09:53:09 EDT  
Archived: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 06:33:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 17:18:28 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 00:07:33 EDT  
>>Subject: Balloons? I Think Not

>>There are pathetic explanations and there are beyond pathetic  
>>explanations for UFO sightings but to regress to balloons again  
>>after watching this video:

>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rEtTl9cGrJc>

>>just takes the cake.

>Well, my gosh, last Thursday night at 11:40 PM and last night at  
>10:55 PM in the skies over Green Valley, AZ I saw what I called  
>iridium flashes.

>Both of them descending in the east in the same part of the sky.  
>Naturally, I thought it was space junk entering the lower  
>atmosphere and burning up. Silly me. I didn't think it was UFOs  
>trying to make contact.

>Was I wrong?

I dunno, did someone carpet bomb explain your sightings as  
balloons?

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 21](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think Not

From: **SMiles Lewis** <[elfis.nul](mailto:elfis.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 06:50:27 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 06:38:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 00:07:33 EDT  
>Subject: Balloons? I Think Not

>There are pathetic explanations and there are beyond pathetic  
>explanations for UFO sightings but to regress to balloons again  
>after watching this video:

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rEtTl9cGrJc>

>just takes the cake.

Not balloons in El Paso but rather... night jumpers:

-----

Rachel Medley  
October 17, 2010 at 6:09 pm

Hello,

Yes, that was us!

This is something that happens quite often when we do twilight  
or night jumps - people for miles around who don't realize that  
there is a pyrotechnic jump going on think that there is a  
meteor or a UFO!

The local law enforcement and news agencies get frequent phone  
calls from people who think that it's anything from a UFO to a  
nuclear missile.

It's just the Golden Knights, doing what we do best and wowing  
the crowd, while demonstrating the precision and discipline that  
the Army has taught us.

Thanks for your concern!

Blue Skies!  
SGT Rachel Medley, Black Demonstration Team, Golden Knights

Hola, El Paso!! Black Team's in Town!

by Rachel Medley on October 16, 2010

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eagvrv-8jIY>

<http://armyvk.com/2010/10/hola-el-paso-black-teams-in-town/>

-----

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 21](#)

## Re: Weird Photo And Nightline UFO Video

From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 12:46:42 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 06:40:16 -0400  
Subject: Re: Weird Photo And Nightline UFO Video

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 14:02:23 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Weird Photo And Nightline UFO Video

>The weird photo was taken recently by a witness who claims to  
>have seen a "weird distortion" effect that reminded her of the  
>Predator movie. It seems that she photographed it. Any comments  
>or information on similar cases would be appreciated. See:

>[http://www.brumac.8k.com/JANs\\_Phenomenon/JANs\\_Phenomenon.htm](http://www.brumac.8k.com/JANs_Phenomenon/JANs_Phenomenon.htm)

>Somewhat recently (2007) ABC News has made available on Amazon a  
>Nightline documentary on SETI and UFOs and speculation about ET  
>life, etc. This documentary featured a 'UFO video' they had  
>obtained from a highly credible source.

>....  
>It's a good thing I refused because information I obtained weeks  
>after the show indicate a mundane identification which, by the  
>way, Nightline never reported to the audience.

>My final report is at:

><http://www.brumac.8k.com/NIGHTLINEUFO/NIGHTLINEUFO.html>

Bruce,

Doesn't the GEODS telescope register the color? In your URL  
above I missed any mention of whether the light "above" might  
have been green and the light "below" red.

Re an IFO conclusion, Do you have any feeling for how uncommon  
it may be for an aircraft to display two additional (weak)  
lights from its underside between its centerline and wing tips?  
Or on how unusual it may be for an aircraft to have a central  
white light on its underbelly not far enough forward to have  
been on or under the nose? And shouldn't there have been a light  
at the tail - if a strobe light had been there, in three  
seconds it should have flashed several times.

Jim

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 21](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think Not

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 20:33:51 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 06:42:01 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>From: Bruce maccabee<[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 11:34:29 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>From: Greg Boone<[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 00:07:33 EDT  
>>Subject: Balloons? I Think Not

>>There are pathetic explanations and there are beyond pathetic  
>>explanations for UFO sightings but to regress to balloons again  
>>after watching this video:

>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rEtTl9cGrJc>

>>just takes the cake.

>My guesses:

>Daylight in NYC - balloons

>Night in El Paso - falling pyrotechnics (like fireworks) with  
>parachutes (to arrest the fall before they burn out)

Bruce, since you don't go into detail, I assume your guesses are  
not based on an analysis beyond the obvious.

I would like to show readers of the list that all is not as  
simple as it seems. I've placed images of an orb triple from an  
NYC video on my web site for discussion.

Pic0:

[http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC\\_Orb3.png](http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC_Orb3.png)

Pic1:

[http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC\\_Orb3Mag.png](http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC_Orb3Mag.png)

Pic2:

[http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC\\_Orb3SmMEq.png](http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC_Orb3SmMEq.png)

Pic0 is an original image extracted from the video. Pic1 zooms  
in on the orb triple. Pic2 is a transformation obtained by  
equalizing Pic1 before it was enlarged.

Notice in Pic1, the brightest areas tend to be in the center of  
each orb with a relative shadow around the entire periphery of  
the orb. If the sun were behind the camera, the bright centers  
could be a reflection. Otherwise, the orbs would need to be  
self-luminous.

Further, in the equalized Pic2, an asymmetry in the brightness  
of individual orbs can be seen. That is, the brightest areas are  
not circular. This suggests that these orbs are not exactly

spherical. Another possibility is that this asymmetry is an artifact of the image compression algorithm. The objects' bright spots may not have fallen on the center of an element of the grid used to encode the image.

But notice also in Pic2, that there is a lighter area in the upper right quadrant where the fourth of a quintuple of orbs might have been positioned in a square arrangement. This light spot is not visible in the original photo, and so is not a reflection from a fourth balloon. However, this hint of a fourth orb could be the beginning of a plasma ball as I suggested in an earlier contribution.

Perhaps a more thorough analysis along this line could address more completely the utility of the balloon hypothesis. From what I've seen thus far, there is reason to question it.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 21](#)

## New UFO Video From Russia?

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 21:54:38 EDT  
Archived: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 06:43:34 -0400  
Subject: New UFO Video From Russia?

Has anyone seen and analyzed this footage yet?

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oFxS1-P8aXc>

It says it was from this month of October 2010 but I'm not sure.  
With so many UFO videos coming out it's getting tough to look at  
them all.

Never thought I'd ever say that!

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 21](#)

## News Links - 20-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 11:09:49 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 11:09:49 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 20-10-10

News Links - 20-10-10

Daylight Egg-Shaped UFO Spotted Above Brentwood  
This is Total Essex  
<http://tinyurl.com/24h78mt>

Resident Reports UFO Sighting Over Blacon  
ChesterChronicle.co.uk  
<http://tinyurl.com/347jh34>

Mystery UFO Over Richmond + Video  
Chicago Tribune  
<http://tinyurl.com/37hp3ld>

UFO Mania Grips US: Richmond, Virginia UFO Sightings Explained?  
LANewsMonitor.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bnlwxw>

UFO New York 2010: Fresh Reports Suggest Delayed Flights  
Khabrein  
<http://tinyurl.com/37p8eze>

The Nor'easter: Why We Shouldn't Dismiss UFOs  
The Epoch Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/37pxf8v>

October Already An Auspicious Month For UFO Disclosure Advocates  
Technorati  
<http://tinyurl.com/27kroub>

New York & El Paso 'UFO' Sightings - News Compilation  
Google News  
<http://tinyurl.com/2w7y4cv>

Filer's Files # 43 - 2010  
George Filer  
<http://tinyurl.com/22smq36>

UFOs And Aliens Reaching Out With 'Signs' Say Paranormal Experts  
HULIQ  
<http://tinyurl.com/2ccjgee>

UFO Disclosure Has Happened! Did You Notice?  
Joint Recon Study Group  
<http://tinyurl.com/289wjyz>

UFOs, Aliens, Men in Black and A Flock of Seagulls  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/34qc38y>

The Greatest Sci-Fi Movies Of The 1950s  
Den Of Geek  
<http://tinyurl.com/25qbat6>

From John Greenwald:

Watch Stanton Friedman's Latest MUFON 2010 Lecture:  
Stephen Hawking, SETI & Science  
<http://tinyurl.com/3a73o73>

From 'The Norm':

Transhumanism In Doomwatch  
<http://tinyurl.com/29jo58m>

Professors Urge One-Way Martian Colonization Missions  
<http://tinyurl.com/2fzd9o8>

Heavy Atoms Set To Collide At The LHC  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bxqwe4>

Falling Brains Dept:

UFO Research Group Refuses To Investigate... Only Real UFO  
Contact Case  
<http://tinyurl.com/29eyah4>

An Economic Explanation For UFO Sightings  
Minyanville.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/24xjbh4>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 21](#)

## Amaury Rivera Abduction?

From: **Thiago Luiz Ticchetti** <[ticchetti.nul](mailto:ticchetti.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 05:38:10 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 15:38:10 -0400  
Subject: Amaury Rivera Abduction?

Hello,

I am looking for informations about the Amaury Rivera abduction case. Does anyone have information about it? And I am especially interested in the truth about the photographs where we can see a huge UFO and two F-14 aircraft.

Thank you,

Thiago Luiz Ticchetti  
Coordenador da Revista UFO Brasil:  
[www.ufo.com.br](http://www.ufo.com.br)  
Blog: <http://www.ufo.com.br/blog/thiagoticchetti>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 22](#)

## UFO Videod Over Edinburgh Scotland

From: **Thiago Luiz Ticchetti** <[ticchetti.nul](mailto:ticchetti.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 05:58:16 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 05:41:51 -0400  
Subject: UFO Videod Over Edinburgh Scotland

Hello all.

I would like to know if this video is real:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cUpwDFWSkaU>

To me it is a blimp.

Regards

Thiago Luiz Ticchetti  
Coordenador da Revista UFO Brasil: [www.ufo.com.br](http://www.ufo.com.br)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 22](#)

## Re: New UFO Video From Russia?

From: **Thiago Luiz Ticchetti** <[ticchetti.nul](mailto:ticchetti.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 06:01:34 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 05:43:43 -0400  
Subject: Re: New UFO Video From Russia?

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul),  
>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 21:54:38 EDT  
>Subject: New UFO Video From Russia?

>Has anyone seen and analyzed this footage yet?

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oFxS1-P8aXc>

>It says it was from this month of October 2010 but I'm not sure.  
>With so many UFO videos coming out it's getting tough to look at  
>them all.

>Never thought I'd ever say that!

Hello,

Its quite interesting!

Very similar to the flotillas recorded several times in Mexico.

Thiago

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 22](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think Not

From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 11:21:54 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 05:47:49 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 20:33:51 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 11:34:29 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>From: Greg Boone<[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 00:07:33 EDT  
>>>Subject: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>There are pathetic explanations and there are beyond pathetic  
>>>explanations for UFO sightings but to regress to balloons again  
>>>after watching this video:

>>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rEtTl9cGrJc>

>>>just takes the cake.

>>My guesses:

>>Daylight in NYC - balloons

>>Night in El Paso - falling pyrotechnics (like fireworks) with  
>>parachutes (to arrest the fall before they burn out)

>Bruce, since you don't go into detail, I assume your guesses are  
>not based on an analysis beyond the obvious.

>I would like to show readers of the List that all is not as  
>simple as it seems. I've placed images of an orb triple from an  
>NYC video on my web site for discussion.

>Pic0:

>[http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC\\_Orb3.png](http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC_Orb3.png)

>Pic1:

>[http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC\\_Orb3Mag.png](http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC_Orb3Mag.png)

>Pic2:

>[http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC\\_Orb3SmMEq.png](http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC_Orb3SmMEq.png)

>Pic0 is an original image extracted from the video. Pic1 zooms  
>in on the orb triple. Pic2 is a transformation obtained by  
>equalizing Pic1 before it was enlarged.

>Notice in Pic1, the brightest areas tend to be in the center of  
>each orb with a relative shadow around the entire periphery of  
>the orb. If the sun were behind the camera, the bright centers  
>could be a reflection. Otherwise, the orbs would need to be

>self-luminous.

>Further, in the equalized Pic2, an asymmetry in the brightness  
>of individual orbs can be seen. That is, the brightest areas are  
>not circular. This suggests that these orbs are not exactly  
>spherical. Another possibility is that this asymmetry is an  
>artifact of the image compression algorithm. The objects' bright  
>spots may not have fallen on the center of an element of the  
>grid used to encode the image.

>But notice also in Pic2, that there is a lighter area in the  
>upper right quadrant where the fourth of a quintuple of orbs  
>might have been positioned in a square arrangement. This light  
>spot is not visible in the original photo, and so is not a  
>reflection from a fourth balloon. However, this hint of a fourth  
>orb could be the beginning of a plasma ball as I suggested in an  
>earlier contribution.

>Perhaps a more thorough analysis along this line could address  
>more completely the utility of the balloon hypothesis. From what  
>I've seen thus far, there is reason to question it.

>William

William

You're banking quite a bit on Internet stills based off what was likely a video dubbed to CBS - and that's completely absurd. Not only is image compression in play (which you mention) but there's also other direct issues of scaling and color values that are completely inaccurate and result in more false data.

You also don't take into consideration the CCD parameters and how that CCD chip reacts to being excited by light - which can vary by manufacturer, make of camera and then add in whatever varied optics are employed.

I can go on, but what's the point. You don't have decent imaging data to start with. It's a web compressed image that has been passed around from its inception like a whore on Saturday night. Artifacts are just that - added "data" that doesn't belong, but is a product of media type and transmission.

I've talked to more than a few people who saw the event. None of them said the objects they saw exhibited anything unusual in the way of flight. They didn't blink out or shoot away, nor stay stationary in any way. They floated off until they were no longer visible. Like, oh I dunno... balloons.

Not everything is suspect or worthy of "investigation", and a whole lot of time in UFOlogy is spent in wasting time examining visual data that isn't of a decent quality to even bother with - especially now in the days of the Internet. If these objects were closer and better defined, we'd have more to work with. But, they aren't - and we also have plausible, explainable data on the table.

More often than not, putting up this sort of contention on the marginal, largely worthless data is a nice open door to allow fundamentalist skeptics a way to make this subject - and those involved in it - an example of "the desperate to believe" public.

We've got enough of that without adding to it.

Jeff Ritzmann

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 22](#)

## Animal Mutilation In Ceara State Brazil

From: **Thiago Luiz Ticchetti** <[ticchetti.nul](mailto:ticchetti.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 10:28:14 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 05:50:50 -0400  
Subject: Animal Mutilation In Ceara State Brazil

According to the Sobralense Ufological Research Center (Centro Sobralense de Pesquisa Ufologica - CSPU) for approximately 15 days, sheep owners from the cities of Espinhos and Pizunha are in desperate situation with cattle being attacked at night and having both eyes gouged out, together with a circular area around. Some were being found dead, but others still alive dawned. However, unable to survive, the owners would be forced to sacrifice them. They were mutilated animals belonging to owners Lucio, Joao Alfares and other farmers in the region. Some link it to the aliens, others think it is the actions of raccoons, raptors and even a werewolf, but some people says that it is for practicing black magic.

Mr. Lima reported that more than 40 animals have had their eyes gouged out. In a single night, 15 specimens were mutilated in a pigsty and nearby residents did not notice any noise. The financial injury is big.

Observing the victims, there is the impression that removal of the organ is made by very sharp blade, causing no strain on the affected area. Therefore, there who believes to be the action of natural predators such as raccoons or birds. All cuts will be conducted in an identical manner and is always drawing the eye.

The Ceara state, northeast of Brazil, is going through a great UFO wave. The city of Sobral has been a constant target of sightings and contacts with extraterrestrials

Thiago Luiz Ticchetti  
Coordenador da Revista UFO Brasil: [www.ufo.com.br](http://www.ufo.com.br)

Blog: <http://www.ufo.com.br/blog/thiagoticchetti>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 22](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think Not

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 17:32:46 +0000  
Archived: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 05:52:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 09:53:09 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 17:18:28 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 00:07:33 EDT  
>>>Subject: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>There are pathetic explanations and there are beyond pathetic  
>>>explanations for UFO sightings but to regress to balloons again  
>>>after watching this video:

>>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rEtTl9cGrJc>

>>>just takes the cake.

>>Well, my gosh, last Thursday night at 11:40 PM and last night at  
>>10:55 PM in the skies over Green Valley, AZ I saw what I called  
>>iridium flashes.

>>Both of them descending in the east in the same part of the sky.  
>>Naturally, I thought it was space junk entering the lower  
>>atmosphere and burning up. Silly me. I didn't think it was UFOs  
>>trying to make contact.

>>Was I wrong?

>I dunno, did someone carpet bomb explain your sightings as  
>balloons?

I would guess that most people do not sit out at night staring  
at the skies, therefore, everything seems new and strange when  
something finally catches their eye.

It was space junk burning up because iridium flares are very  
distinctive. That the trajectory was from height to the ground,  
in the same area of the sky, both nights, I am going to stick  
with manmade object burning up.

I thought the triangular space craft object was solved years  
ago. I read the explanation in Popular Mechanics. It is in fact  
a space craft owned by the US Air Force. Please don't ask for  
the exact citation. I still have the article but it is buried in  
a storage box deep in the closet.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 22](#)

## Re: More Mysterious Lights Over El Paso

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 14:08:58 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 05:55:31 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Mysterious Lights Over El Paso

>From: Terrence Brown<[mrbrownsdi.nul](mailto:mrbrownsdi.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 19:33:20 -0400  
>Subject: Re: More Mysterious Lights Over El Paso

>>From: Jeff Olson<[jlolson53.nul](mailto:jlolson53.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 18 Oct 2010 09:20:47 -0500  
>>Subject: More Mysterious Lights Over El Paso

>>Man, those kids' party balloons must really get around! (And  
>>have some mysterious property that causes them to form  
>>triangles!!).

>><http://www.ktsm.com/mysterious-lights-over-east-el-paso>

>This sighting was caused by a night jump of the United States  
>Army Parachute Team The Golden Knights, as confirmed on their  
>blog.

>Hola, El Paso!! Black Team's in Town!

><http://army-gk.com/2010/10/hola-el-paso-black-teams-in-town/>

>This is recent video of one of their jumps, which is nearly  
>identical to the news footage

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eagvrv-8jIY>

The most striking difference is the way things end.

In the 'UFO' video, the monochrome streamers hang around as definite orbs after the streaming phase ends. The Golden Knights come down as orange streamers which stay that way until they fizzle out.

Could be different skydiving scenarios.

Maybe in the 'UFO' video, the skydivers quenched the streamers (resulting from combustion of material giving white light) and immediately substituted a floodlight before hovering somehow. That could be confirmed, I suppose.

Some people seem to be quite satisfied with gross similarities while others (like me) are bothered by the differences in the details.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 22](#)

## Re: Amaury Rivera Abduction?

From: **Stanton Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 13:33:53 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 06:02:03 -0400  
Subject: Re: Amaury Rivera Abduction?

>From: Thiago Luiz Ticchetti <[ticchetti.nul](mailto:ticchetti.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 05:38:10 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Amaury Rivera Abduction?

>I am looking for informations about the Amaury Rivera abduction  
>case. Does anyone have information about it? And I am especially  
>interested in the truth about the photographs where we can see a  
>huge UFO and two F-14 aircraft.

I get 5,130 hits by Googling Amaury Rivera Abduction:

<http://tinyurl.com/3xo7ash>

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 22](#)

## Invention Secrecy Still Going Strong

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 03:11:28 +0100  
Archived: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 06:06:22 -0400  
Subject: Invention Secrecy Still Going Strong

-----

Source: FAS Project on Government Secrecy

<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/#post-3678>

October 21st, 2010

Invention Secrecy Still Going Strong

There were 5,135 inventions that were under secrecy orders at the end of Fiscal Year 2010, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office told Secrecy News last week. It's a 1% rise over the year before, and the highest total in more than a decade.

Under the Invention Secrecy Act of 1951, patent applications on new inventions can be subject to secrecy orders restricting their publication if government agencies believe that disclosure would be "detrimental to the national security."

The current list of technology areas that is used to screen patent applications for possible restriction under the Invention Secrecy Act is not publicly available and has been denied under the Freedom of Information Act. (An appeal is pending.) But a previous list dated 1971 and obtained by researcher Michael Ravnitzky is available here ([pdf](#)).

Most of the listed technology areas are closely related to military applications. But some of them range more widely.

Thus, the 1971 list indicates that patents for solar photovoltaic generators were subject to review and possible restriction if the photovoltaics were more than 20% efficient. Energy conversion systems were likewise subject to review and possible restriction if they offered conversion efficiencies "in excess of 70-80%."

One may fairly ask if disclosure of such technologies could really have been "detrimental to the national security," or whether the opposite would be closer to the truth. One may further ask what comparable advances in technology may be subject to restriction and non-disclosure today. But no answers are forthcoming, and the invention secrecy system persists with no discernible external review.

-----

Cheers,

Ray

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 22](#)

## Re: Weird Photo And Nightline UFO Video

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 23:07:11 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 06:10:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: Weird Photo And Nightline UFO Video

>From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 12:46:42 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Weird Photo And Nightline UFO Video

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 14:02:23 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Weird Photo And Nightline UFO Video

<snip>

>>Somewhat recently (2007) ABC News has made available on Amazon a  
>>Nightline documentary on SETI and UFOs and speculation about ET  
>>life, etc. This documentary featured a 'UFO video' they had  
>>obtained from a highly credible source.

<snip>

>>It's a good thing I refused because information I obtained weeks  
>>after the show indicate a mundane identification which, by the  
>>way, Nightline never reported to the audience.

>>My final report is at:

>><http://www.brumac.8k.com/NIGHTLINEUFO/NIGHTLINEUFO.html>

>Doesn't the GEODS telescope register the color? In your URL  
>above I missed any mention of whether the light "above" might  
>have been green and the light "below" red.

>Re an IFO conclusion, Do you have any feeling for how uncommon  
>it may be for an aircraft to display two additional (weak)  
>lights from its underside between its centerline and wing tips?  
>Or on how unusual it may be for an aircraft to have a central  
>white light on its underbelly not far enough forward to have  
>been on or under the nose? And shouldn't there have been a light  
>at the tail - if a strobe light had been there, in three  
>seconds it should have flashed several times.

It has been years since I saw the video. They would not give  
me a copy. I have not purchased the 2007 released version.

Therefore I can only comment based on my memory. As I recall on  
the ordinary TV monitor that they used to view the video there  
were only white lights of varying brightness (stars and the  
"UFO" lights). I don't recall any hint of color.

Perhaps the video was in black and white.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 22](#)

## Re: New UFO Video From Russia?

From: **Sergey Shpakovsky** <[sergejsh.nul](mailto:sergejsh.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 03:17:07 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 06:26:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: New UFO Video From Russia?

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul),  
>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 21:54:38 EDT  
>Subject: New UFO Video From Russia?

>Has anyone seen and analyzed this footage yet?

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oFxS1-P8aXc>

>It says it was from this month of October 2010 but I'm not  
>sure.

<snip>

Hello Greg,

Regarding date - there are few copies of same video on YouTube  
with different date. So it is hard to say which is correct one.  
For example:

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bOnUUZ\\_ujE](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bOnUUZ_ujE)

I am sure these are Chinese lanterns.

I did analysis of another similar "Moscow UFOs" video - here is  
comparison of some of the lights with screenshots from real  
Chinese lanterns video:

<http://tinyurl.com/2umt819>

Here are screenshots from lanterns video:

<http://tinyurl.com/29t4xuf>

More examples:

<http://tinyurl.com/28ox2x1>

Sergey

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 22](#)

## 1955 Astronomer's ATIC UFO Report

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 19:10:48 +0700 (GMT+07:00)  
Archived: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 08:47:59 -0400  
Subject: 1955 Astronomer's ATIC UFO Report

Air Intelligence Information Report  
14 Dec 1955  
Arlington, Virginia

<http://www.footnote.com/image/#7340067>

U.S.A.F. Cover Letter  
Astronomer's course of UFO star map three images of teletype  
message

Terry

Terry W. Colvin  
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand  
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand  
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>  
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 22](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think Not

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 13:16:27 -0300  
Archived: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 12:44:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 17:32:46 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 09:53:09 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 17:18:28 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 00:07:33 EDT  
>>>>Subject: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>>There are pathetic explanations and there are beyond pathetic  
>>>>explanations for UFO sightings but to regress to balloons again  
>>>>after watching this video:

>>>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rEtTl9cGrJc>

>>>>just takes the cake.

>>>Well, my gosh, last Thursday night at 11:40 PM and last night at  
>>>10:55 PM in the skies over Green Valley, AZ I saw what I called  
>>>iridium flashes.

>>>Both of them descending in the east in the same part of the sky.  
>>>Naturally, I thought it was space junk entering the lower  
>>>atmosphere and burning up. Silly me. I didn't think it was UFOs  
>>>trying to make contact.

>>>Was I wrong?

>>I dunno, did someone carpet bomb explain your sightings as  
>>balloons?

>I would guess that most people do not sit out at night staring  
>at the skies, therefore, everything seems new and strange when  
>something finally catches their eye.

>It was space junk burning up because iridium flares are very  
>distinctive. That the trajectory was from height to the ground,  
>in the same area of the sky, both nights, I am going to stick  
>with manmade object burning up.

>I thought the triangular space craft object was solved years  
>ago. I read the explanation in Popular Mechanics. It is in fact  
>a space craft owned by the US Air Force. Please don't ask for  
>the exact citation. I still have the article but it is buried in  
>a storage box deep in the closet.

Are you referring to the three NOAA satelllites in a near polar  
orbit? These form a triangular shape when seen from the Earth's

surface and they move quite fast across the sky but in fact are approx. 21 kilometers (13 miles) apart and slightly different altitudes in their separate orbits. And Popular Mechanics never solves anything.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 23](#)

## Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash

From: D. R. Denocla <[denocla.nul](mailto:denocla.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 21:38:37 +0200  
Archived: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 05:46:56 -0400  
Subject: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash

Hello,

In July 2010, I was invited by Julie Shuster the Director of the Roswell UFO Museum and Research Center. I have done a lecture to present my studies. I saw that the Roswell UFO Museum and Research Center has a debris of the machine which crashed in the desert around Roswell in 1947.

Checking what analyzes has been done on the debris, I noticed that it seemed that there was no radioactivity analysis realized on it. As I had my Geiger counter with me, I decided to do a measurement.

- First, I checked the normal and neutral radioactivity 15 minutes inside the middle of the Roswell UFO Museum and Research Center. I get an average of 16,66 particles by minutes. My Geiger counter just gives a number of particles by minutes, not directly a Becquerel or Rem measurement.

- After that, I have done the measurement 15 minutes at 10 centimeters from the debris. I get an average of 18,05 particles by minutes.

I am surprised with this difference of measurement, and it would be interesting that other searchers can also proceed to other measurements. <http://www.denocla.com/?p=1828> <=en-us

I'll be available to talk about that during my trip to New York from November 11 to 15th to participate to the UFO/E.T. Congress:

<http://www.denocla.com/?p=1837> <=en-us

Best regards,

D. R. DENOCLA

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 23](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think Not

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 17:40:57 +0000  
Archived: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 05:53:19 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 13:16:27 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

<snip>

>>I would guess that most people do not sit out at night staring  
>>at the skies, therefore, everything seems new and strange when  
>>something finally catches their eye.

>>It was space junk burning up because iridium flares are very  
>>distinctive. That the trajectory was from height to the ground,  
>>in the same area of the sky, both nights, I am going to stick  
>>with manmade object burning up.

>>I thought the triangular space craft object was solved years  
>>ago. I read the explanation in Popular Mechanics. It is in fact  
>>a space craft owned by the US Air Force. Please don't ask for  
>>the exact citation. I still have the article but it is buried in  
>>a storage box deep in the closet.

>Are you referring to the three NOAA satellites in a near polar  
>orbit? These form a triangular shape when seen from the Earth's  
>surface and they move quite fast across the sky but in fact are  
>approx. 21 kilometers (13 miles) apart and slightly different  
>altitudes in their separate orbits. And Popular Mechanics never  
>solves anything.

No, Don, because the objects were burning up in the lower  
atmosphere. Amateur and professional astronomers alike  
understand the meaning of the different types of reflected light  
off an orbiting object. Hopefully, whatever satellites crashed  
and burned were not NOAAs.

The article in Popular Mechanics was providing the facts of the  
triangular aircraft. Not trying to solve anything. As to the  
veracity of the information in their articles, PM was one of the  
few publications that openly discussed Area 51 and its environs.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO Updates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 23](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think No

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 15:14:55 -0400  
Archived: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 05:55:59 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think No

>From: Jeff Ritzmann<[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 11:21:54 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 20:33:51 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>From: Bruce Maccabee<[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 11:34:29 -0400 (EDT)  
>>>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>>From: Greg Boone<[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 00:07:33 EDT  
>>>>Subject: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>>There are pathetic explanations and there are beyond pathetic  
>>>>explanations for UFO sightings but to regress to balloons again  
>>>>after watching this video:

>>>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rEtTl9cGrJc>

>>>>just takes the cake.

>>>My guesses:

>>>Daylight in NYC - balloons

>>>Night in El Paso - falling pyrotechnics (like fireworks) with  
>>>parachutes (to arrest the fall before they burn out)

>>Bruce, since you don't go into detail, I assume your guesses are  
>>not based on an analysis beyond the obvious.

>>I would like to show readers of the List that all is not as  
>>simple as it seems. I've placed images of an orb triple from an  
>>NYC video on my web site for discussion.

>>Pic0:

>>[http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC\\_Orb3.png](http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC_Orb3.png)

>>Pic1:

>>[http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC\\_Orb3Mag.png](http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC_Orb3Mag.png)

>>Pic2:

>>[http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC\\_Orb3SmMEq.png](http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC_Orb3SmMEq.png)

>>Pic0 is an original image extracted from the video. Pic1 zooms  
>>in on the orb triple. Pic2 is a transformation obtained by  
>>equalizing Pic1 before it was enlarged.

>>Notice in Pic1, the brightest areas tend to be in the center of

>>each orb with a relative shadow around the entire periphery of  
>>the orb. If the sun were behind the camera, the bright centers  
>>could be a reflection. Otherwise, the orbs would need to be  
>>self-luminous.

>>Further, in the equalized Pic2, an asymmetry in the brightness  
>>of individual orbs can be seen. That is, the brightest areas are  
>>not circular. This suggests that these orbs are not exactly  
>>spherical. Another possibility is that this asymmetry is an  
>>artifact of the image compression algorithm. The objects' bright  
>>spots may not have fallen on the center of an element of the  
>>grid used to encode the image.

>>But notice also in Pic2, that there is a lighter area in the  
>>upper right quadrant where the fourth of a quintuple of orbs  
>>might have been positioned in a square arrangement. This light  
>>spot is not visible in the original photo, and so is not a  
>>reflection from a fourth balloon. However, this hint of a fourth  
>>orb could be the beginning of a plasma ball as I suggested in an  
>>earlier contribution.

>>Perhaps a more thorough analysis along this line could address  
>>more completely the utility of the balloon hypothesis. From what  
>>I've seen thus far, there is reason to question it.

>William

>You're banking quite a bit on Internet stills based off what was  
>likely a video dubbed to CBS - and that's completely absurd. Not  
>only is image compression in play (which you mention) but  
>there's also other direct issues of scaling and color values  
>that are completely inaccurate and result in more false data.

>You also don't take into consideration the CCD parameters and  
>how that CCD chip reacts to being excited by light - which can  
>vary by manufacturer, make of camera and then add in whatever  
>varied optics are employed.

>I can go on, but what's the point. You don't have decent imaging  
>data to start with. It's a web compressed image that has been  
>passed around from it's inception like a whore on Saturday  
>night. Artifacts are just that - added "data" that doesn't  
>belong, but is a product of media type and transmission.

>I've talked to more than a few people who saw the event. None of  
>them said the objects they saw exhibited anything unusual in the  
>way of flight. They didn't blink out or shoot away, nor stay  
>stationary in any way. They floated off until they were no  
>longer visible. Like, oh I dunno... balloons.

>Not everything is suspect or worthy of "investigation", and a  
>whole lot of time in UFOlogy is spent in wasting time examining  
>visual data that isn't of a decent quality to even bother with -  
>especially now in the days of the Internet. If these objects  
>were closer and better defined, we'd have more to work with.  
>But, they aren't - and we also have plausible, explainable data  
>on the table.

>More often than not, putting up this sort of contention on the  
>marginal, largely worthless data is a nice open door to allow  
>fundamentalist skeptics a way to make this subject - and those  
>involved in it - an example of "the desperate to believe"  
>public.

>We've got enough of that without adding to it.

>Jeff Ritzmann

Thanks for the comments, Jeff. I realize the visual data is  
subject to interpretation, so other views are useful.

I have to say that I don't worry too much about opening a door  
for "fundamentalist skeptics" when I think out loud in public.  
Many of them have already decided that these are objects  
controlled by ET.

Unlike in the days of analogue data, simply sharing digital data  
by copying does not degrade it, so your comment that passing  
image data around degrades it is a red herring. The main thing  
affecting data quality is the initial image compression which  
can create artifacts.

There are general characteristics in the video worth noting, such as the shadows surrounding each disk, and the brightness of the disks. In the absence of other known balloons in the sky, I compared them to the image of the balloon released during the City of Madrid tourism campaign. This balloon was not as bright as the surrounding sky. In contrast, if the objects in the UFO video were balloons, they were highly reflective across the whole spectrum with the light source behind the camera. This would be more characteristic of mylar than party-balloon rubber. But they did not exhibit the glints and flashes one might expect if they were reflecting balloons changing orientations in the wind, unless they were perfectly spheroidal. On the other hand, the light from self-illuminated objects would be non-specular and less dependent on orientation.

As noted earlier in another post, starting at around 2:45 in the CBS video, a single object comes into view and gradually morphs into a set of three objects. Yes, one might say that this was due to a change in perspective where the three balloons were initially aligned so that two were hidden behind the third. However, the morphing from one to three objects certainly does not prove they are balloons, and could be used as an argument against it.

I'm considering the possibility that these are man-made objects, but not necessarily balloons. An EISCAT publication (2004) shows that it was possible to create visible plasma objects in the sky by HF stimulation of the ionosphere. Who knows what they can do six years later? If the objects over NYC were balls of light created by such means, why couldn't they also be manipulated to behave like tethered balloons, perhaps simply by changing the frequency and phase of interfering waves? We might need to look carefully at the details of the display to tell the difference.

A reason why I don't blindly accept that these are nothing but balloons is that these objects have appeared in the past and in greater numbers. See the following videos, for example.

Flotilla of UFOS over Lima, Peru ~ May 2007

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hm3-Vr75yrs>

UFO Fleet Flotilla Ovni Mexico

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lfqby-zdV4>

If the technology exists, and it does appear that it might, these masses of objects might be easier to create that way than by releasing as many balloons.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

Re: Balloons? I Think No

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 23](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think No

From: Ron Jorgenson <[rjorgens.nul](mailto:rjorgens.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 13:28:41 -0700  
Archived: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 05:57:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think No

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 17:32:46 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>Both of them descending in the east in the same part of the sky.  
>>>Naturally, I thought it was space junk entering the lower  
>>>atmosphere and burning up. Silly me. I didn't think it was UFOs  
>>>trying to make contact.

>>>Was I wrong?

>>I dunno, did someone carpet bomb explain your sightings as  
>>balloons?

>I would guess that most people do not sit out at night staring  
>at the skies, therefore, everything seems new and strange when  
>something finally catches their eye.

>It was space junk burning up because iridium flares are very  
>distinctive. That the trajectory was from height to the ground,  
>in the same area of the sky, both nights, I am going to stick  
>with manmade object burning up.

I believe that "Iridium flares" are attributed to the Iridium  
satellites increasing in brightness when the sun reflects off of  
them and the Observer is in the right position to see them.

This is not re-entering "space junk".

Get on the web and you can find out when you can see them from  
your location.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Balloons? I Think No

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 23](#)

## Re: MJ-12 Redux

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 21:09:10 EDT  
Archived: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 06:04:08 -0400  
Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 06:08:58 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: <<mailto:post.nul>>post.nul  
>>Date: Sun, 17 Oct 2010 11:24:56 EDT  
>>Subject: MJ-12 Redux [was: Shostak's Search Shift?]

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <<mailto:post.nul>>post.nul  
>>>Date: Thu, 14 Oct 2010 04:33:22 -0700 (PDT)  
>>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <[j.maynard.gelinas.nul](mailto:j.maynard.gelinas.nul)>  
>>>>To: <<mailto:post.nul>>post.nul  
>>>>Date: Wed, 13 Oct 2010 18:11:42 -0400  
>>>>Subject: Re: Shostak's Search Shift?

>>>>Hi David; Stanton,

>>>>Thank you both for your responses. My reply is once again combined  
>>>>into a single message to you both:

><snip>

>>>I would certainly acknowledge that there are many people on this  
>>>List and elsewhere claiming that the EBD, CT, TF items are  
>>>fraudulent. I believe I have dealt with all their arguments. I  
>>>keep asking for refutations of my refutations. All I get is  
>>>research by proclamation. "They are obvious frauds". I wish they  
>>>would add "because A,B,C... which with STF hasn't dealt".

>>>I am off to a conference in Strasbourg... Back Monday.  
>>>I won't hold my breath.

>>Stan, List, All -

>>I can't just let this go unchallenged. The consensus is that  
>>MJ-12 is a hoax. Not a government disinformation campaign, not  
>>something designed to keep us guessing and chasing phantoms, but  
>>something created and designed to propel specific people back  
>>into the spotlight. Or, as has been suggested by one of those  
>>who released it into the world, to fool those who had knowledge  
>>of the Roswell crash into revealing information that was, and  
>>is, classified.

>Thank you for providing examples of just what I complained  
>about: research by proclamation and a failure to examine  
>what I have written. It was the consensus that flight was impossible,  
>that space flight was impossible, that planes couldn't go faster than  
>the speed of sound. Take note of "Science Was Wrong"

Wonderful promotion for your book, but irrelevant to the  
argument at hand. Although I mentioned it later, I will point  
out again, here, that Bill Moore said that he was thinking of  
creating a Roswell related document because he had run into a  
brick wall. He thought he might fool some people into revealing

what they knew. Not a proclamation by me but a look at the history of MJ-12.

And nice attempt to divert the conversation away from the salient points.

>>Although Stan attempts to shout down those of us who do not  
>>accept MJ-12 as authentic by suggesting that he has answered all  
>>objections to MJ-12, he has done so only in his own mind. He has  
>>not explained the lack of provenance, which contrary to what he  
>>has said to me, is not an indicator of its authenticity but is  
>>predictive of hoax.

>Please don't put words in my mouth. I said that if the documents  
>are genuine, than the leaker is very much at risk for having  
>released classified material to uncleared people without a need  
>to know. Absence of evidence is Not evidence for

What you said on your 13 February, 2001 (just kidding with the comma) letter to me was, "One might suggest that the lack of provenance is an indication of genuineness."

In cases of leaked classified documents there is a trail back to he, or she, who leaked them. Not so here and that is a huge stumbling block that suggests fraud. This red flag is so huge it would have flown over Red Square a couple of decades ago.

>>Those who have researched the type style of the various  
>>components of MJ-12 (the Truman memo) say that it was created on  
>>a typewriter that did not exist in 1952. This is based, not on  
>>just a comparison of the upper and lower case letters, but on  
>>the various numbers and symbols, done with the complete drawings  
>>and specifications from the factory. It is evidence that the  
>>typewriter did not exist in 1952... And yes, I know he will say  
>>that Peter Tytell has not issued a formal written report but  
>>will not remember to say that it was he who sent the material to  
>>Tytell in the first place.

>You have changed your tune, but it is still off key.

>What you said in your book that Tytell had said was ; "It was  
>just perfect because the whole thing of the 12 pages or however  
>many pages it was. Most of the pages were just blank pages with  
>just five words written on them like Top Secret or Appendix A or  
>something like that". His memory was obviously very poor. There  
>were 8 pages and only one, page 7(not included by Kevin) had  
>"Appendix 8"... If there was a professional examination by  
>Tytell, no one has seen it. However, Bob Wood did hire a  
>forensic documents expert, James A. Black, to perform a  
>professional examination. On November 13, 1998, Black stated "My  
>knowledge of typewriter fonts permits me to conclude that the  
>letter was likely to have been typed by an Underwood Standard  
>Typewriter. The portions of the type font of the letter that can  
>be clearly visualized match those of a typewriter exemplar of a  
>Underwood Standard typed in May 1940". This statement is  
>included in the very lengthy article (4 long segments ) on my  
>web site for years now. It is also on page 227 of the 2nd  
>edition of my book "TOP SECRET/MAJIC".That has been out for 5  
>years.

Same song with a new chorus. You approached Tytell, and you know what his opinion is. You just ignore it. Tytell said that you needed a complete work up of the drawings for the fonts that included the upper and lower case letters as well as all the numbers and symbols... which he had and used... not a knowledge of the fonts...

We now have dueling experts but it took fifteen years for you to find one who shares your opinion.

>>I will note here that the information on the EBD is the state of  
>>the investigation of crashed saucers in 1982 or 1983 and not  
>>what it is today. This provides us with a clue as to when the  
>>document was created and that is not 1952.

>How about saying what that information is, Kevin?. The reality  
>is the reverse. We didn't find out that much information in the  
>documents was true until long after the documents had been  
>received.I have listed many items not known to be true until  
>later.. such as Twining beginning his investigation on July 7.  
>I found his Pilot log in a newly declassified box at the LCMD

>years later. I also found the log of his pilot.They matched.. We  
>didn't know that Cutler was out of the country until years  
>later. How did the hoaxer know not to put a signature on it?  
>>etc etc

I did, on my blog. I didn't want to clutter all this up with a  
lot of minutia. You have said yourself that the document was  
planted...

Oh, and you have said repeatedly that the research you and Bill  
had done had identified all of this before you even saw the  
documents...

>>I will note, though Stan will deny it, Bill Moore said, in the  
>>early 1980s, that he was thinking of creating a Roswell document  
>>to shake things loose. Moore said this to a number of people and  
>>it has been widely reported. Then, the MJ-12 documents arrive at  
>>the home of Moore's friend who was not well known in the UFO  
>>community.

>Not being well known to the UFO community means what???

If I was going to release classified UFO documents, I think I  
would have picked the Lorenzens (though by the mid-1980s APRO  
was done), or Allen Hynek because of his insider status and his  
reputation, or even you. But Jaime Shandera? Why give these  
documents to a guy who was not known inside of the UFO community  
and who was or is Hollywood film producer?

>>It is interesting that here is a document that was created to  
>>brief the President-Elect but is incomplete. It mentions nothing  
>>about the Plains of San Agustin or Aztec, two of the crashes  
>>that are now said to be real. There is no reasonable explanation  
>>for these two events to be ignored if MJ-12 is real and those  
>>events are real... but remember, in the early 80s almost no one  
>>believed Aztec to be anything other than a hoax and Bill Moore  
>>knew that the only connection to the Plains was the rather vague  
>>information attributed to Fleck Danley. The Barney Barnett diary  
>>(or actually the diary kept by Ruth Barnett) has nothing,  
>>absolutely nothing, to suggest that Barney saw anything on the  
>>Plains, or that he was out on the Plains on the right days.

>>And we have Herbert Dick, who was clearly on the Plains, in a  
>>position to see any UFO crash there (he arrived on July 1  
>>according to a letter he sent to a colleague in late 1947) but  
>>reported nothing.

>Ruth's diary indeed did say Barney had often been out on the  
>Plains including during the week in question. No Barney did not  
>tell Ruth about it. He had been told to shut up and had been in  
>the military. Kevin. let us not stretch the truth. Dick was only  
>in the Plains 3 weeks that July.

Let's play the quote game. Do you remember your famous  
38 false claims by Kevin Randle and Don Schmitt that became  
38 false claims by Kevin Randle? I won't talk about claim no. 17.  
which was, "That S.T. Friedman knows all about an RS [Randle/  
Schmitt] alien tissue sample," which, of course is not a claim  
that I ever made and makes it a false claim by you.

Instead I'll just mention no 6, "Anthropologists (Dick, Hibben,  
etc.) were on the PSA in early July, 1947, and said there was no  
crash there. (None were there!)". You might remember that I  
showed you a letter from Herbert Dick in which he said he was on  
the Plains starting on July 1... which means he would have been  
there had the UFO crashed on any of the early dates suggested by  
Bill Moore in his book or Gerald Anderson in his faked diaries.

So Stan, let's not stretch the truth. Dick was there, in a place  
that gave him a panoramic view of the Plains and he didn't see  
the crash and he didn't see the "battalion-sized" operation  
claimed by Gerald Anderson.

In fact, according to the Barnett diary, the only days that do  
work are for the crash on July 1 or July 8. On the date selected  
by your buddy, Gerald, July 5, the diary puts Barnett in Socorro  
buying window glass.

And before we leave this, Gerald Anderson has made the web site:

<http://www.pownetwork.org/phonies/phonies1142.htm>

which outs those who claim service with any of the US military special forces... It means that Anderson lied about being a SEAL ... and Stan, if you want to tell him I say he's a liar go right ahead. Tell him to file suit. He lied about his military service and he lied about the anthropology class.

And if you want to talk about stretching the truth, let's examine your claim that I write romances... please give me the title of one of those or withdraw the accusation.

And if you'd like to play it further, please explain the insertion of the word black into an interview that Don Schmitt and I conducted, reported in you book with neither credit nor attribution. Why would you alter the meaning of the sentence to provide corroboration for the tales told by Anderson? Wouldn't this be a real stretching of the truth since Bill Brazel never said the sergeant was black?

>Also I do not accept that you or anybody else we know is in a >position to know what should or should not have been in the EBD. >Are you conveniently forgetting that the EBD states "NOTE:This >document has been prepared as a preliminary briefing only. It >should be regarded as introductory to a full operations briefing >intended to follow.": Last I heard, full and preliminary are not >synonyms.

Wonderful reason to explain the problems with it... And let's change the debate into a contest of semantics. Sorry, that really doesn't wash, except as an excuse to explain the inaccuracies in the EBD.

>>But by the early 1980s, Bill Moore, among others, were >>questioning the validity of suggesting that Barnett's story >>had anything to do with July 1947.

>Skeptical people question the validity of everything.

Are you suggesting that you question the validity of MJ-12 because there is nothing and no one who can verify it?

>I question the validity of everything (rather than making >proclamations) which is why, for example, I checked Forrestal's >papers at Princeton and Menzel's very surprising papers at the >Harvard Archives (and have been at 18 other archives, often more >than once) and why I talked at length with George Elsey who >worked for Truman the entire time he was in the White House. He >was very helpful about James Lay (Exec. Secretary of the NSC) >and Robert Cutler. I talked with family members of all but one >of the MJ-12 group. There is a difference between investigating >and proclaiming

More irrelevancies... You have not found a single document in all those archives, in all those personal papers, in all that searching that leads to MJ-12. Nothing. Nada. I admire your tenacity in your fruitless searches, but the bottom line is that you have found nothing.

>>So, the MJ-12 documents mention nothing about either the Plains >>or Aztec because, in the early 1980s many knew that those two >>events were not well documented. >I am glad to know you are psychic and know what highly >classified material exists. I am not and don't.

It's actually called deductive reasoning and not psychic ability. And it explains why the Del Rio crash is mentioned when there is but a single witness who was not who he claimed to be. In other words, he was not a colonel, not a fighter pilot and was not in a position to see the crash. Why is this faked crash, that was not even talked about until 1968, in a document dated 1952... In fact, if you listen to Willingham's latest claims, the Del Rio crash happened in 1955... talk about psychic ability. The author of the EBD was able to predict the future to include this case.

>Yes, I personally am convinced that indeed there was a crash in >the Plains, and that the very very extensive research done by >Scott and Suzanne Ramsey (soon to be published in a book) >establishes that there was a crash of an almost intact saucer at >Aztec in 1948. It is interesting that Roswell provided a mess of >small pieces. Aztec and the Plains provided almost intact

>vehicles. Neither had extensive publicity in the 1940s. An  
>intact saucer is a whole other matter.

Actually, it's interesting that we've come full circle again and have to listen to the Aztec story one more time. I await the book with baited breath.

>>Stan will say that I said I rejected MJ-12 because Admiral  
>>Hillenkoetter got his rank wrong. I have told Stan this really  
>>isn't important, though Stan has yet to find a document on  
>>which Hillenkoetter got his rank wrong. Rather than address the  
>>real issues, he'll attempt to divert the conversation to these  
>>other points.

>Kevin, why not quote what you said in your book about Roscoe's  
>wrong rank being the crucial argument? Why not quote what I say  
>on my website and elsewhere that I found documents at the Ike  
>Library (not that far from you) proving that it was standard  
>practice at the White House to use generic ranks.? Ike's staff  
>secretary, Brigadier General Goodpaster, used them when listing  
>attendees at White House meetings including for himself "General  
>Goodpaster". But signing Brigadier General. You asked me early  
>on if I had found any other documents with Roscoe's signed rank  
>being Admiral. I had to point out that There is no Hillenkoetter  
>signature in the EBD I have shown that the White house calendars  
>sometimes listed him as Admiral.rank, sometimes rear.

Yes, and I told you months ago that this was not an important argument anymore. I have removed it from the updated version of the book. It started me looking at this in-depth.. and I will remind you that you attempted to prove that Hillenkoetter was the author of the document. But who cares?

>>I will say that no one has ever found a document, an authentic  
>>document referring to MJ-12 in the 25 years since these were  
>>announced. The Cutler-Twining Memo, found in the National  
>>Archives was clearly planted there, something that Stan will  
>>tell you. But if the document was planted, and there is again,  
>>no provenance, then it does nothing to validate MJ-12. And  
>>that's where we are today. There is nothing that validates MJ-  
>>12. And the information we do have suggests that MJ-12 is a  
>>hoax.

>It is the information that validates not the absence. Don't  
>forget Phil Klass paying me \$1000. for proving him wrong about  
>the typeface on the CT memo. Pages 243-246 list a bunch of items  
>not previously known to be true. How did the hoaxer know?

I knew that you would have to bring up Phil's check to you. Nice debate technique. Change the tone of the conversation.

>>Yes, I know that Stan will say that we have no evidence that  
>>Bill Moore (and Richard Doty) were behind the MJ-12 documents,  
>>but there are some good clues. The dating format used by Moore,  
>>but not the US military or the US government is on them. Yes, it  
>>is used in Europe and in NATO, but those arguments are  
>>irrelevant.

>I found the same dating format used by Hillenkoetter and Smith .

And it was used by Moore... who said he was going to create a Roswell document... Which shows up at the home of his friend, but who is not known to be a UFO researcher.

>>Normally, I don't get involved in these discussions because  
>>they turn out the same way. But sometimes I just don't want to  
>>let Stan get away with his proclamations about the validity of  
>>MJ-12. I am surprised that he continues to defend MJ-12 when  
>>even Bill Moore says that he finds many of those documents to be  
>>of dubious provenance.

>>The thing is, no matter how often you argue for the authenticity  
>>of MJ-12, it doesn't make it so. You need to have evidence and  
>>there is precious little of that for MJ-12.

>>For a more comprehensive look at some of this, please go to:

>>[www.kevinrandle.blogspot.com](http://www.kevinrandle.blogspot.com).

>>So, let the game begin.

>>KRandle

>Again I suggest looking at the MJ-12 article in 4 parts on  
>my website:

><http://www.stantonfriedman.com>

>and in TOP SECRET/MAJIC. There is a great deal of evidence. You  
>might learn something.

>ST Friedman

>[Exposer of false arguments against the validity of the EBD,TF,  
>and CT and provider of proof that there are a bunch of false MJ-  
>12 docs. Also not an acceptor of the false doctrine that Absence  
>of evidence is Evidence of absence.]

I wonder if you shouldn't say [Peddler of false arguments for...]

And finally, there comes a point when absence of evidence is, in fact evidence of absence. You've had decades to produce some evidence for the authenticity of the MJ-12 documents, mentions in other, real documents, notes by all those people whose papers you've inspected, and there is still not a single corroborating document. Nothing. Absence of evidence is finally evidence of absence.

KRandle

BTW; I notice there hasn't been a rush to join this conversation which tells me there is little interest in this. I just thought another point of view should be expressed.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 23](#)

## Wikileaks And UFOs

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 05:54:27 EDT  
Archived: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 06:08:52 -0400  
Subject: Wikileaks And UFOs

At the top of the news of recent are the latest in the popular Wikileaks website's release of classified documents regarding military issues.

These documents sure seem to have the big brass in an uproar.

I'm wondering when Wikileaks with all it's amazing resources will spill the beans on the UFO issue.

Surely there are government insiders who would love to get the real UFO info out to the public and it's a fair bet that a good number of countries have UFO data the public has yet to be privvy to.

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 24](#)

## Robert Hastings Requests Your Assistance

From: **Robert Hastings** <[ufohastings.nul](mailto:ufohastings.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 11:42:59 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 11:06:57 -0400  
Subject: Robert Hastings Requests Your Assistance

The James T. Carlson Problem

Robert Hastings Requests Your Assistance

By Robert Hastings  
[www.ufohastings.com](http://www.ufohastings.com)

Over the past 37 years I have interviewed more than 120 former or retired U.S. military personnel regarding their knowledge of UFO incursions at nuclear weapons sites during the Cold War era.

On September 27, 2010, seven of those individuals participated in a press conference at the National Press Club in Washington D.C. to discuss their personal experiences in such cases. The event was streamed live by CNN and thousands of articles and broadcast news stories "the great majority of them accurate and objective" have been generated worldwide over the past month.

Four of the press conference participants discussed UFO activity at Malmstrom AFB's ICBM sites in 1966-67:

Dr. Patrick McDonough revealed that one night, while working as an Air Force geodetic surveyor at a not-yet activated missile site, a disc-shaped UFO had raced in and briefly hovered, silently, some 300-feet above his head.

Retired Lt. Col. Dwyne Arneson, the Officer-in-Charge of the 28th Air Division's Communications Center at Malmstrom in 1967, told of reading a secret message about a UFO hovering over a missile site just before it and nine other ICBMs mysteriously malfunctioned.

Former Captain Robert Salas, a missile launch officer at Malmstrom, spoke of being on alert at a 10-missile group designated Oscar Flight, probably on March 24, 1967, when his missiles failed "moments after his head security guard had called the launch capsule to report a glowing oval-shaped object hovering over the Launch Control Facility. Salas has publicly spoken about this incident since 1995 and was the co-sponsor of the press conference.

Finally, a former missile targeting officer, then-Lt. Robert Jamison, spoke of being involved in the re-start (re-targeting) of the Oscar Flight missiles. Jamison said that all of the targeting teams involved in the action were briefed before going to the missile sites and were explicitly told that UFOs had been involved in the ICBM shutdowns.

All of these individuals spoke out because they believe, as do I, that the U.S. government needs to be candid with the American people, and humans everywhere, about the reality of the UFO phenomenon and, in particular, the UFO-Nukes Connection.

Now, although these courageous individuals have come forward and divulged their involvement in still-classified incidents, in the hope of raising public awareness, a UFO debunker named James T. Carlson has been posting countless items on-line over the past

two years, calling these veterans (and others who were involved in the missile shutdown incidents) liars, or worse. In particular, Bob Salas and I have been singled-out for his wrath. Carlson's father, Eric Carlson, was one of two missile launch officers who were present at Malmstrom's Echo Flight on March 16, 1967, when all 10 of their ICBMs shut down.

While the senior Carlson claims not to remember any mention of a UFO being present at the time, the other officer, now-retired Col. Walter Figel, has told me, and Salas, that he received a report of a "large, round" UFO hovering over one of the missile sites just moments after it failed, and shortly before the other nine malfunctioned as well. Figel also verified that other security personnel subsequently corroborated the presence of the UFO. All of these admissions are on audio tape and one may listen to them via links in my article The Echo/Oscar Witch Hunt, posted at The UFO Chronicles website.

Unfortunately, James T. Carlson believes his father's version of events "that no UFOs were present at Echo Flight when the missiles went down"and has spent the last two years slandering and libeling anyone who contradicts this claim. James has further alleged that Bob Salas has completely fabricated the story of his own involvement in a second shutdown incident, at Oscar Flight, even though the other officer present at the time, retired Col. Fred Meiwald, has verified its reality.

In any case, the time has come for me to take inventory, in preparation for a possible legal action: While I am aware of numerous posts by James Carlson about all of this"on blogs at several websites"I'm certain that I've missed many more, given that they run into the hundreds at this point. So, I am seeking the reader's assistance:

I am asking that persons who want to the truth about the incidents at Malmstrom AFB in 1967 to be established"once and for all"to scour the Internet and locate posts in which Carlson has referred to me (or Bob Salas) as a "fraud", a "liar" a "hoaxer" or similar defamatory terms. I am also interested in posts where James claims that he has "proved" that Mr. Salas and I have misrepresented the facts. Those willing to assist me in this regard should send me links to the offending posts at:

[ufohastings.nul](mailto:ufohastings.nul)

Thank you,

Robert Hastings  
[www.ufohastings.com](http://www.ufohastings.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 24](#)

## Re: MJ-12 Redux

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 15:51:11 +0000  
Archived: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 11:14:54 -0400  
Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 21:09:10 EDT  
>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 06:08:58 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

<snip>

>>ST Friedman

>>[Exposer of false arguments against the validity of the EBD,TF,  
>>and CT and provider of proof that there are a bunch of false MJ-  
>>12 docs. Also not an acceptor of the false doctrine that Absence  
>>of evidence is Evidence of absence.]

>I wonder if you shouldn't say [Peddler of false arguments for...]

>And finally, there comes a point when absence of evidence is, in  
>fact evidence of absence. You've had decades to produce some  
>evidence for the authenticity of the MJ-12 documents, mentions  
>in other, real documents, notes by all those people whose papers  
>you've inspected, and there is still not a single corroborating  
>document. Nothing. Absence of evidence is finally evidence of  
>absence.

>BTW; I notice there hasn't been a rush to join this conversation  
>which tells me there is little interest in this. I just thought  
>another point of view should be expressed.

Thanks Kevin for providing another opinion regarding the so-  
called MJ-12 documents.

I would guess nobody joined in the discussion because most of us  
had thought the debate was over. Ending with the conclusion that  
the documents were fakes. Clever, very detailed, but fakes. That  
would include the 'newer' even more detailed MJ-12 fakes.

I thought the "newer" version of all those many documents were  
truly very funny and done by someone with a sense of humor.  
Those documents were the "wet dream" of some ufo investigators.  
Everything one ever wanted to be true about ufos was "proved" to  
be true by those documents.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 24](#)

## Re: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 15:55:30 +0000  
Archived: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 11:16:30 -0400  
Subject: Re: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash

>From: D. R. Denocla <[denocla.nul](mailto:denocla.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 21:38:37 +0200  
>Subject: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash

>In July 2010, I was invited by Julie Shuster the Director of the  
>Roswell UFO Museum and Research Center. I have done a lecture to  
>present my studies. I saw that the Roswell UFO Museum and  
>Research Center has a debris of the machine which crashed in the  
>desert around Roswell in 1947.

<snip>

The Roswell UFO Museum and Research Center has a debris of a  
machine that crashed in the desert in '1947? Oh yeah, when did  
the museum acquire that piece of debris?

I might seem to be dubious about that claim because in all the  
years and visits to the museum Julie would ask Schmitt to please  
find her a piece of debris. The implication being that the  
museum did not have a piece of whatever crashed in 1947.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 24](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think Not

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 15:59:08 +0000  
Archived: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 11:18:11 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>From: Ron Jorgenson <[rjorgens.nul](mailto:rjorgens.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 13:28:41 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 17:32:46 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>>Both of them descending in the east in the same part of the sky.  
>>>>Naturally, I thought it was space junk entering the lower  
>>>>atmosphere and burning up. Silly me. I didn't think it was UFOs  
>>>>trying to make contact.

>>>>Was I wrong?

>>>I dunno, did someone carpet bomb explain your sightings as  
>>>balloons?

>>I would guess that most people do not sit out at night staring  
>>at the skies, therefore, everything seems new and strange when  
>>something finally catches their eye.

>>It was space junk burning up because iridium flares are very  
>>distinctive. That the trajectory was from height to the ground,  
>>in the same area of the sky, both nights, I am going to stick  
>>with manmade object burning up.

>I believe that "Iridium flares" are attributed to the Iridium  
>satellites increasing in brightness when the sun reflects off of  
>them and the Observer is in the right position to see them.

>This is not re-entering "space junk".

>Get on the web and you can find out when you can see them from  
>your location.

You are kidding. If a satellite is failing and falling, and the  
sun is reflecting off it, it would be an iridium flash as it  
falls into the lower atmosphere.

Good grief, you don't want to argue about when an iridium flash  
is not or is not an iridium flash.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 24](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think Not

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 13:01:30 -0300  
Archived: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 11:20:05 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 17:40:57 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 13:16:27 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

><snip>

>>>I would guess that most people do not sit out at night staring  
>>>at the skies, therefore, everything seems new and strange when  
>>>something finally catches their eye.

>>>It was space junk burning up because iridium flares are very  
>>>distinctive. That the trajectory was from height to the ground,  
>>>in the same area of the sky, both nights, I am going to stick  
>>>with manmade object burning up.

>>>I thought the triangular space craft object was solved years  
>>>ago. I read the explanation in Popular Mechanics. It is in fact  
>>>a space craft owned by the US Air Force. Please don't ask for  
>>>the exact citation. I still have the article but it is buried in  
>>>a storage box deep in the closet.

>>Are you referring to the three NOAA satelllites in a near polar  
>>orbit? These form a triangular shape when seen from the Earth's  
>>surface and they move quite fast across the sky but in fact are  
>>approx. 21 kilometers (13 miles) apart and slightly different  
>>altitudes in their separate orbits. And Popular Mechanics never  
>>solves anything.

>No, Don, because the objects were burning up in the lower  
>atmosphere. Amateur and professional astronomers alike  
>understand the meaning of the different types of reflected light  
>off an orbiting object. Hopefully, whatever satellites crashed  
>and burned were not NOAAs.

>The article in Popular Mechanics was providing the facts of the  
>triangular aircraft. Not trying to solve anything. As to the  
>veracity of the information in their articles, PM was one of the  
>few publications that openly discussed Area 51 and its environs.

You stated above; "I thought the triangular space craft object  
was solved years ago. I read the explanation in Popular  
Mechanics."

Satellite imagery in the 70s had outed Groom Lake's existance.  
Popuar Mechanics always manages to come out with some fresh  
'new' data which is usually years old for the uninitiated  
usually written by someone who had little or no credibility but  
since those who read the magazine don't know anything about the  
subject to begin with it is easy to make the information appear  
fresh.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 24](#)

## Re: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 10:48:32 -0600  
Archived: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 11:21:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash

>From: D. R. Denocla <[denocla.nul](mailto:denocla.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 21:38:37 +0200  
>Subject: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash

<snip>

>- First, I checked the normal and neutral radioactivity 15  
>minutes inside the middle of the Roswell UFO Museum and Research  
>Center. I get an average of 16,66 particles by minutes. My  
>Geiger counter just gives a number of particles by minutes, not  
>directly a Becquerel or Rem measurement.

>- After that, I have done the measurement 15 minutes at 10  
>centimeters from the debris. I get an average of 18,05 particles  
>by minutes.

This is not a dramatic variation. Unless you took care to  
isolate the 'debris' from surrounding materials, this could well  
be due to some other source(s) in the immediate vicinity of your  
measurement, e.g., concrete, brick, tile, and glazed ceramic are  
all possible sources of enhanced radioactivity.

Regards

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 24](#)

## Re: Wikileaks And UFOs

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 14:22:26 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 11:22:11 -0400  
Subject: Re: Wikileaks And UFOs

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 05:54:27 EDT  
>Subject: Wikileaks And UFOs

>At the top of the news of recent are the latest in the popular  
>Wikileaks website's release of classified documents regarding military  
issues.

>These documents sure seem to have the big brass in an uproar.

>I'm wondering when Wikileaks with all it's amazing resources will  
>spill the beans on the UFO issue.

>Surely there are government insiders who would love to get the real  
>UFO info out to the public and it's a fair bet that a good number of  
>countries have UFO data the public has yet to be privvy to.

Somehow I doubt it. If there were insiders who wanted to get the information out, I'm sure they would have by now. But, secrets like these aren't made available to low level recruits, such as the one that apparently copied all of the information from one of the computers and gave the material raw which made its way to Wikileaks. With some of the infighting that apparently is going on in Wikileaks, I'm not sure they have many "resources" to draw upon, but time will tell.

I have mixed feelings regarding the release of the information and how it might impact the safety of our soldiers overseas, and like it or not there are good reasons to keep some things secret. Of course, those outside of the loop always feel left out and in some ways betrayed. At least in this release, they apparently blacked out the names of those who helped to gather the information, which had not been done in their previous releases.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 24](#)

## Re: Wikileaks And UFOs

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 21:02:09 +0100  
Archived: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 11:23:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: Wikileaks And UFOs

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 05:54:27 EDT  
>Subject: Wikileaks And UFOs

>I'm wondering when Wikileaks with all it's amazing resources  
>will spill the beans on the UFO issue.

Perhaps there aren't any beans to spill, Greg.

Perhaps the only available revelation would amount to the fact  
that the authorities have no more idea as to the true nature of  
the phenomena than does the average ufologist.

Apart from a moderate degree of embarrassment, that wouldn't  
amount to much of a Wikileak would it?

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.seriousfootball.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 24](#)

## UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 18:18:45 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 11:25:33 -0400  
Subject: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

Here is a very interesting video of a flickering UFO over North Vancouver, BC.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UUu3f-N9YCw>

The object appears to have a bright rectangular internal structure ranging in color from yellow to purple. It is surrounded by a hexagonal to spheroidal green envelope. Occasionally, the whole thing enlarges and becomes rather dark, but the voice of the videographer assures us that she has not touched the camera. She seems careful to tell us when she makes any adjustments to the camera.

When viewed at full screen size, the object is mesmerizing. When the internal structure is not too bright, it seems to take on a 3D shape such as a cube or somewhat more complex forms. Sometimes, it appears to be rotating.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 24](#)

## Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 23:01:09 EDT  
Archived: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 11:28:09 -0400  
Subject: Again The ETH Is A Scam

I'm sorry if I go against the grain again regarding the ETH.

I wrote an article about how bogus this theory was and got lambasted by the people who still swear the Space Brothers are trying to save the Earth.

I'm still waiting, like many others, for some physical proof to emerge. I still don't buy the theory that every government on Earth can hide every little detail about any form of extraterrestrial visit nor that space aliens built the Great Pyramids, Stonehenge, helped Moses cross the Red Sea nor invented the recipe for Wild Blueberry Muffins.

I'd just heard some ufologist on the air the other night discuss how he felt the aliens were in league with our government officials and were working on a plan to make the world a united place for peace and love and such other nonsense.

Working with our governments? Well that just about puts the kibosh on space aliens having any common damned sense right there.

After all these decades and the world on the brink of annihilation for the umpteenth time and things so FUBAR that we need to add a 2 to FUBAR to make it FUBAR to the second power, you would think by now any aliens would realize the jam we're in and that just a brief display of their presence would initiate the kind of dialogue that would bring about the new viewpoints leading to less conflict and strife.

But nooooo, the space aliens are still in secret, working behind the scenes etc..

That's so full of more crap than a constipated elephant.

So I get lots of hate emails from people who are adamant that they're in contact with ETs who have expressed their love and concern for humanity but for some reason just can't muster up enough gumption to appear on the evening news or contact a person in the media who can be their go-between or something.

So in light of all this, if indeed there are ETs here on Earth I say again, what good are they? It's obvious by now any ETs are part and parcel to the problem end of our human spectrum and that's about that.

With no further proof, no further presence and presentation I'm saying again there are no ETs here and if there are they ain't our friends by a longshot.

Sorry if I'm not on the side of the groups, religions, cliques that make money off this end of UFology but it adds too many arbitraries and creates markets and secondary markets of such deceit and chicanery as to boggle the mind.

Send me the hate emails if you will but I'm standing my ground until further notice.

Best ,

Greg  
ufomafia.com/blog

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 24](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 10:38:36 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 12:09:20 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 23:01:09 EDT  
>Subject: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>I'm sorry if I go against the grain again regarding the ETH.

>I wrote an article about how bogus this theory was and got  
>trying to save the Earth.

<snip>

The post goes on in this vein for some paragraphs, though it never becomes clear what its point is. It is clear, however, that it's not about the ETH.

ETH stands for "Extraterrestrial Hypothesis". To contactees, whose claims comprise the bulk of the target here, there is no "hypothesis" related to ETs. There is only certainty. Nor are there UFOs, because the flying objects have been identified as spaceships piloted by angelic beings. That is why the religious-studies scholar J. Gordon Melton has written that flying saucers are one thing, UFOs another.

The ETH is a speculative inference - an implicit acknowledgement of tentativeness and uncertainty - which some ufologists have drawn from UFO reports, not from contactee stories.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 12:47:27 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 07:29:29 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 23:01:09 EDT  
>Subject: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>I'm sorry if I go against the grain again regarding the ETH.

>I wrote an article about how bogus this theory was and got  
>lambasted by the people who still swear the Space Brothers are  
>trying to save the Earth.

>I'm still waiting, like many others, for some physical proof to  
>emerge. I still don't buy the theory that every government on  
>Earth can hide every little detail about any form of  
>extraterrestrial visit nor that space aliens built the Great  
>Pyramids, Stonehenge, helped Moses cross the Red Sea nor  
>invented the recipe for Wild Blueberry Muffins.

<snip>

Real flimsy straw-man you built there. The ETH has nothing to do  
with contactees, with aliens in cahoots with the world's  
government, etc. Instead, the ETH is only a possible hypothesis  
concerning the nature and origin of some UFOs.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 12:51:45 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 07:30:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 10:38:36 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 23:01:09 EDT  
>Subject: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>I'm sorry if I go against the grain again regarding the ETH.

>I wrote an article about how bogus this theory was and got  
>trying to save the Earth.

<snip>

>The post goes on in this vein for some paragraphs, though it  
>never becomes clear what its point is. It is clear, however,  
>that it's not about the ETH.

>ETH stands for "Extraterrestrial Hypothesis". To contactees,  
>whose claims comprise the bulk of the target here, there is no  
>"hypothesis" related to ETs. There is only certainty. Nor are  
>there UFOs, because the flying objects have been identified as  
>spaceships piloted by angelic beings. That is why the religious-  
>studies scholar J. Gordon Melton has written that flying saucers  
>are one thing, UFOs another.

>The ETH is a speculative inference - an implicit acknowledgement  
>of tentativeness and uncertainty - which some ufologists have  
>drawn from UFO reports, not from contactee stories.

Jerry

Do you define "contactees" as those who have alien encounter experiences i.e. medical procedures, implants, hybridization, etc... or are you referring to contactees in the sense of Meier, or Adamski and their ilk?

The former definition's info and stance of certainty is largely garnered from the demonstrably faulty and irresponsibly employed "hypnotic regression therapy" - many of those having the experience who've not subscribed to such hypnotically retrieved garbage, do not see this as ET.

Granted, they do not make up the bulk of accounts. Unfortunately the junk pseudo psychology (if one could even call it that) has permeated this area of the subject and painted a woefully inaccurate picture of the experience.

Jeff Ritzmann

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: Wikileaks And UFOs

From: **Gildas Bourdais** <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 18:54:09 +0200  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 07:32:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: Wikileaks And UFOs

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 21:02:09 +0100  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Wikileaks And UFOs

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 05:54:27 EDT  
>>Subject: Wikileaks And UFOs

>>I'm wondering when Wikileaks with all it's amazing resources  
>>will spill the beans on the UFO issue.

>Perhaps there aren't any beans to spill, Greg.

>Perhaps the only available revelation would amount to the fact  
>that the authorities have no more idea as to the true nature of  
>the phenomena than does the average ufologist.

>Apart from a moderate degree of embarrassment, that wouldn't  
>amount to much of a Wikileak would it?

No, no, no!

According to Nick Redfern, in his new book "Final Events", there is a very, very secret group, civilian and military, called the Collins Elite, which knows the true nature of UFOs: they are demonic!

Maybe that's why Margaret Thatcher said to Georgina Bruni: "You can't tell the people!"

Cheers,

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 11:29:44 -0600  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 07:36:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 10:38:36 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 23:01:09 EDT  
>>Subject: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

>The ETH is a speculative inference - an implicit acknowledgement  
>of tentativeness and uncertainty - which some ufologists have  
>drawn from UFO reports, not from contactee stories.

This is an excellent point. Application of the ETH to UFOs does not require its extension to contactee/abductee accounts, nor imply that these phenomena have any connection at all. At least some hesitancy to entertain the ETH can probably be attributed to this conflation, i.e., subscribe to the ETH and you've basically thrown in with George Adamski.

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 11:14:35 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 07:38:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 23:01:09 EDT  
>Subject: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>I'm sorry if I go against the grain again regarding the ETH.

>I wrote an article about how bogus this theory was and got  
>lambasted by the people who still swear the Space Brothers are  
>trying to save the Earth.

<snip>

First. to set the stage we regard insane reports from sane  
people:

Dr. J. Allen Hynek (still a ufologist's dean, eh?) writes in  
his, I submit, very rational and thoughtful book (For a  
scientist), The UFO Experience - A Scientific Inquiry, regarding  
an interesting analogy handily brought to bear on the  
credibility, personal character, and veracity of persons such as  
those pointed out, despite how far off the wall these persons  
seemed to ricochet! Hynek compared all individuals to scientific  
observational instruments of varying usefulness in the  
proceeding analogy next paragraph. I submit he proved his logic,  
also handily, in this rational reflection ... which makes  
perfect sense, imo, first wash.

"In science," he wrote, "It is standard practice to calibrate  
ones instruments. No astronomer, for instance, would accept  
measures of the velocities of distant galaxies obtained by the  
means of an un-calibrated spectrograph. However, if such an  
instrument had given consistently good results in the past, had  
frequently been tested and had not recently experienced any  
jarring shocks, the observer would usually accept its results  
without any further checking..."

Hynek continues, "...The parallel for us is, of course, obvious:  
if our UFO reporter has, by his past actions and performance,  
shown a high degree of reliability and responsibility and is  
known to be stable and not 'out of adjustment,' then we have no  
a priori reason to distrust his coherent report, particularly  
when it is given in concert with several other 'human  
instruments,' also of acceptable reliability."

Eh? This may shoot "extraordinary claims requiring extraordinary  
evidence of such" in the foot, to a degree, too, as the  
consistency required for the logic we all are admonished to  
adhere to seems to indicate, then, that mundane claims require  
only mundane evidence of same for a, however tentative,  
conclusion to be drawn. No, I submit the standards should have  
balance that "extraordinary" identifications defeat, flatly, as  
a result of their movable goal-posts.

Moreover, while we're here, "no evidence of the ETH," extant,  
presumes, one, that there are no mechanisms of cover-up or  
disinformation in place to distort the overview of such  
evidence, voluminous still, across seven categories - so as to  
maintain the hugely corrupt prerequisites discovered in the

marriage of Corporations and government, also extant. The seven categories? These include the Historical, Artistic, Anecdotal, Photographic, Physical Trace, Personal, and even Mathematical

Two, it seems to presume that the observer would recognize the evidence offered, as offered, as that "evidence offered," given the alien's alien-ness lacks the frame of reference needed to provide for recognition unspeakable sans an experiential dictionary for communication facilitating that recognition, eh? Three, that we entirely ignore the best evidence we have of the ETH, the undeniable evidence of the existence of ourselves, flawed as we are!

My understanding of the immutable existential, howsoever that must play out, or not, is: given time/space and surface area, whatever remotely can happen, or leap the hurdle into "actual occurrence," will happen. That single "happening" seems to insure a line crossed, some cosmic mechanism governing a "formality of actual occurrence" actuated... so it happens again, then again... and again - near ad infinitum ... Folks. I think that's fair.

Humanity has satisfied the requirements for the formality of occurrence as regards its tenuous existence. We... "happened." Only, I don't think we can presume upon the assumption that we are anywhere near first in line. "First," remotely presumed, lacks all reasonable humility.

There's the ETH so far up your nose you feel its knees on your top lip, eh? They're "there," because we're "here."

The "immutable existential," I add, is that reality "realists" would insist that we live in, that which, seemingly, doesn't care, is not goal directed, does not have a "meaning" inherent, and is trendlessly fluctuating. Even in that reality, especially in that reality, what can happen does happen, and having happened continued to happen.

Too, forget the, too constrained, Drake Equation! Dr. Amir D. Aczel, a Massachusetts University mathematics professor and author of "...Probability 1...", shows that the likelihood of an \*other\* is so close to 100% (a decimal followed by an unending succession of "9"s), that its ultimate value is indistinguishable from one chance... ..in one! W00t!

Reader! Indistinguishable! One hundred percent! More abounds on Earth and in heaven than a "realist's" flesh would give heir to, and I'm betting these don't read books outside a comfort zone, eh? Pity the poor realist, as it is pitiable to be so intellectually pitiable.

While we're here: ETI, rare? The realist undersells the stupefying enormity of it all. Behind a grain of sand held at arms length anywhere in the day or night-time sky lies more space-time and surface area than the good realist is willing to assess or countenance. More than any of us can pack between our ears.

Anything can be happening and it has no simple handle a still diapered science can remotely assess or prove a control on. Science is not allowed to merely observe and measure then conclude. Oh, no! Science is, itself, measured and observed. Conclusions are drawn with regard to it.

ETI -- I'm betting older than we can countenance, appreciate, or understand... Why only 20 or so light-years from us (only, eh?) we have stars like our own sun with about a billion years head start on us. Too, they can get here because we can get there - more of that pesky "formality of actual occurrence." We've got the engines (Friedman, 2009) they work, and sub-light speeds still get quite a kick from time dilation... which is fine if you take everything you'll ever need with you....

Where the hell were we?

Oh yeah... Older than we can know, you know? [g].

Some even younger, eh?

No substance to the ETH? I submit that may be premature.

[alienview.nul](#)  
[www.AlienView.net](#)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>  
U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: MJ-12 Redux

From: **Stanton T. Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 15:16:16 -0300  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 07:46:40 -0400  
Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

This thread was going too long and too confused, so I would like to summarize things as I see them.

1. Kevin Randle and I agree that we don't have a true provenance for EBD, TF, CT. To him this means they are hoaxes. To me the absence of a provenance tells us nothing about whether they are a hoax or not. Policemen and journalists often deal with anonymous tips. It is the content that matters, not the provenance. Certainly nobody is saying that if the provenance were known, that would make the documents genuine. We know the provenance of documents put forth by Frank Kaufman. The documents were hoaxes

2. With regard to contents, Kevin believes that noted Forensic document expert Peter Tytell's casual comments (clearly indicating his recall was inaccurate), say the TF typeface was a hoax. James Black's professional examination official comments (I did not ask for those items Kevin says Peter says I asked for) indicate the typeface is from 1940. Quite early enough to say that doesn't rule out legitimacy. There are details such as the obvious fact that the date was typed by 2 typewriters and has a period after it, standard for Van Bush's office. George Elsey saw no problem with it having been typed there. Black, as I have noted, also said the HST signature was added. I found the signature in a Bush file item from Truman. Pflock said the CIA easily could have added it. Forrestal who would have had the original signed copy died in 1949. RHH after all had been DCI.

3. KR felt the data format was at variance with standard practice. I agree, but found many examples of non standard date formats.. some by Hillenkoetter and MJ-12 member Smith

4, KR now no longer claims that RHH's rank is the most important consideration, but still raises questions. I found many many examples of generic ranks used at the Ike White House. It was standard procedure. Ike also used them in Mandate for Change .

5. KR is not happy about the EBD being sent to Jaime Shandera. Apparently he was unaware that I brought JS and Bill Moore together and they had been working together for years. Jaimie gave a talk at a Burbank UFO conference and had been to archives and met some of Moore's sources.. I can't imagine anybody wanting effective document or Roswell research to send them to Dr. Hynek

6. Critics have objected to the Use of TOP SECRET Restricted on the CT. I found comments from the GAO that they had found examples of that.

7. Critics have complained that there were no TOP SECRET Control Numbers. Two archives have stated they had many TS documents without Control Numbers

8. Some have expressed doubt that RHH wrote the EBD. Dr. Roger Wescott examined 25 genuine RHH documents which I had obtained from the Truman Library and concluded that RHH wrote the Briefing.

9. It had been objected that the CT memo must be a hoax because

Robert Cutler was out of the USA on the date it was written. Thus, It would indeed have been a hoax, if it had been signed. It wasn't. That he was out wasn't known until long after document discovery at the Nat. Archives. How did the hoaxer know not to sign it? I was informed of it the same night by phone. It was found in Box 189.. Box 189 was noted on a post card .. as was Reese's pieces and Suitland. Ed Reese was archivist at NARA and known to us. Suitland was also an Archive. It took me 2 years via mandatory classification review to obtain a letter from James Lay, Exec. Sec. of the NSC to Cutler .It (included in my Final Report on Operation Majestic 12 ) clearly indicated that Lay was taking care of things for Cutler during his absence as he had been instructed in the memo found earlier by Robert Todd. George Elsey thought it would have been standard practice for Lay to prepare the memo to Twining for Cutler. Lay and Cutler sat next to each other at NSC meetings and each got copies of the other's paperwork. How did a hoaxer know this??

10. There was a howl from the Ufology community when Jerry Clark had the courage to publish my article on The Double Life of Donald Menzel. Menzel provides a good example of highly classified work not being known to the outside world despite all his years of such work. It isn't mentioned in two articles in Sky and Telescope about Menzel. Secrets can indeed be kept. As Kevin has correctly pointed out, I found nothing about MJ-12 in the papers of DHM or Van Bush or the other 9 MJ-12 members. I can't imagine why it would be expected that I would. These were world class experts at keeping secrets There are tens of millions of classified documents throughout the US including presidential archives many of which I have visited.

11. A major objection by Kevin is that the EBD doesn't say anything about the Aztec and Plains of San Agustin crashes which I am convinced did happen. I certainly agree it says nothing about them. I can find no reason to think that a Preliminary Briefing made during a short session in the Pentagon vault would cover info on two cases that had not received much public discussion. After all a full operational briefing was to follow. Neither Kevin nor I were privy to discussions about what should have been excluded or included

12. There is of course the Pica type fiasco as a result of which Klass paid me \$ 1000. For proving him wrong.

13. There are several interesting dates in the EBD such as the date of Smith replacing Forrestal (the only date in a long period when Smith met with HST) the date when Twining went to NM, of the one meeting over several months when HST, Van Bush, and James Forrestal met.

14. KR has objected that not one other document was found mentioning Operation Majestic 12. I didn't find any classified documents in Menzel's papers either. But his secretary and his own comments indicate that he did loads of classified work. I can't imagine the MJ-12 people being careless about security.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufosdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 14:32:55 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 07:48:57 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 18:18:45 -0400  
>Subject: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>Here is a very interesting video of a flickering UFO over North  
>Vancouver, BC.

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UUu3f-N9YCw>

Spends most of the time defocused. Camera probably set on automatic focus which barely works when looking at a bright light in a dark surround.

The very faint large disc images are way out of focus. Occasionally it does succeed in focus... when the image is the smallest, e.g. about 43 sec.

Halo around bright center images are nearly focused. Changes in image size are a result of the camera "hunting" for focus.

Rapid fluttering or flashing likely a result of atmospheric effect on light from a small or "point" source after passing miles through the atmosphere (scintillation or twinkling).

Don't know direction or time. The slow drift to the right is not characteristic of a star or planet which would have a vertical component of motion due to the earth's rotation.

Could it be a distant airplane with headlights on (tens of miles away) and heading not quite directly toward the witness (airplane heading just to the left of straight toward the witness)?

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: MJ-12 Redux

From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 17:35:17 -0300  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 07:53:49 -0400  
Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 15:51:11 +0000  
>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 21:09:10 EDT  
>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 06:08:58 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

><snip>

>ST Friedman

>[Exposer of false arguments against the validity of the EBD,TF,  
>and CT and provider of proof that there are a bunch of false MJ-  
>12 docs. Also not an acceptor of the false doctrine that Absence  
>of evidence is Evidence of absence.]

>I wonder if you shouldn't say [Peddler of false arguments for...]

>And finally, there comes a point when absence of evidence is, in  
>fact evidence of absence. You've had decades to produce some  
>evidence for the authenticity of the MJ-12 documents, mentions  
>in other, real documents, notes by all those people whose papers  
>you've inspected, and there is still not a single corroborating  
>document. Nothing. Absence of evidence is finally evidence of  
>absence.

>BTW; I notice there hasn't been a rush to join this conversation  
>which tells me there is little interest in this. I just thought  
>another point of view should be expressed.

>

>Thanks Kevin for providing another opinion regarding the so-  
>called MJ-12 documents.

>

>I would guess nobody joined in the discussion because most of us  
>had thought the debate was over. Ending with the conclusion that  
>the documents were fakes. Clever, very detailed, but fakes. That  
>would include the 'newer' even more detailed MJ-12 fakes.

>I thought the "newer" version of all those many documents were  
>truly very funny and done by someone with a sense of humor.  
>Those documents were the "wet dream" of some ufo investigators.  
>Everything one ever wanted to be true about ufos was "proved" to  
>be true by those documents.

Kathy,

You are asking the wrong question. It is not are the MJ-12  
documents fakes. It is "are any genuine?". I have, of course,  
long since noted that a mess were fakes and spelled out the  
reasons. I say three(3) are genuine and spelled out in detail why

I reached that conclusion. Check the long article on my website.  
Are isotopes fissionable? Of course not. Everybody Knows that  
99% are not. Fortunately, there are a couple that are.

Would anybody be surprised if the government flooded the market  
With junk after the original genuine ones were slipped out? They  
were obviously successful in getting the garbage to rub off on  
the good stuff. For shame for being so gullible.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

From: Nick Balaskas <[nikolaos.nul](mailto:nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 18:08:20 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 07:55:15 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 18:18:45 -0400  
>Subject: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>Here is a very interesting video of a flickering UFO over North  
>Vancouver, BC.

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UUu3f-N9YCw>

Hi Bill,

Is one of the reasons you found this to be "a very interesting video" because of the date, October 13? Someone predicted months earlier that there would be UFO sightings over major world cities on that date, but as expected, this did not happen.

Of course, when thousands of people from all around the world do bother to step outside and glance upwards at an unfamiliar night sky, the distant star-like things some see will surely be interpreted as a UFO, especially if that was the reason they stepped outside in the first place.

Thanks to the popularity of digital cameras with ridiculously high optical and digital zoom magnifications, any distant point light source can be made to look like a UFO!

>The object appears to have a bright rectangular internal  
>structure ranging in color from yellow to purple. It is  
>surrounded by a hexagonal to spheroidal green envelope.  
>Occasionally, the whole thing enlarges and becomes rather dark,  
>but the voice of the videographer assures us that she has not  
>touched the camera. She seems careful to tell us when she makes  
>any adjustments to the camera.

Many inexpensive video cameras have rectangular lense openings and built-in hexagonal shaped irises or diaphragms that can account for the "structural" shape of this UFO and a dirty lense will create those fuzzy envelopes around the brighter centers.

While observing Jupiter, a very bright star-like object, from the York University observatory recently, sometimes it too would fade away as a thin, hard to see, cloud in the dark sky would eclipse it.

Although we have no reason to doubt the honesty of the witness, those particular digital video cameras have a hard time staying in focus, especially under dark night conditions when high zoom magnifications are used.

>When viewed at full screen size, the object is mesmerizing.  
When >the internal structure is not too bright, it seems to take on a >3D shape such as a cube or somewhat more complex forms.  
>Sometimes, it appears to be rotating.

When an object is far away and very small in angular size, the appearance of the internal structure is largely illusionary, especially if the tiny distant object is very bright. Yes, such

objects will look mesmerizing and not-of-this-world, especially when observed under high magnification and through unsteady air when even a distant street lamp or a white star near the horizon will look more like a sparkler exhibiting a kaleidoscope of colours. Things are often not what they "appear" to be.

A very pretty sight on the full screen, yes, but I think that this UFO can be explained as an IFO - very likely one of the many bright stars of the winter sky seen over downtown Vancouver from North Vancouver at that particular time of night.

This likely explanation for the UFO could still be verified if the witness gets up a little earlier than the time for the October 13 sighting and looks at the same part of the night sky under the same conditions. If there is a bright star at that same location that also does not move much over an hour - the duration of her sighting - then the UFO was indeed an IFO.

Nick Balaskas

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think No

From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 19:10:24 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 08:05:48 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think No

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 15:14:55 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>From: Jeff Ritzmann<[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2010 11:21:54 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 20:33:51 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>>From: Bruce Maccabee<[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 11:34:29 -0400 (EDT)  
>>>>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>>>From: Greg Boone<[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>>>Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2010 00:07:33 EDT  
>>>>>Subject: Balloons? I Think Not

>>>>>There are pathetic explanations and there are beyond pathetic  
>>>>>explanations for UFO sightings but to regress to balloons again  
>>>>>after watching this video:

>>>>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3DrEtTl9cGrJc>

>>>>>just takes the cake.

>>>>My guesses:

>>>>Daylight in NYC - balloons

>>>>Night in El Paso - falling pyrotechnics (like fireworks) with  
>>>>parachutes (to arrest the fall before they burn out)

>>>>Bruce, since you don't go into detail, I assume your guesses are  
>>>>not based on an analysis beyond the obvious.

>>>>I would like to show readers of the List that all is not as  
>>>>simple as it seems. I've placed images of an orb triple from an  
>>>>NYC video on my web site for discussion.

>>>>Pic0:

>>>>[http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC\\_Orb3.png](http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC_Orb3.png)

>>>>Pic1:

>>>>[http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC\\_Orb3Mag.png](http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC_Orb3Mag.png)

>>>>Pic2:

>>>>[http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC\\_Orb3SmMEq.png](http://www.treurniet.ca/temp/NYC_Orb3SmMEq.png)

>>Pic0 is an original image extracted from the video. Pic1 zooms  
>>in on the orb triple. Pic2 is a transformation obtained by  
>>equalizing Pic1 before it was enlarged.

>>Notice in Pic1, the brightest areas tend to be in the center of  
>>each orb with a relative shadow around the entire periphery of  
>>the orb. If the sun were behind the camera, the bright centers  
>>could be a reflection. Otherwise, the orbs would need to be  
>>self-luminous.

>>Further, in the equalized Pic2, an asymmetry in the brightness  
>>of individual orbs can be seen. That is, the brightest areas are  
>>not circular. This suggests that these orbs are not exactly  
>>spherical. Another possibility is that this asymmetry is an  
>>artifact of the image compression algorithm. The objects' bright  
>>spots may not have fallen on the center of an element of the  
>>grid used to encode the image.

>>But notice also in Pic2, that there is a lighter area in the  
>>upper right quadrant where the fourth of a quintuple of orbs  
>>might have been positioned in a square arrangement. This light  
>>spot is not visible in the original photo, and so is not a  
>>reflection from a fourth balloon. However, this hint of a fourth  
>>orb could be the beginning of a plasma ball as I suggested in an  
>>earlier contribution.

>>Perhaps a more thorough analysis along this line could address  
>>more completely the utility of the balloon hypothesis. From what  
>>I've seen thus far, there is reason to question it.

>William

>You're banking quite a bit on Internet stills based off what was  
>likely a video dubbed to CBS - and that's completely absurd. Not  
>only is image compression in play (which you mention) but  
>there's also other direct issues of scaling and color values  
>that are completely inaccurate and result in more false data.

>You also don't take into consideration the =C2 CCD parameters and  
>how that CCD chip reacts to being excited by light - which can  
>vary by manufacturer, make of camera and then add in whatever  
>varied optics are employed.

>I can go on, but what's the point. You don't have decent imaging  
>data to start with. It's a web compressed image that has been  
>passed around from it's inception like a whore on Saturday  
>night. Artifacts are just that - added "data" that doesn't  
>belong, but is a product of media type and transmission.

>I've talked to more than a few people who saw the event. None of  
>them said the objects they saw exhibited anything unusual in the  
>way of flight. They didn't blink out or shoot away, nor stay  
>stationary in any way. They floated off until they were no  
>longer visible. Like, oh I dunno... balloons.

>Not everything is suspect or worthy of "investigation", and a  
>whole lot of time in UFOlogy is spent in wasting time examining  
>visual data that isn't of a decent quality to even bother with -  
>especially now in the days of the Internet. If these objects  
>were closer and better defined, we'd have more to work with.  
>But, they aren't - and we also have plausible, explainable data  
>on the table.

>More often than not, putting up this sort of contention on the  
>marginal, largely worthless data is a nice open door to allow  
>fundamentalist skeptics a way to make this subject - and those  
>involved in it - =C2 an example of "the desperate to believe"  
>public.

>We've got enough of that without adding to it.

>Jeff Ritzmann

>Thanks for the comments, Jeff. I realize the visual data is  
>subject to interpretation, so other views are useful.

>I have to say that I don't worry too much about opening a door  
>for "fundamentalist skeptics" when I think out loud in public.

You're missing the point. By basing too much upon very little

you're opening the door for them to publicly humiliate you and this field because it shows that you don't understand basic imaging and that you have drawn hard lines without even the most basic necessary data. This is what such fundamentalist skeptics make an example of because you make it easy. It's bad enough that the balloon release and the skydive team have both put up false flags in the media close together. If this field beats the dead horse then it's just silliness and will be shown up as such.

>Many of them have already decided that these are objects  
>controlled by ET.

Who? Skeptics? Yeah I don't think so.

>Unlike in the days of analogue data, simply sharing digital data  
>by copying does not degrade it, so your comment that passing  
>image data around degrades it is a red herring. The main thing  
>affecting data quality is the initial image compression which  
>can create artifacts.

Again, you miss the point. You cannot trace it's lineage because you don't know it. Number two, in as many times as it changes hands or is posted it's going through a process - and again one you cannot trace in a generational or contaminated sense. Ex: If CBS say, got the video from a citizen, and the citizen's camera was digital - then there's immediate compression, optics and CCD matters. Then CBS takes that onto their web server. It's compressed GREATLY for online viewing. Joe sees the footage and recaps it onto his machine. Joe then uploads it onto his website. Then someone takes it off Joe's site for "analysis". The idea is absurd on the face of it.

There's also another issue. Emailing an image? Some email services compress the data. Passing it around, yes, can degrade the image. It's not only a question of lineage. At pure transfer, no there's no degradation - but that wasn't my point. The point is uncertain lineage.

>There are general characteristics in the video worth noting,  
>such as the shadows surrounding each disk, and the brightness of  
>the disks. In the absence of other known balloons in the sky, I  
>compared them to the image of the balloon released during the  
>City of Madrid tourism campaign. This balloon was not as bright  
>as the surrounding sky. In contrast, if the objects in the UFO  
>video were balloons, they were highly reflective across the  
>whole spectrum with the light source behind the camera. This  
>would be more characteristic of mylar than party-balloon rubber.  
>But they did not exhibit the glints and flashes one might expect  
>if they were reflecting balloons changing orientations in the  
>>wind, unless they were perfectly spheroidal. On the other hand,  
>the light from self-illuminated objects would be non-specular  
>and less dependent on orientation.

You assume that what the camera captured is what was actually seen with the eye. Again, at this distance of the observed object you're completely off the mark. You're also taking about NYC - distance hazing (atmospheric and pollution) would have a definite effect on subduing the actual image recorded. The same comparison analogy can be made at night with low horizon viewed, and distant lights - scintillation has a GREAT effect on what is not only seen, but recorded with analog and digital media (each having their own "issues").

If media were as accurate as you assume - we'd be able to video tape a star and put what is recorded through spectral analysis. That's silly. It's the equivalent of testing the Mona Lisa looking for her DNA.

>As noted earlier in another post, starting at around 2:45 in the  
>CBS video, a single object comes into view and gradually morphs  
>into a set of three objects. Yes, one might say that this was  
>due to a change in perspective where the three balloons were  
>initially aligned so that two were hidden behind the third.  
>However, the morphing from one to three objects certainly does  
>not prove they are balloons, and could be used as an argument  
>against it.

Morphing? Come on. Again, you're showing me you don't have any idea how the data is getting onto the media. Dark background. Bright objects. Distance, and lack of absolute focus. Know what

that equals? Blur and ill definition. Objects as described can "kiss" or appear to conjoin when close, because the camera can't make the focal leap of resolve. You're basing "morph" again because you're not considering \*many\* factors.

> I'm considering the possibility that these are man-made objects, >but not necessarily balloons. An EISCAT publication (2004) shows >that it was possible to create visible plasma objects in the sky >by HF stimulation of the ionosphere. Who knows what they can do > six years later? If the objects over NYC were balls of light >created by such means, why couldn't they also be manipulated to >behave like tethered balloons, perhaps simply by changing the >frequency and phase of interfering waves? We might need to look >carefully at the details of the display to tell the difference.

Are you actually listening to yourself?

>A reason why I don't blindly accept that these are nothing but >balloons is that these objects have appeared in the past and in >greater numbers. See the following videos, for example.

Well you'll blindingly accept poor video footage as "evidence" with all of it's aberrations as some sort of relevant data, so I'm not seeing your sense of discernment. The videos shown below, again, don't show objects stopping, changing direction, zipping off, etc. They show thermal carry. Lets use a little deductive reasoning where we can.

>Flotilla of UFOS over Lima, Peru ~ May 2007

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Dhm3-Vr75yrs>

>UFO Fleet Flotilla Ovni Mexico

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Dlfgpby-zdV4>

>If the technology exists, and it does appear that it might, >these masses of objects might be easier to create that way than >by releasing as many balloons.

> William

Btw, nothing on CCD variants? Ok. Guess that fell upon deaf ears.

I'm sorry William, I've got 20+ years in digital and analog imaging in my professional career as well as video editing and CGI digital composite/computer 3D modeling. Sadly, this field often decides to waste time and focus upon nonsense - and whats even more sad is that people would probably far rather hear what conclusions you'd draw then any explanation I'd give them based on reality or image data.

My inserted comments into your reply above are my best attempt to make you understand a few things, but based upon your last reply it seems you've gotten a preconceived notion together, and there's little I can do to help you there - so I'll exit the conversation and not waste your time or my own. There's far more compelling cases and far more compelling anomalous visual data out there right now.

Jeff Ritzmann

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: Balloons? I Think Not

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 08:49:46 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 09:03:03 -0400  
Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>From: Jeff Ritzmann<[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 19:10:24 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 15:14:55 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Balloons? I Think Not

>I'm sorry William, I've got 20+ years in digital and analog  
>imaging in my professional career as well as video editing and  
>CGI digital composite/computer 3D modeling. Sadly, this field  
>often decides to waste time and focus upon nonsense - and whats  
>even more sad is that people would probably far rather hear what  
>conclusions you'd draw then any explanation I'd give them based  
>on reality or image data.

>My inserted comments into your reply above are my best attempt  
>to make you understand a few things, but based upon your last  
>reply it seems you've gotten a preconceived notion together, and  
>there's little I can do to help you there - so I'll exit the  
>conversation and not waste your time or my own. There's far more  
>compelling cases and far more compelling anomalous visual data  
>out there right now.

Perhaps you wouldn't mind sharing that compelling visual data  
with us? An example worthy of your expertise would be  
instructive.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 08:25:07 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 13:14:20 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 12:51:45 -0400  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 10:38:36 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 23:01:09 EDT  
>>>Subject: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>I'm sorry if I go against the grain again regarding the ETH.

>>I wrote an article about how bogus this theory was and got  
>>trying to save the Earth.

>Do you define "contactees" as those who have alien encounter  
>experiences i.e. medical procedures, implants, hybridization,  
>etc... or are you referring to contactees in the sense of Meier,  
>or Adamski and their ilk?

My definition of contactees is the standard, ordinarily understood one: those who claim prolonged interactions with benevolent, long-winded Space Brothers in remarkably low-strangeness narratives. (The average contact claim reads like science fiction written by somebody who's neither imaginative nor intelligent.) It's also the definition Greg Boone was using.

I was not referring to CE3s, which have their own character and history. I am unsure whether abductions are a variety of CE3, but except in rare cases abductions appear to resemble CE3s more than they do contact claims. My suspicion is that most abduction reports are neither, but that's another story.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: **Stanton T. Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 11:48:36 -0300  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 13:18:40 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 11:29:44 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 10:38:36 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 23:01:09 EDT  
>>>Subject: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

>>The ETH is a speculative inference - an implicit acknowledgement  
>>of tentativeness and uncertainty - which some ufologists have  
>>drawn from UFO reports, not from contactee stories.

>This is an excellent point. Application of the ETH to UFOs does  
>not require its extension to contactee/abductee accounts, nor  
>imply that these phenomena have any connection at all. At least  
>some hesitancy to entertain the ETH can probably be attributed  
>to this conflation, i.e., subscribe to the ETH and you've  
>basically thrown in with George Adamski.

Right on. There seems to be a general resistance to words like  
some and any. The right question is not "are all UFOs of ET  
origin?", but "are any?". Not: are all MJ-12 documents, (which,  
after all, came from different sources) genuine? But "are any?."  
Not "are all people 7' tall?", but "are any? It used to be that  
gold ore was worth mining if there was one ounce of gold per ton  
of ore. Much less is needed now.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 15:52:02 +0100  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 13:20:15 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 14:32:55 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 18:18:45 -0400  
>>Subject: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>>Here is a very interesting video of a flickering UFO over North  
>>Vancouver, BC.

>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UUu3f-N9YCw>

>Spends most of the time defocused. Camera probably set on  
>automatic focus which barely works when looking at a bright  
>light in a dark surround.

>The very faint large disc images are way out of focus.  
>Occasionally it does succeed in focus... when the image is the  
>smallest, e.g. about 43 sec.

>Halo around bright center images are nearly focused. Changes in  
>image size are a result of the camera "hunting" for focus.

>Rapid fluttering or flashing likely a result of atmospheric  
>effect on light from a small or "point" source after passing  
>miles through the atmosphere (scintillation or twinkling).

>Don't know direction or time. The slow drift to the right is  
>not  
>characteristic of a star or planet which would have a vertical  
>component of motion due to the earth's rotation.

>Could it be a distant airplane with headlights on (tens of  
>miles  
>away) and heading not quite directly toward the witness  
>(airplane heading just to the left of straight toward the  
>witness)?

I agree this is a defocused video of a small scintillating light  
source. But I think it's a star.

The lateral drift with insignificant vertical motion is  
characteristic of a non-setting star near the southern horizon.

Judging from the way the camera is placed - on a window sill or  
similar - it seems clear that it is pointed near the horizon.  
The maximum angular motion of any star during 1 hour (total  
video) is only 15 deg at the celestial equator, and near the S  
horizon it would be much less.

Moreover the video extract as I recall is only about 12 minutes,  
so the motion is likely no more a few degrees, too small for  
significant altitude change to be discernable. The camera is  
said to be at maximum zoom so the angular FOV is small and it  
doesn't take long for the star to drift across it.

The witness herself says on the video "When I look just with my naked eye it looks like...a star".

I believe it was taken from Vancouver in the early morning? The brightest star Sirius would have been low on the S horizon and is the champion of twinklers in this sort of situation.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 11:25:30 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 13:21:28 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>From: Bruce Maccabee<[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 14:32:55 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>>From: William Treurniet<[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 18:18:45 -0400  
>>Subject: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>>Here is a very interesting video of a flickering UFO over North  
>>Vancouver, BC.

>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UUu3f-N9YCw>

>Spends most of the time defocused. Camera probably set on  
>automatic focus which barely works when looking at a bright  
>light in a dark surround.

>The very faint large disc images are way out of focus.  
>Occasionally it does succeed in focus... when the image is the  
>smallest, e.g. about 43 sec.

>Halo around bright center images are nearly focused. Changes in  
>image size are a result of the camera "hunting" for focus.

>Rapid fluttering or flashing likely a result of atmospheric  
>effect on light from a small or "point" source after passing  
>miles through the atmosphere (scintillation or twinkling).

>Don't know direction or time. The slow drift to the right is not  
>characteristic of a star or planet which would have a vertical  
>component of motion due to the earth's rotation.

>Could it be a distant airplane with headlights on (tens of miles  
>away) and heading not quite directly toward the witness  
>(airplane heading just to the left of straight toward the  
>witness)?

Hello Bruce,

I have to admit I was seduced by the audio channel which  
insisted that no changes were made to the camera settings. I  
forgot about the automatic setting.

Note that the author said she recorded the object for about an  
hour. Would this not suggest that an approaching aircraft would  
be not tens, but hundreds of miles away? Would the light from an  
approaching aircraft hundreds of miles away be visible,  
especially if the beam were not directed exactly towards the  
viewer? Would the landing lights be turned on that far away from  
an airport?

I enlarged a frame from the video at 43 sec, where you thought  
the object was in focus. Here, there is still a  
central/peripheral color separation (beige/green), and the  
central color has a somewhat rectangular shape.

For my benefit, perhaps you can enlighten me on the following

observations.

The intensity of the strobe-like flicker was greater than I would expect from atmospheric effects. Would the camera have enhanced the flicker somehow?

A hexagonal aperture shape can be seen occasionally around the green periphery of the object, but there is also an internal yellow/purple rectangular shape. Which component of the camera might have created this rectangular shape?

Was the color separation produced by refraction in the camera? What component would be responsible for that?

Thanks,

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

### Red Sprites And Blue Jets

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 13:13:06 -0300  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 13:23:02 -0400  
Subject: Red Sprites And Blue Jets

A documentary was aired on Discovery Channel in Canada on Sunday. I happened to catch it by accident. The very real phenomena known as Red Sprites and Blue Jets was the subject of a program called Monster Lightning.

For years, pilots observed these phenomenon (the phenomena) but seldom reported them. The reason for this was the short duration of the event-about a 10th of a second. Also, in many instances - the blue jets-the lightning was travelling upward instead of down and the pilots didn't want to be accused of seeing things-like a mirage for instance and therefore being accused of seeing things.

Sound familiar? Turns out the pilots were very accurate in their reporting and comparing notes among themselves but it took decades of vague reports by atmospheric scientists, video cameras then controlled studies using high-speed photography from great distances to see what was going on during thunder storms both below and above the clouds.

Why should pilots be concerned? Before the evidence was in it was assumed that flying above the weather was safe and there was no fear of lightning; still airliners crashed with the best guess at a cause being a lightning strike. It is now known that the Red Sprites above the cloud still travel downward but these monsters are magnitudes larger in size than the regular lightning seen below the cloud. Imagine a kite and the string that restrains it. The kite is the Red Sprite and the string the regular lightning. Blue Jets are larger than Red Sprites but fire upward to the edge of space in the 80 kilometer range. Multiply that by .6 to get approx. miles.

At any rate these were on occasion reported by pilots because they were concerned about safety but they were pooh-pawed, but then decades later were vindicated when science 'discovered' these two new additions to the lightning family.

So now these poor reporters of phenomena, pilots, are trepidatious about flying over thunderstorms just the same as they are leery of flying near UAP which they don't report in the classic sense anymore. They do report balloons though. It's safer and still gets the position report out there for the next plane transiting that airspace.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 26](#)

## Zecharia Sitchin Passes

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 07:45:34 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 07:45:34 -0400  
Subject: Zecharia Sitchin Passes

Source: Zecharia Sitchin's Official. Website

<http://www.sitchin.com/>

We regret to inform you that Zecharia Sitchin passed away on the morning of October 9th. A small, private family funeral was held the next day.

The family asks that you respect its privacy during this difficult time and refrain from contacting family members directly. Instead, to offer tributes to Mr. Sitchin or to contact those handling his affairs, please email [tributes.nul](mailto:tributes.nul) or send a letter to P.O. Box 577, New York, NY 10185. We appreciate the support that you have given over the years. Please continue to monitor this website for further updates.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 26](#)

## Re: MJ-12 Redux

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 17:20:31 +0000  
Archived: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 07:47:54 -0400  
Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 17:35:17 -0300  
>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 15:51:11 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 21:09:10 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

<snips>

>>BTW; I notice there hasn't been a rush to join this conversation  
>>which tells me there is little interest in this. I just thought  
>>another point of view should be expressed.

>>Thanks Kevin for providing another opinion regarding the so-  
>>called MJ-12 documents.

>>I would guess nobody joined in the discussion because most of us  
>>had thought the debate was over. Ending with the conclusion that  
>>the documents were fakes. Clever, very detailed, but fakes. That  
>>would include the 'newer' even more detailed MJ-12 fakes.

>>I thought the "newer" version of all those many documents were  
>>truly very funny and done by someone with a sense of humor.  
>>Those documents were the "wet dream" of some ufo investigators.  
>>Everything one ever wanted to be true about ufos was "proved" to  
>>be true by those documents.

>Kathy,

>You are asking the wrong question. It is not are the MJ-12  
>documents fakes. It is "are any genuine?". I have, of course,  
>long since noted that a mess were fakes and spelled out the  
>reasons.I say three(3) are genuine and spelled out in detail why  
>I reached that conclusion Check the long article on my website.  
>Are isotopes fissionable? Of course not. Everybody Knows that  
>99% are not. Fortunately, there are a couple that are.

>  
>Would anybody be surprised if the government flooded the market  
>With junk after the original genuine ones were slipped out? They  
>were obviously successful in getting the garbage to rub off on  
>the good stuff. For shame for being so gullible.

>Stan Friedman

Okay, everybody, I didn't want to do this but pretending my  
response is because I am gullible? I don't think so. Sit back  
because this is going to take a while to share.

1} I know all of the MJ-12 documents are fakes because the  
committee that was advising President Truman was not called MJ-  
12. MJ-12 was the name Bill Moore fished out of the ethers of

archived and defunct covert government projects.

2} At one time in my life I had a couple of dinner meetings and private conversations with the two people involved in perpetrating the first "MJ-12" documents. They were very specific about how the "emergence" of the fake documents took place.

3} One of the individuals attempted to involve me in two different ufo/aliens-are-among us hoaxes. He ran into a wall with me because I researched the two items he was pretending were real. You could thank me for stopping yet another couple of hoaxes someone was getting ready to introduce to the ufo community.

4} During research for a book I wrote, I dug through government documents and discovered the name and personnel of the real working group. So, I had the real info when the next set of "MJ-12" documents came along.

5} All sets of "MJ-12" documents do not ever mention the names of the real people, except the head of the working group, who participated in the real behind the scenes development of the real space program. It was an easy call for me and not based on gullibility.

Frankly, Stan, based on my research, I have always thought you were the gullible one.

6} Because I knew how the first set of "MJ-12" documents were presented to the public, it was easy for me to follow the timeline trail back to the emergence of the second set of "MJ-12." Therefore, I determined anything called "MJ-12" documents were fakes. They are not even very good fakes as truly convincing propaganda/disinfo should have real information embedded in it. One name of one of the real head's of the working group doesn't cut it with me.

7} Because I trust no one, and require background information from at least two sources, I am considered a bitch of a researcher and very difficult to deal with. Just ask Bill Moore.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 26](#)

## Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 17  
Archived: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 07:49:36 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 15:52:02 +0100  
>Subject: Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

<snip>

>I agree this is a defocused video of a small scintillating light  
>source. But I think it's a star.

>The lateral drift with insignificant vertical motion is  
>characteristic of a non-setting star near the southern horizon.

>Judging from the way the camera is placed - on a window sill or  
>similar - it seems clear that it is pointed near the horizon.  
>The maximum angular motion of any star during 1 hour (total  
>video) is only 15 deg at the celestial equator, and near the S  
>horizon it would be much less.

>Moreover the video extract as I recall is only about 12 minutes,  
>so the motion is likely no more a few degrees, too small for  
>significant altitude change to be discernable. The camera is  
>said to be at maximum zoom so the angular FOV is small and it  
>doesn't take long for the star to drift across it.

>The witness herself says on the video "When I look just with my  
>naked eye it looks like...a star".

>I believe it was taken from Vancouver in the early morning? The  
>brightest star Sirius would have been low on the S horizon and  
>is the champion of twinklers in this sort of situation.

I agree Martin.

As I sat outside, doing my nightly search of the skies and  
checking out the star patterns against the star chart, I watched  
- once again - how Venus and Sirius - appear in the sky.

Compared with the image in the video it looks like a star  
refracting light and appear to be "twinkling."

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 26](#)

## Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 16:31:04 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 07:55:11 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 11:25:30 -0400  
>Subject: Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>>From: Bruce Maccabee<[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 14:32:55 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: UFO Over Vancouver Oct 13 2010

>>>Here is a very interesting video of a flickering UFO over North  
>>>Vancouver, BC.

>>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UUu3f-N9YCw>

>>Spends most of the time defocused. Camera probably set on  
>>automatic focus which barely works when looking at a bright  
>>light in a dark surround.

>>The very faint large disc images are way out of focus.  
>>Occasionally it does succeed in focus... when the image is the  
>>smallest, e.g. about 43 sec.

>>Halo around bright center images are nearly focused. Changes in  
>>image size are a result of the camera "hunting" for focus.

>>Rapid fluttering or flashing likely a result of atmospheric  
>>effect on light from a small or "point" source after passing  
>>miles through the atmosphere (scintillation or twinkling).

>>Don't know direction or time. The slow drift to the right is not  
>>characteristic of a star or planet which would have a vertical  
>>component of motion due to the earth's rotation.

Martin Shough in another email message has correctly pointed out that a star/planet appearing on the souther horizon would move mostly to the right. For some reason I had in the back of my mind that the witness was looking west (or east) in which case the motion would have a vertical component (rising if in the east, falling if in the west). I also had in the back of my mind my own experience of seeing distant aircraft with headlights on flying so nearly directly at me that they seemed to be stationary or moving very slowly to one side. This condition lasted many minutes before I realized what was happening. (There have also been some UFO cases explained in the same way.)

However, in this case we don't know what direction the witness was looking so Martin could be correct.

>I have to admit I was seduced by the audio channel which  
>insisted that no changes were made to the camera settings. I  
>forgot about the automatic setting.

>Note that the author said she recorded the object for about an  
>hour. Would this not suggest that an approaching aircraft would  
>be not tens, but hundreds of miles away? Would the light from an  
>approaching aircraft hundreds of miles away be visible,

>especially if the beam were not directed exactly towards the  
>viewer? Would the landing lights be turned on that far away from  
>an airport?

I guess I did not hear a comment about filming for an hour. The video segment is much less. Certainly not likely to be an airplane if she followed it for an hour. Much more likely to be a "Celestial body."

>I enlarged a frame from the video at 43 sec, where you thought  
>the object was in focus.

I wouldn't say the image was perfectly focused, but close.

>Here, there is still a  
>central/peripheral color separation (beige/green), and the  
>central color has a somewhat rectangular shape.

>For my benefit, perhaps you can enlighten me on the following  
>observations.

>The intensity of the strobe-like flicker was greater than I  
>would expect from atmospheric effects. Would the camera have  
>enhanced the flicker somehow?

The "hunting" effect of the automatic focus would cause the brightness level to change or oscillate, but probably not as rapidly atmospheric scintillation effects. However, it would add to the scintillation making the size of the image change and the central brightness level change.

>A hexagonal aperture shape can be seen occasionally around the  
>green periphery of the object, but there is also an internal  
>yellow/purple rectangular shape. Which component of the camera  
>might have created this rectangular shape?

Whenever the lens goes a bit out of perfect focus there is a chance for the shape of the aperture to show up as a boundary to the illuminated area of the focal plane. When the lens is out of focus (too far from or too close to the focal plane) the incoming light causes a "shadowgraph" of the lens aperture.

I don't know specifically what would cause a rectangular effect. A question such as this probably can only be answered by experimenting with the camera or an identical camera by videotaping a bright star or planet near the horizon.

>Was the color separation produced by refraction in the camera?  
>What component would be responsible for that?

The atmosphere is quite "potent" in causing distant lights to be "split" into color components. See the following for an illustration made possible by the long exposure times and "moving" images.

<http://www.brumac.8k.com/AtmosphereEffect/AtmosphereEffect.html>

There could also be color aberration in the optics. Color separation (refraction) effects should be very small for focused images, but when the lens is defocused there could be some color separation that would add to the atmospheric effect.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 26](#)

## Re: Red Sprites And Blue Jets

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 23:02:43 +0100  
Archived: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 07:56:32 -0400  
Subject: Re: Red Sprites And Blue Jets

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 13:13:06 -0300  
>Subject: Red Sprites And Blue Jets

<snip>

>For years, pilots observed these phenomenon (the phenomena) but  
>seldom reported them. The reason for this was the short duration  
>of the event-about a 10th of a second. Also, in many instances -  
>the blue jets-the lightning was travelling upward instead of  
>down and the pilots didn't want to be accused of seeing things-  
>like a mirage for instance and therefore being accused of seeing  
>things.

<snip>

>So now these poor reporters of phenomena, pilots, are  
>trepidatious about flying over thunderstorms just the same as  
>they are leery of flying near UAP which they don't report in the  
>classic sense anymore. They do report balloons though. It's  
>safer and still gets the position report out there for the next  
>plane transiting that airspace.

Right Don, and there's another danger from 'blue jets' and maybe  
the sprites - gamma ray bursts regularly detected by satellites  
are now known to originate from these events.

<http://elf.gi.alaska.edu/#assoc>

<http://www-star.stanford.edu/~vlf/runaway/#gamma>

<http://spp.astro.umd.edu/?n=lightning&temp=>

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 26](#)

## Why Scientists Think Life Exists On Other Planets

From: **Diana Cammack** <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 08:28:44 +0200  
Archived: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 08:01:06 -0400  
Subject: Why Scientists Think Life Exists On Other Planets

Source: The San Francisco Chronicle

<http://tinyurl.com/25dercs>

October 15, 2010

Why scientists think life exists on other planets

David Perlman  
Chronicle Science Editor

It's only a small planet very much like Earth, and it flies in orbit around a small star much like the sun. It's 120 trillion miles away as most of us count - but only 20 light-years as astronomers see it.

After 11 years studying that planet's path in space through the eyes of the world's largest telescope, astronomers could announce recently that they had finally discovered the very first "exoplanet" whose orbit around its own sun places it clearly inside that distant solar system's "habitable zone", where gravity and temperatures make water plus an atmosphere - and life - clearly possible.

It defies imagination to recognize that if life is at least possible beyond our Earth and our life-giving sun, it could be ubiquitous on some planets everywhere in the galaxy - in some form or other, whether recognizable to us or not.

The news of the planet called Gliese581g came from Steven Vogt of UC Santa Cruz and Paul Butler of the Carnegie Institution in Washington, two veteran searchers for planets far beyond our solar system, and although Butler wouldn't speculate, Vogt said forthrightly that he puts the odds at "100 percent" that some form of life must exist on that planet right now.

Why was Vogt so certain? The best answer is that life on Earth exists literally everywhere, in wildly varied forms and in every conceivable environment, so why not in other environments elsewhere?

Microbes, after all, are even now chewing on hydrocarbons from the disastrous BP oil spill that persists at the bottom of the Gulf of Mexico 2 miles down, where it's perpetually dark and airless.

Even more advanced forms of life, like giant clams, crabs, shrimp and strange tube worms, are now thriving in near-boiling water at the mouths of volcanoes deep undersea. Such life was discovered more than 20 years ago 8,000 feet down in the Galapagos Rift Zone, where giant plates of the Earth's crust are slowly splitting apart and sulfur-eating bacteria have evolved in the airless total darkness to provide nourishing energy for the higher life-forms around them.

The highly radioactive wastewater and toxic sludge left over from the Hanford plutonium reactors in the state of Washington would kill any animal or human instantly. But bacteria called

Deinococcus radiodurans have been discovered multiplying there and withstanding radiation a thousand times the levels deadly to humans.

In the sulfurous gases of a deep, dark South African gold mine, where oxygen is nonexistent and temperatures run higher than 140 degrees, there's a thriving bacterium with a whip-like tail called Desulforudis audaxviator. That creature gets its energy from the decay products of uranium, creates its oxygen from saltwater circulating in the rocks around it, and nourishes itself with organic molecules from water, carbon and the ammonia in the rocks of its happy habitat.

Hardy microbes thrive in the boiling fumaroles and geysers of Mount Lassen and Yellowstone, while others have evolved to colonize the polar ice caps of the Arctic and the frigid glaciers of Antarctica.

All these organisms are known as "extremophiles", and there's no extreme environment on Earth today that isn't home to one or more of them - some with genetic lineages millions of years old.

In fact, every ecological niche on Earth is occupied today by the living creatures that have evolved to fit in there. And, as Vogt said, in Earth's early days our planet must have been bombarded again and again by giant asteroids that destroyed all life here, and each time the sterilized Earth recovered, and life emerged and evolved again and again.

So why not on a planet like Gliese 581g? - or not on that planet, perhaps, but on any one of the hundreds of other "habitable zones" in solar systems throughout the galaxy that are yet to be discovered as the new science of "exoplanet" research continues.

E-mail David Perlman at

[dperlman.nul](mailto:dperlman.nul)

This article appeared on page A - 16 of the San Francisco Chronicle

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 26](#)

## Re: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash

From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 10:51:41 +0200  
Archived: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 08:02:51 -0400  
Subject: Re: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 15:55:30 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash

>>From: D. R. Denocla <[denocla.nul](mailto:denocla.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 20 Oct 2010 21:38:37 +0200  
>>Subject: Radioactive Debris Of The Roswell Crash

>>In July 2010, I was invited by Julie Shuster the Director of the  
>>Roswell UFO Museum and Research Center. I have done a lecture to  
>>present my studies. I saw that the Roswell UFO Museum and  
>>Research Center has a debris of the machine which crashed in the  
>>desert around Roswell in 1947.

><snip>

>The Roswell UFO Museum and Research Center has a debris of a  
>machine that crashed in the desert in '1947? Oh yeah, when did  
>the museum acquire that piece of debris?

>I might seem to be dubious about that claim because in all the  
>years and visits to the museum Julie would ask Schmitt to please  
>find her a piece of debris. The implication being that the  
>museum did not have a piece of whatever crashed in 1947.

Good question, Kathy!

I never heard that UFO debris could be seen at the Roswell  
Museum.

Can anyone confirm that?

Gildas Bourdais

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 27](#)

## Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 14:36:09 -0700  
Archived: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 06:08:15 -0400  
Subject: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

The Atlantic

<http://tinyurl.com/24cjp7x>

Oct 26 2010

### Power Failure Shuts Down Squadron Of Nuclear Missiles

President Obama was briefed this morning on a power failure at F.E. Warren Air Force Base in Wyoming that took 50 nuclear intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs), one-ninth of the U.S. missile stockpile, temporarily offline on Saturday.

The base is a main locus of the United States' strategic nuclear forces. The 90th Missile Wing, headquartered there, controls 150 Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic nuclear missiles. They're on full-time alert and are housed in a variety of bunkers across the base.

On Saturday morning, according to people briefed on what happened, a squadron of ICBMs suddenly dropped down into what's known as "LF Down" status, meaning that the missileers in their bunkers could no longer communicate with the missiles themselves. LF Down status also means that various security protocols built into the missile delivery system, like intrusion alarms and warhead separation alarms, were offline.

[More at site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 27](#)

## Re: MJ-12 Redux

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 09:08:37 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 06:10:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 17:20:31 +0000  
>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 17:35:17 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

<snip>

>Okay, everybody, I didn't want to do this but pretending my  
>response is because I am gullible? I don't think so. Sit back  
>because this is going to take a while to share.

>1} I know all of the MJ-12 documents are fakes because the  
>committee that was advising President Truman was not called MJ-  
>12. MJ-12 was the name Bill Moore fished out of the ethers of  
>archived and defunct covert government projects.

>2} At one time in my life I had a couple of dinner meetings and  
>private conversations with the two people involved in  
>perpetrating the first "MJ-12" documents. They were very  
>specific about how the "emergence" of the fake documents took  
>place.

<snip>

Hi, Kathy,

Like most ufologists I have long harbored suspicions about who  
was behind the MJ-12 papers. Your remarks provide sufficient  
clues to make it clear enough whom you consider the suspects  
(or, in your account, the admitted forgers).

For the sake of the historical record (a matter of particular  
concern to me as a historian of the UFO controversy), I hope you  
will share your full story with us. Surely it's time.

Cordially,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 27](#)

## Re: MJ-12 Redux

From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 11:57:20 -0300  
Archived: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 07:23:01 -0400  
Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 17:20:31 +0000  
>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 17:35:17 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 15:51:11 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

>>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 21:09:10 EDT  
>>>Subject: Re: MJ-12 Redux

<snip>

>Okay, everybody, I didn't want to do this but pretending my  
>response is because I am gullible? I don't think so. Sit back  
>because this is going to take a while to share.

>1} I know all of the MJ-12 documents are fakes because the  
>committee that was advising President Truman was not called MJ-  
>12. MJ-12 was the name Bill Moore fished out of the ethers of  
>archived and defunct covert government projects.

>2} At one time in my life I had a couple of dinner meetings and  
>private conversations with the two people involved in  
>perpetrating the first "MJ-12" documents. They were very  
>specific about how the "emergence" of the fake documents took  
>place.

>3} One of the individuals attempted to involve me in two  
>different ufo/aliens-are-among us hoaxes. He ran into a wall  
>with me because I researched the two items he was pretending  
>were real. You could thank me for stopping yet another couple of  
>hoaxes someone was getting ready to introduce to the ufo  
>community.

>4} During research for a book I wrote, I dug through government  
>documents and discovered the name and personnel of the real  
>working group. So, I had the real info when the next set of "MJ-  
>12" documents came along.

>5} All sets of "MJ-12" documents do not ever mention the names  
>of the real people, except the head of the working group, who  
>participated in the real behind the scenes development of the  
>real space program. It was an easy call for me and not based on  
>gullibility.

>Frankly, Stan, based on my research, I have always thought you  
>were the gullible one.

>6} Because I knew how the first set of "MJ-12" documents were

>presented to the public, it was easy for me to follow the  
>timeline trail back to the emergence of the second set of "MJ-  
>12." Therefore, I determined anything called "MJ-12" documents  
>were fakes. They are not even very good fakes as truly  
>convincing propoganda/disinfo should have real information  
>embedded in it. One name of one of the real head's of the  
>working group doesn't cut it with me.

>7} Because I trust no one, and require background information  
>from at least two sources, I am considered a bitch of a  
>researcher and very difficult to deal with. Just ask Bill Moore.

What a fascinating post. Hard to find any facts but loads of  
claims. Why not just say "trust me"? No names no facts, no  
Evidence.

Even if we are so generous as to say that KK never lies, how  
does that make anything you said true? Which documents are you  
talking about? Set 1 and set 2? Newer version? Not very  
specific.

Are we really supposed to believe that the first 3 Directors of  
Central Intelligence Souers,RHH,Vandenberg, the first secretary  
of Defense,Forrestal, and Roosevelt's "science advisor", Bush,  
weren't involved?

Where are the specific things wrong with EBD, TF, CT? Details  
please.

Where did I go wrong in my long 4 part article on my website or  
have you not bothered to read it because you are psychic?

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 27](#)

## Post-Disclosure

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 14:29:27 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 07:25:41 -0400  
Subject: Post-Disclosure

What if they are real?

There has been a lot of discussion about the consequences of 'disclosure'. The recent book After Disclosure provides an in-depth discussion - which I have not yet read.

My own take on this was first published about 23 years ago:

<http://www.brumac.8k.com/Whatifreal/WhatifReal2010.htm>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 27](#)

## Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 09:08:53 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 14:27:39 -0400  
Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 14:36:09 -0700  
>References: <[4.3.2.7.2.20101021110929.02bfaef8.nul](mailto:4.3.2.7.2.20101021110929.02bfaef8.nul)>  
>Subject: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>The Atlantic  
>  
><http://tinyurl.com/24cjp7x>

>Oct 26 2010

>Power Failure Shuts Down Squadron Of Nuclear Missiles

>President Obama was briefed this morning on a power failure at  
>F.E. Warren Air Force Base in Wyoming that took 50 nuclear  
>intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs), one-ninth of the  
>U.S. missile stockpile, temporarily offline on Saturday.

>The base is a main locus of the United States' strategic nuclear  
>forces. The 90th Missile Wing, headquartered there, controls 150  
>Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic nuclear missiles.  
>They're on full-time alert and are housed in a variety of  
>bunkers across the base.

>On Saturday morning, according to people briefed on what  
>happened, a squadron of ICBMs suddenly dropped down into what's  
>known as "LF Down" status, meaning that the missileers in their  
>bunkers could no longer communicate with the missiles  
>themselves. LF Down status also means that various security  
>protocols built into the missile delivery system, like intrusion  
>alarms and warhead separation alarms, were offline.

>[More at site]

The site's been updated in a rather unusual way -- two instances of the phrase "power failure" now show a strikeout on the word power, showing that the information has changed. "Boeing E-6 NAOC 'Kneecap' aircraft" now has strikeouts through it. Can't tell if the original phrase was "engineering power failure," but is now "engineering failure." (Ed, did you happen to notice?)

They say "a launch control center computer (LCC), responsible for a package of at least five missiles, usually ten of them, began to "ping" out of sequence, resulting in a surge of "noise" through the system. The LCCs interrogate each missile in sequence, so if they begin to send signals out when they're not supposed to, receivers on the missiles themselves will notice this and send out error codes."

[snip]

"According to the official, engineers discovered that similar hardware failures had triggered a similar cascading failure 12 years ago at Minot AFB in North Dakota and Malmstrom AFB in Montana. That piece of hardware is the prime suspect."

Carol Maltby

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 27](#)

## Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 13:45:41 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 14:30:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 14:36:09 -0700  
>Subject: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>The Atlantic

><http://tinyurl.com/24cjp7x>

>Oct 26 2010

>Power Failure Shuts Down Squadron Of Nuclear Missiles

>President Obama was briefed this morning on a power failure at F.E. Warren Air Force Base in Wyoming that took 50 nuclear intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs), one-ninth of the U.S. missile stockpile, temporarily offline on Saturday.

>The base is a main locus of the United States' strategic nuclear forces. The 90th Missile Wing, headquartered there, controls 150 Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic nuclear >missiles.

>They're on full-time alert and are housed in a variety of bunkers across the base.

>On Saturday morning, according to people briefed on what happened, a squadron of ICBMs suddenly dropped down into what's known as "LF Down" status, meaning that the >missiles in their bunkers could no longer communicate with the missiles themselves. LF Down status also means that various security protocols built into the missile delivery >system, like intrusion alarms and warhead separation alarms, were offline.

[snip]

I would note that the article goes on to state that:

"According to the official, engineers believe that a launch control center computer (LCC), responsible for a package of at least five missiles, usually ten of them, began to "ping" out of sequence, resulting in a surge of "noise" through the system. The LCCs interrogate each missile in sequence, so if they begin to send signals out when they're not supposed to, receivers on the missiles themselves will notice this and send out error codes."

Is there any reason to believe there is a UFO connection? Just curious.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 28](#)

## Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 14:26:31 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 07:47:01 -0400  
Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 13:45:41 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 14:36:09 -0700  
>>Subject: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>>The Atlantic

>><http://tinyurl.com/24cjp7x>

>>Oct 26 2010

>>Power Failure Shuts Down Squadron Of Nuclear Missiles

>>President Obama was briefed this morning on a power failure at F.E. Warren  
>Air Force Base in Wyoming that took 50 nuclear intercontinental ballistic  
>missiles (ICBMs), one-ninth of the U.S. missile stockpile, temporarily  
>offline on Saturday.

>>The base is a main locus of the United States' strategic nuclear forces.  
>The 90th Missile Wing, headquartered there, controls 150 Minuteman III  
>intercontinental ballistic nuclear >missiles.

>>They're on full-time alert and are housed in a variety of bunkers across  
>the base.

>>On Saturday morning, according to people briefed on what happened, a  
>squadron of ICBMs suddenly dropped down into what's known as "LF Down"  
>status, meaning that the >missiles in their bunkers could no longer  
>communicate with the missiles themselves. LF Down status also means that  
>various security protocols built into the missile delivery >system, like  
>intrusion alarms and warhead separation alarms, were offline.

><snip>

>I would note that the article goes on to state that:

>"According to the official, engineers believe that a launch  
>control center computer (LCC), responsible for a package of at  
>least five missiles, usually ten of them, began to "ping" out of  
>sequence, resulting in a surge of "noise" through the system.  
>The LCCs interrogate each missile in sequence, so if they begin  
>to send signals out when they're not supposed to, receivers on  
>the missiles themselves will notice this and send out error  
>codes."

>Is there any reason to believe there is a UFO connection? Just  
>curious.

I'm curious what Hastings and Salas have to say.

My understanding was that all these missiles were independently  
powered and had very separate electronics to preclude any  
problem such as the one described.

Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

Were they all on the same Wal-Mart extension cord, or what?!

[alienview.nul](#)

>[www.AlienView.net](#)

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 28](#)

## Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 18:53:41 -0300  
Archived: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 07:49:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 14:36:09 -0700  
>Subject: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>The Atlantic

><http://tinyurl.com/24cjp7x>

>Oct 26 2010

>Power Failure Shuts Down Squadron Of Nuclear Missiles

>President Obama was briefed this morning on a power failure at  
>F.E. Warren Air Force Base in Wyoming that took 50 nuclear  
>intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs), one-ninth of the  
>U.S. missile stockpile, temporarily offline on Saturday.

<snip>

The question is, what caused the hardware failures?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 28](#)

## Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 15:58:32 -0700  
Archived: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 07:52:44 -0400  
Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 13:45:41 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 14:36:09 -0700  
>>Subject: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>>The Atlantic

>><http://tinyurl.com/24cjp7x>

>>Oct 26 2010

>>Power Failure Shuts Down Squadron Of Nuclear Missiles

>>President Obama was briefed this morning on a power failure at  
>>F.E. Warren Air Force Base in Wyoming that took 50 nuclear  
>>intercontinental ballistic >missiles (ICBMs), one->ninth of the  
>>U.S. missile stockpile, temporarily offline on Saturday.

<snip>

>I would note that the article goes on to state that:

>"According to the official, engineers believe that a launch  
>control center computer (LCC), responsible for a package of at  
>least five missiles, usually ten of them, began to "ping" out of  
>sequence, resulting in a surge of "noise" through the system.  
>The LCCs interrogate each missile in sequence, so if they begin  
>to send signals out when they're not supposed to, receivers on  
>the missiles themselves will notice this and send out error  
>codes."

>Is there any reason to believe there is a UFO connection? Just  
>curious.

Hi, Steve & List,

No, there is, as yet, no indication of a UFO connection of any kind being reported by the press. I read the article in the Atlantic also, and a few other online media reports, and it is intriguing, however, to note that initial reports said the possible cause of the problem ranged from an underground power cable being snagged by possible excavation on base, to a hardware problem of some unidentified kind, to now, in the latest reports, to some kind of unidentified "computer problem", where some kind of electronic interference was somehow injected into the comm lines of these missile sites for a little while.

I have a feeling, due to the national security issues, that the actual source or cause of the failure of these 50 missiles, for about 45 minutes, will not be disclosed anytime soon.

But isn't it interesting that not just one, but several groups of missiles all suddenly were not able to be communicated with for that period of time before somehow returning to normal?

One would think that the separate missile groups at F.E. Warren AFB, and the other launch sites at two other AFB locations (we have a total of about 450 individual missile sites within the US, some of which have multiple warheads, or are MIRV'ed), would have at least triply redundant, independent power supplies, and various back-up power systems at each site, or UPS systems, and that all 50 missiles would not be able to all go down at once.

How could or does that happen? Why did it last nearly an hour? How and why did they all come back up after this interim failure? I would guess it's pretty natural to think there might be some possible EM or EMP effect that could have knocked all these missiles off-line around the same time, since the recent press conference by Hastings and Salas regarding the potential or real connection in past years between UFOs and nukes being similarly, temporarily affected in completely unexpected ways was most recently in the news, but this is still rather strange.

It would be interesting to find out if Hastings, Salas, or others get any reports from Warren AFB about any recent UFO incidents or sightings on or near Warren, at which time then maybe there will be something more to consider about any possible linkage. Until then, it remains yet another "missile mystery," which is disturbing enough, regardless of cause, since one would think that power sources, comm lines, and computer systems would not be designed or operated to allow any such massive if temporary outage on our nuclear missile systems.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 28](#)

## Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 16:22:56 -0700  
Archived: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 07:54:29 -0400  
Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

<snip>

I just came across this latest new report, from CNN, about the possible cause for the missile failure:

<http://tinyurl.com/27m2wzz>

Apparently, one missile launch control center had a computer related hardware problem that affected the other four involved, and the four are now back online and the system is functioning normally, but the one LCF center where the problem originated is still offline and being investigated. It seems a pair of similar "cascading" failures also occurred in the 90's at both Minot and Malmstrom Air Force Bases, and for very similar reasons and kinds of failure. Strange that these two earlier systemic failures did not result in some rework that would have prevented the Warren AFB system from such a similar failure. I initially thought that these missiles were all on Warren AFB, but it seems that some of these missile pods are up to hundreds of miles away from Warren, or not clustered on base, to prevent a single missile strike from taking them all out at once.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 28](#)

## Re: Post-Disclosure

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 18:50:18 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 07:57:39 -0400  
Subject: Re: Post-Disclosure

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 14:29:27 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Post-Disclosure

>What if they are real?

>There has been a lot of discussion about the consequences of  
>'disclosure'. The recent book After Disclosure provides an  
>in-depth discussion - which I have not yet read.

>My own take on this was first published about 23 years ago:

><http://www.brumac.8k.com/Whatifreal/WhatifReal2010.htm>

I'd like to add to what you've written, Dr. Maccabee.

We're really talking about an emergency response scenario. In this case, it's a regional or global emergency.

Most emergency response scenarios include a few phases, never easy, but well-known, like the following:

1. Emergency
2. Initial uncertainty
3. Detect and notify: determine the problem; then notify those relevant to the response, like a chain of command, emergency responders, necessary co-workers, family members, etc., while ensuring communication is two-way
4. Isolate and contain: where possible, secure the emergency area for appropriate emergency responses
5. Evaluate the response and any planning and activities needed to return to (the new?) normal
6. Return to (the new?) normal

But what is normal? Normal includes meeting the common needs of the people. Needs common to all humans would by nature be fundamentally on the fence as far as finances, philosophies, affiliations, and faith-systems.

Abraham Maslow theorized needs met to a level of individualistic and independent adulthood.

<http://tinyurl.com/6ujce>

His hierarchy of human needs is usually illustrated using a five level pyramid. He concluded that most high-achieving adults begin life getting their physiological needs met. Once these basic needs are met, they progress through personal safety, love and belonging, and self-esteem needs. Eventually they become "self-actualized"; they realize their true potential, or "What a man can be, he must be."

But Maslow's theory isn't without criticism. Among those criticisms, some have pointed to a lack of a hierarchy and an overlapping of needs in real-life. For example, poverty can be the result of a lack in any Maslow needs area, which is not always solved by attaining a higher level than physiological needs. Critics also refer to the ethnocentric nature of the original hierarchy, based on Western individualistic societies, compared with collectivistic societies.

There are other criticisms.

Real life includes much more than Maslow has shown. Real life is not just achieving individualistic and independent adulthood. Real life includes family, the building block of society.

<http://tinyurl.com/25welvs>

So Maslow's hierarchy was updated to replace self-actualization with mate acquisition, mate retention and parenting.

<http://tinyurl.com/25fojx5>

This updated hierarchy of needs places family-building and parenting at the highest levels.

Also, all needs overlap each other; meeting one need doesn't mean one can focus on other needs alone. We focus on what's the most immediate.

So, I see our return to normal, after our initial response to a regional or global emergency, as a return to building families - the building blocks of our society - in whatever "new" normal we might find ourselves in.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 28](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 00:12:57 EDT  
Archived: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 07:58:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 12:47:27 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 23:01:09 EDT  
>>Subject: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>I'm sorry if I go against the grain again regarding the ETH.

>>I wrote an article about how bogus this theory was and got  
>>lambasted by the people who still swear the Space Brothers are  
>>trying to save the Earth.

>>I'm still waiting, like many others, for some physical proof to  
>>emerge. I still don't buy the theory that every government on  
>>Earth can hide every little detail about any form of  
>>extraterrestrial visit nor that space aliens built the Great  
>>Pyramids, Stonehenge, helped Moses cross the Red Sea nor  
>>invented the recipe for Wild Blueberry Muffins.

><snip>

>Real flimsy straw-man you built there. The ETH has nothing to do  
>with contactees, with aliens in cahoots with the world's  
>government, etc. Instead, the ETH is only a possible hypothesis  
>concerning the nature and origin of some UFOs.

Yeah I know it's one theory but you know what the heck I'm  
talking about Jason.

Best,

Greg  
[ufomafia.com/blog](http://ufomafia.com/blog)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 28](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 00:19:39 EDT  
Archived: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 07:59:59 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 12:51:45 -0400  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 10:38:36 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 23:01:09 EDT  
>>Subject: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>I'm sorry if I go against the grain again regarding the ETH.

>>I wrote an article about how bogus this theory was and got  
>>trying to save the Earth.

><snip>

>>The post goes on in this vein for some paragraphs, though it  
>>never becomes clear what its point is. It is clear, however,  
>>that it's not about the ETH.

>>ETH stands for "Extraterrestrial Hypothesis". To contactees,  
>>whose claims comprise the bulk of the target here, there is no  
>>"hypothesis" related to ETs. There is only certainty. Nor are  
>>there UFOs, because the flying objects have been identified as  
>>spaceships piloted by angelic beings. That is why the religious-  
>>studies scholar J. Gordon Melton has written that flying saucers  
>>are one thing, UFOs another.

>>The ETH is a speculative inference - an implicit acknowledgement  
>>of tentativeness and uncertainty - which some ufologists have  
>>drawn from UFO reports, not from contactee stories.

>Jerry

>Do you define "contactees" as those who have alien encounter  
>experiences i.e. medical procedures, implants, hybridization,  
>etc... or are you referring to contactees in the sense of Meier,  
>or Adamski and their ilk?

>The former definition's info and stance of certainty is largely  
>garnered from the demonstrably faulty and irresponsibly employed  
>"hypnotic regression therapy" - many of those having the  
>experience who've not subscribed to such hypnotically retrieved  
>garbage, do not see this as ET.

>Granted, they do not make up the bulk of accounts. Unfortunately  
>the junk pseudo psychology (if one could even call it that) has  
>permeated this area of the subject and painted a woefully  
>inaccurate picture of the experience.

Ever since the U.S. first implied that then Flying Saucers may  
be of interplanetary origin, it then meandered into  
extraterrestrials having to do with just about every mystery

from building the great Pyramids of Giza to inspiring the story of Rumpelstiltskin.

Nowadays if you don't support the ETH and it's offspring you get lambasted but I remember when supporting the ETH and any related matters would get you tossed into a psychiatric hospital, fired, beaten up and ostracized.

No physical proof in 60 plus years and I stand my ground until otherwise.

As long as it remains unresolved it opens the door to the arbitrariness that we have now.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com/blog

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 28](#)

## Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

From: **Stephen Bassett** <[PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 13:18:32 +0100  
Archived: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 08:55:31 -0400  
Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

There are two rather remarkable aspects of the recent nuclear missile stand down.

- 1) I do not recall the U.S. military EVER acknowledging a multiple nuclear missile shut down prior to this.
- 2) Such an acknowledgment comes just 30 days after a press conference claiming past UFO/ET interference with nuclear weapons and two weeks after the end of an international news cycle about that press conference.

We live in a time when all such 'coincidences' require much consideration.

SB

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 28](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 08:52:34 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 09:59:16 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 00:19:39 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 12:51:45 -0400  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](#)>  
>>>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 10:38:36 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 23:01:09 EDT  
>>>Subject: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>Nowadays if you don't support the ETH and it's offspring you get  
>lambasted but I remember when supporting the ETH and any related  
>matters would get you tossed into a psychiatric hospital, fired,  
>beaten up and ostracized.

Opposition to the ETH has been pretty much arguably, the  
mainstream position in ufology since the heyday of Jacques  
Vallee and John Keel. So has the rhetorical trope that one has  
made oneself a martyr by opposing the ETH.

But in your case, Greg, you don't even seem to grasp what the  
ETH is or what it's based upon. Perhaps it's a subject you ought  
just to let be.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 29](#)

## Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 16:34:06 +0100  
Archived: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 07:39:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>From: Stephen Bassett <[PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 13:18:32 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>There are two rather remarkable aspects of the recent nuclear  
>missile stand down.

>1) I do not recall the U.S. military EVER acknowledging a  
>multiple nuclear missile shut down prior to this.

>2) Such an acknowledgment comes just 30 days after a press  
>conference claiming past UFO/ET interference with nuclear  
>weapons and two weeks after the end of an international news  
>cycle about that press conference.

>We live in a time when all such 'coincidences' require much  
>consideration.

Good thinking and applying the age-old test of 'cui bono' (to  
whose benefit is this?), it seems that the present release of  
'information' is simple mis-direction and diversion.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 29](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 11:45:31 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 07:43:01 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 08:52:34 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 00:19:39 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

>Nowadays if you don't support the ETH and it's offspring you get  
>lambasted but I remember when supporting the ETH and any related  
> matters would get you tossed into a psychiatric hospital, fired,  
> beaten up and ostracized.

>Opposition to the ETH has been pretty much arguably, the  
> mainstream position in ufology since the heyday of Jacques  
> Vallee and John Keel. So has the rhetorical trope that one has  
> made oneself a martyr by opposing the ETH.

>But in your case, Greg, you don't even seem to grasp what the  
>ETH is or what it's based upon. Perhaps it's a subject you ought  
>just to let be.

>Jerry Clark

Greg is precisely correct about the reaction to ETH opposition -  
and Jerry, with all due respect sir, if you think otherwise  
you're completely out of touch. I don't think we're speaking in  
terms exclusive of researchers, but in terms of public view and  
reaction. (right Greg?) But, some researchers too, can be and  
are just as closed to the notion that we're not talking about  
spacemen. Try bringing up non-nuts and bolts issues to these  
folks and watch what you get.

This nasty reaction of alternate ideas isn't new, but might be  
based on who is "center stage" as far as popular view - and  
right now the exopolitics bunch is getting the most mainstream  
attention. And, again, I can tell you from personal experience,  
that crowd doesn't like the notion that this may not be  
extraterrestrials.

At a gathering in DC, my broadcast partner Jeremy Vaeni stood up  
and asked the speaking panel what evidence was there that  
solidified this as an ET based phenomenon - and how one could  
build a foundation of protocol based on a theory? (at best).

The crowd got extremely aghast, with more than one attendee  
saying "what the hell are you here for?" Stephen Bassett then  
went on to proclaim it was confirmed extraterrestrials at high  
volume (among other vernaculars of alleged "fact") as he pounded  
his fist into his hand. Bassett also said he'd debate this  
anytime, anyplace, and anywhere.

As he's on this List, perhaps we could find out just how the  
hell he's got the answers. Same old thing: people who have

elevated themselves in ufology to a position of public influence spouting unfounded proclamations.

Now we can easily say exopols don't represent the whole and that's true. However to most of the interested UFO public, this is still the end-all answer to their own wish fulfillment. It then morphs into belief, and we all know what happens after that. There's no talking sense.

So Greg - You're absolutely right about the opposition - it's venomous reactions to a belief system being questioned, and one that doesn't seem to represent the data very well in my opinion. There may not be, as Jerry has said, one unified answer - but any questioning of the status quo of theories quickly earns you the ire of many.

Thankfully, there are those open to abstract views and the complexities within this phenomenon, willing to question.

Jeff Ritzmann

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 29](#)

## USS Franklin D. Roosevelt Sighting Entries

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 16:56:42 +0100 (BST)  
Archived: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 07:47:01 -0400  
Subject: USS Franklin D. Roosevelt Sighting Entries

Dear Colleagues:

At a web site devoted to the USS Franklin D. Roosevelt:

<http://ussfranklindroosevelt.com/>

there is an operations history comprised of entries made from many (unsigned) people over the years:

<http://tinyurl.com/28r5kkj>

There appear several entries related to UFO sightings:

520920 UFO sighting - Scotland  
560726 UFO sighting - Rio de Janeiro  
581077 UFO sighting - Cuba  
730926 UFO sighting - Spain

A colleague has retrieved the original desk logs of the ship for case 730926 and has found out that there is no mention to any UFO sighting there.

I know there was a UFO (an IFO, really) sighting in the area, unconnected to the ship, this was the reason I wanted to see what records the ship might have about it, if any.

However, as said, no word appears about a UFO sighting in the official logs. It may probably be the case for the 3 other entries.

Therefore, I have to conclude that someone external to the ship's records made the entries to note that at those dates there were UFO sightings in the area. It can be either a UFO investigator or a crew member.

This message is addressed to the person who made the entries to identify himself, either to this List or to me directly, for private consultation purposes.

Many thanks in advance.

Sincerely,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos  
FOTOCAT Project  
<http://fotocat.blogspot.com/>  
Valencia, Spain

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 29](#)

## Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

From: Robert Hastings <[hastings444.nul](mailto:hastings444.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 11:29:36 -0600 (MDT)  
Archived: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 07:51:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 14:26:31 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 13:45:41 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 14:36:09 -0700  
>>>Subject: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>>>The Atlantic

>>><http://tinyurl.com/24cjp7x>

>>>Oct 26 2010

>>>Power Failure Shuts Down Squadron Of Nuclear Missiles

>>>President Obama was briefed this morning on a power failure  
>>>at F.E. Warren Air Force Base in Wyoming that took 50 nuclear  
>>>intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs), one-ninth of  
>>>the U.S. missile stockpile, temporarily offline on Saturday.

<snip>

>>Is there any reason to believe there is a UFO connection? Just  
>>curious.

>I'm curious what Hastings and Salas have to say.

>My understanding was that all these missiles were independently  
>powered and had very separate electronics to preclude any  
>problem such as the one described.

>Were they all on the same Wal-Mart extension cord, or what?!

I am on the lecture circuit and in Boston this week but will  
begin investigating this when I get home next week.

Robert

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 29](#)

### Raytheon Defense Division Update

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 19:42:52 +0000  
Archived: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 07:54:28 -0400  
Subject: Raytheon Defense Division Update

Date & Time: 10/27/10 @ 10:00 AM

Location: East Side Center  
Green Valley Recreation Center  
Green Valley, AZ

Speaker: Lt. Gen. Thomas Keck  
Vice President in charge of Air Force Projects  
Defense Division of Raytheon Missile Systems

Gen. Keck related a list of the types of air craft he has flown. It included the SR-71, commonly known as Blackbird. Plus, his meeting with Pres. Bush on 9/11/2001 when the President landed at Barstow AFB in Shreveport, LA to review the troops. It was during Barstow's week long drill in war preparedness. All pilots were in their cockpits ready to take off when the President landed after informing the base twenty minutes earlier he would be at Barstow AFB. Gen. Keck thought that the President landed to give the order to "Go." All he said to Gen. Keck was "Good" when Keck told him they were ready to go. Everybody was pumped to go after the people had attacked America.

Raytheon employs 12,000 people; 6500 are engineers.

#### The Update

Operators involved with controlling UAVs have wanted an upgrade to "cockpit experience" and Raytheon has responded with the new RPV. Remote Piloted Vehicle. The new system allows for better control of the air craft. Based on Gen. Keck's responses to questions from the audience, I realized it would be pointless to ask as to what the specific upgrades had been.

I would guess that the air craft with non-normal military lighting array, I reported on in this group, could easily have been a test flight. If you will remember the air craft had four red lights. One under the nose, one under the tail and one each on the underside of the wing. {I hope Don Ledger will appreciate this info.}

Most disturbing to me was the fact that Raytheon has upgraded its non-lethal devices. The devices have a new name. Active Denial. Orwellian double speak? Gen. Keck repeated several times that the "beam" only produced a burning sensation. When the "beam" was turned off, the burning sense disappeared. It caused no permanent damage to the target.

Oh yeah. In another breath, Gen. Keck proudly stated that the "beam" caused the target to drop whatever was in their hands. He also slid over the answer to an audience members question by pretending the questioner had asked about laser technology as the method of delivery.

Knowing a thing or two about non-lethal technology, I know that it isn't always just a burning sensation. Depending on the frequency of the electromagnetic output, it can do some real damage. Albeit, undetected on the surface of the target. However, if set to the right frequency it can kill, maim and destroy.

I guess the Denial aspect of the technology would allow the operators to say something like, "what are you talking about; we didn't do anything." The so-called non-lethal weaponry has been upgraded and suggested use would be on people demonstrating - from Gen. Keck's statement. This is very disturbing to me.

#### Closing Opinion

I know you all have been reassured that cell phone usage is totally safe. However, there is data showing it could go either way - safe or unsafe. It is all going to depend on amount of cell phone usage. Over the years, the data will show your brain wasn't fried because of the pot you smoked, but the many conversations you had using your cell phone.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 29](#)

## The Project Portal Fraud Is Back!

From: **Thiago Luiz Ticchetti** <[ticchetti.nul](mailto:ticchetti.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 03:39:46 -0700 (PDT)  
Archived: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 08:01:00 -0400  
Subject: The Project Portal Fraud Is Back!

About 15 years ago Brazilian UFO researchers were shocked with new sensationalistic stories coming from a UFO group called Project Portal, which soon were seen as inventions and lies, as such group as clearly identified as a UFO sect. It is known by everyone in Brazil that the group has a fanatic behavior much beyond any other known anywhere else in the world. The followers of this UFO sect believe in their guru 100% and take any single invented story as a concrete fact without questioning.

In the early 2000 Project Portal leader invented that a UFO conveniently crashed at their compound, located in a farm in the state of Mato Grosso do Sul, 600 miles from Sao Paulo. Nothing could be more hilarious than the "evidences" they presented to support the extraordinary claim. Just a few months after that, they came up with another story and their leader, Urandir Fernandes de Oliveira, invented that he was abducted in his own bedroom by a beam of light, which included burn signals in a bed shit and ceiling, all poorly staged to create a dramatic circumstance and attract more believers.

At that occasion, unexpectedly by the Brazilian UFO Community, US researcher Linda Moulton Howe came to Brazil to "evaluate" the case. While here, she contacted no UFO researcher (all could give her info about the sect and its leader), spoke to no member of the Brazilian media and yet she immediately supported that 'case', that now is generally known and even admitted by several of the sect followers as a staged fake.

<http://www.earthfiles.com/news.php?ID=3D447&category=3DReal> X-Files  
<http://www.earthfiles.com/news.php?ID=3D448&category=3DReal> X-Files

At that time, Brazilian UFO researcher A. J. Gevaerd, editor of the Brazilian UFO Magazine, had a serious argument with Linda Moulton Howe about it on the Net. He had been investigating Project Portal for years and found that every little piece of their long repertory of stories is nothing but poor inventions, some ridiculous. Including the faked UFO crash, the faked abduction supported by LMH and a few other stuff. He was contacted by her while she was still in the State and spent time explaining to her what the stories are all about.

Despite that, as Gevaerd tells, she came to Brazil without letting any of the local UFO researchers aware of that. Even Gevaerd, who informed her about the sect. And even despite the fact that he lived in the town where she landed, "just a few minutes from the airport", he told me, and could go see her as a courtesy visit, she did not contact him at all. A. J. quit investigating and exposing the sect Project Portal and its leader Urandir Fernandes de Oliveira in 2004, after a second anonymous life threat he received, but others kept doing so and kept exposing the hoaxes. They are many.

<http://www.rense.com/general65/brazilufologyoutrage.htm>  
<http://ufoupdateslist.com/2003/jun/m13-019.shtml>  
<http://www.seattlechatclub.org/urandir.html>  
<http://www.ufowatchdog.com/brazil.htm>

Now, just recently, Project Portal had been in the media again

in a series of TV programs claiming that now they have an "exclusive ET" in their compound, an alleged alien that came thousand of light years to visit the sect and apparently give his cosmic support to the sect activities. Yes, this is what they say, believe it or not. They named the alien "Bilu", a funny and popular name generally given to cats and dogs in Brazil. The TV crews could film the "exotic alien" and the footage was first aired and then analyzed by our best image forensic expert, a scientist from the University of Campinas always requested to solve serious crime cases. He completely destroyed the idea that the footage had an ET. And laughed about. "If that is a ET, then I am a ET too".

Ricardo Molina, whose expertise is worldwidely recognized, said what all Brazilian UFO researchers knew: the so called alien was nothing but one of Project Portal=80=99s employees using a helmet in the dark, faking his voice to look like an "adolescent alien", because the sect followers believe that Bilu is a teenager extraterrestrial. Yeah. The whole thing is absolutely outrageous and ridiculous, and Project Portal is nothing but deceiving people, and in the most absurd way. Take a look for yourselves.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3DByRRd5E7MBA&feature=3Drelated>

Now, the man behind this thing, Urandir Fernandes de Oliveira, was the same that invented that he was abducted in 2002 and that a UFO conveniently crashed at his farm, where Project Portal compound is located and to where thousands of people go every year looking for healing and "energy" from aliens, of course, leaving there considerable sums of money. Urandir was the person so energetically supported by LMH.

I just wanted you to know...

Regards,

Thiago Luiz Ticchetti  
Coordenador da Revista UFO Brasil: [www.ufo.com.br](http://www.ufo.com.br)

Blog: <http://www.ufo.com.br/blog/thiagoticchetti>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 29](#)

## Re: Praying Mantis Type Alien

From: **Larry W Bryant** <[overtci.nul](mailto:overtci.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 18:01:13 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 08:25:39 -0400  
Subject: Re: Praying Mantis Type Alien

-----

From: Michael Christol  
To:  
Date: Sun, Oct 24, 2010 at 11:26 AM  
Subject: Praying Mantis Type Alien

As a researcher, I have encountered many types of UFO and Alien reports. Among them, the occasional report emerges of a Praying Mantis type being.

I had a friend, many years ago, by the name of Clark. I once traveled to California to visit with Clark and some other friends. We spent an invigorating week end in the Sierra Nevada Mountains and discussed many of our personal accounts with UFOs and Aliens.

I had seen UFOs, but to my knowledge had never seen an Alien.

Once I returned home, I continued my conversations with Clark. It was during one of these phone conversations that Clark confided in me of his encounter with a Praying Mantis-type alien. Because of hearing his experience all those years ago, I thought of him as I was reading the linked encounter.

I have since lost touch with Clark, and was told not to long ago that he had passed from this plane of expression. If that is not so, and somehow Clark reads this story, I hope he will contact me.

If any of you have similar stories you would like to share, pleas feel free to contact me as well.

I would like to thank Donna for sharing this link with me and our group during a recent Skype chat:

[http://ufobc.ca/Beyond/prayingmantis/prayingmantis\\_1.htm](http://ufobc.ca/Beyond/prayingmantis/prayingmantis_1.htm)

Mike

-----

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 25](#)

## News Links - 24-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 09:55:03 -0400  
Archived: Mon, 25 Oct 2010 09:55:03 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 24-10-10

News Links - 24-10-10

Alabama Physicist Reports 'Potentially Odd' Lights  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/394nr2y>

UFO Sightings Richmond =96 The Latest Triangle UFO Sightings  
Knowelty  
<http://tinyurl.com/39k2q36>

UFO Over Texas & Richmond Virginia 2010 "Sightings" - Video  
ADAN News  
<http://tinyurl.com/2usdwhb>

Georgia Couple Captures Disc-Shaped UFO On Photo Along Peachtree  
Parkway  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/33b8lud>

UFO Fever: Now UFO Over Phoenix, Arizona? Residents Report  
Mysterious Lights  
NYNewsPost  
<http://tinyurl.com/2vy27dd>

Saturday Night UFO sIghting In Phoenix Determined To Be Flares  
By Air Force  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/2v42k73>

Retired Turkish General Claims Close Encounter With UFOs  
Hurriyet Daily News  
<http://tinyurl.com/2e3mov5>

UFOs Photographed In Kunming At Night  
People's Daily Online  
<http://tinyurl.com/2w47zmg>

UFO Over China (Kunming) Photographed: Why Sudden Spurt In UFO  
Sightings?  
NYNewsPost  
<http://tinyurl.com/2fw7jpy>

Was It A Plane, A Balloon, UFO? Valley Residents See Mysterious  
Lights  
KNXV-TV  
<http://tinyurl.com/3317sj8>

Did You See Something Unidentified In The Sky?  
Daily Comet  
<http://tinyurl.com/2vngdv7>

UFO DefCon National Rating System: Alerts Public To UFO Hot Spots  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2cmstsc>

Clare Is A Hot Spot For UFO Sightings

The Clare People  
<http://tinyurl.com/3xd2fz6>

Will The Recent Spike In Ufo Sightings Help Denver's 'UFO Initiative' Pass?  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2wassan>

Skeptics Bungle Attack On Initiative 300 ET Affairs Commission  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/29ou3zf>

UFO Expert Talks About 'Years Of Data'  
The Morning Sun  
<http://tinyurl.com/2bzegmz>

UFO Cases That Generate Buzz  
msnbc.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/29p2ucl>

China UFO Sightings: Shanxi Vs Shaanxi Provinces And Current Status  
Tucson Citizen  
<http://tinyurl.com/2dakq6o>

Latest UFO Sightings Over China, New York, Texas, Virginia, Florida: The List Keeps Growing  
USANewsWeek.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2wjk7w9>

Be Careful What You Say To Space Aliens  
KPBS  
<http://tinyurl.com/22pubwv>

UFO Mystique  
mydigitalfc.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2uel74n>

Edgar Cayce's ARE  
PR.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2urvsvc>

=46rom 'The Norm':

Keep The Chatter Coming, Guys  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/3a9o8c3>

At Least O.J. Sold Pizzas  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/34apqu3>

Scary Chupacabras Monster Is As Much Victim As Villain  
PhysOrg.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/37vw7c9>

The Habitability of Gliese 581d  
universetoday.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/3akruuy>

Hawking Versus God: What Did The Physicist Really Say About The Deity?  
ScientificAmerican.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/22ukjry>

CERN Scientists Eye Parallel Universe Breakthrough  
NewsDaily.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/389bvah>

Falling Brains Dept:

ET Council: We Will Increase UFOs, Address U.N. In 2014, Renew Ecology In 2015  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2vl6jax>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 29](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 08:49:16 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 10:08:07 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 11:45:31 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 08:52:34 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 00:19:39 EDT  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

>>>Nowadays if you don't support the ETH and it's offspring you get  
>>>lambasted but I remember when supporting the ETH and any related  
>>> matters would get you tossed into a psychiatric hospital, fired,  
>>>beaten up and ostracized.

>>Opposition to the ETH has been pretty much arguably, the  
>>mainstream position in ufology since the heyday of Jacques  
>>Vallee and John Keel. So has the rhetorical trope that one has  
>>made oneself a martyr by opposing the ETH.

>>But in your case, Greg, you don't even seem to grasp what the  
>>ETH is or what it's based upon. Perhaps it's a subject you ought  
>>just to let be.

>Greg is precisely correct about the reaction to ETH opposition -  
>and Jerry, with all due respect sir, if you think otherwise  
>you're completely out of touch. I don't think we're speaking in  
>terms exclusive of researchers, but in terms of public view and  
>reaction. (right Greg?) But, some researchers too, can be and  
>are just as closed to the notion that we're not talking about  
>spacemen. Try bringing up non-nuts and bolts issues to these  
>folks and watch what you get.

Oh, boy.

As I wrote in a follow-up message (unposted as I write these  
words), the ETH is widely mistaken for the consensus view of  
ufologists because non-ufologists who deal with it on cable TV  
and in popular culture always make that equation. For one  
thing, they know no better, and for another, the real  
complexities of the phenomenon are hard to portray for the  
casually interested.

That's the good pro-ufologist news: it's not their fault. As for  
the bad, a great many ufologists pay no attention to  
developments in planetary science and exobiology which, if they  
were sympathetic to the ETH, they would find exciting and  
revelatory. Thus, they have no clue how the ETH and modern  
astronomy are less in conflict than they ever were.

For reasons that sometimes make little sense, many people within  
the UFO subculture remain as hostile to the ETH as they were

when Vallee and Keel first appeared on the scene seeking occultish counter-narratives. ETH-phobes can be extraordinarily close-minded, even emotional, about it, and - as I know from a lot of personal experience - loudly displeased with those who deem the ETH an entirely reasonable approach even when those persons stress that the ETH is not certainty but hypothesis.

If there is one thing I am not, by the way, it is "completely out of touch." The fact that your argument depends on that dubious charge does not do it credit.

>This nasty reaction of alternate ideas isn't new, but might be  
>based on who is "center stage" as far as popular view - and  
>right now the exopolitics bunch is getting the most mainstream  
>attention. And, again, I can tell you from personal experience,  
>that crowd doesn't like the notion that this may not be  
>extraterrestrials.

Oh, really? The Exopolitics crowd has nothing to do with the ETH, which is not a hypothesis for it any more than contactee conjecture about ETs is a mere hypothesis. Let me stress again. Everybody who discusses ET is not talking about an ET Hypothesis. Contactees and exopoliticians consider the presence of ETs a fact, not a scientifically based speculation.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 29](#)

## Re: Raytheon Defense Division Update

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 12:15:20 -0300  
Archived: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 12:26:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: Raytheon Defense Division Update

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 19:42:52 +0000  
>Subject: Raytheon Defense Division Update

>Date & Time: 10/27/10 @ 10:00 AM

>Location: East Side Center  
>Green Valley Recreation Center  
>Green Valley, AZ

>Speaker: Lt. Gen. Thomas Keck  
>Vice President in charge of Air Force Projects  
>Defense Division of Raytheon Missile Systems

>Gen. Keck related a list of the types of air craft he has flown.  
>It included the SR-71, commonly known as Blackbird. Plus, his  
>meeting with Pres. Bush on 9/11/2001 when the President landed  
>at Barstow AFB in Shreveport, LA to review the troops. It was  
>during Barstow's week long drill in war preparedness. All pilots  
>were in their cockpits ready to take off when the President  
>landed after informing the base twenty minutes earlier he would  
>be at Barstow AFB. Gen. Keck thought that the President landed  
>to give the order to "Go." All he said to Gen. Keck was "Good"  
>when Keck told him they were ready to go. Everybody was pumped  
>to go after the people had attacked America.

>Raytheon employs 12,000 people; 6500 are engineers.

>The Update

>Operators involved with controlling UAVs have wanted an upgrade  
>to "cockpit experience" and Raytheon has responded with the new  
>RPV. Remote Piloted Vehicle. The new system allows for better  
>control of the air craft. Based on Gen. Keck's responses to  
>questions from the audience, I realized it would be pointless to  
>ask as to what the specific upgrades had been.

>I would guess that the air craft with non-normal military  
>lighting array, I reported on in this group, could easily have  
>been a test flight. If you will remember the air craft had four  
>red lights. One under the nose, one under the tail and one each  
>on the underside of the wing. {I hope Don Ledger will appreciate  
>this info.}

>snip>

I suggest, Kathy, that you contact the Air Division of the FAA  
and lodge a complaint about said aircraft flying in your area  
while displaying an illegal light pattern rather than the  
regular nav light configuration required of aircraft flying in  
civilian airspace.

It doesn't matter whether its a UAV or conventional aircraft.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 30](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 13:36:36 -0300  
Archived: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 07:53:52 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 08:49:16 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 11:45:31 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

>>Greg is precisely correct about the reaction to ETH opposition -  
>>and Jerry, with all due respect sir, if you think otherwise  
>>you're completely out of touch. I don't think we're speaking in  
>>terms exclusive of researchers, but in terms of public view and  
>>reaction. (right Greg?) But, some researchers too, can be and  
>>are just as closed to the notion that we're not talking about  
>>spacemen. Try bringing up non-nuts and bolts issues to these  
>>folks and watch what you get.

>Oh, boy.

>As I wrote in a follow-up message (unposted as I write these  
>words), the ETH is widely mistaken for the consensus view of  
>ufologists because non-ufologists who deal with it on cable TV  
>and in popular culture always make that equation. For one  
>thing, they know no better, and for another, the real  
>complexities of the phenomenon are hard to portray for the  
>casually interested.

>That's the good pro-ufologist news: it's not their fault. As for  
>the bad, a great many ufologists pay no attention to  
>developments in planetary science and exobiology which, if they  
>were sympathetic to the ETH, they would find exciting and  
>revealing. Thus, they have no clue how the ETH and modern  
>astronomy are less in conflict than they ever were.

>For reasons that sometimes make little sense, many people within  
>the UFO subculture remain as hostile to the ETH as they were  
>when Vallee and Keel first appeared on the scene seeking  
>occultish counter-narratives. ETH-phobes can be extraordinarily  
>close-minded, even emotional, about it, and - as I know from a  
>lot of personal experience - loudly displeased with those who  
>deem the ETH an entirely reasonable approach even when those  
>persons stress that the ETH is not certainty but hypothesis.

>If there is one thing I am not, by the way, it is "completely  
>out of touch." The fact that your argument depends on that  
>dubious charge does not do it  
>credit.

>>This nasty reaction of alternate ideas isn't new, but might be  
>>based on who is "center stage" as far as popular view - and  
>>right now the exopolitics bunch is getting the most mainstream  
>>attention. And, again, I can tell you from personal experience,  
>>that crowd doesn't like the notion that this may not be  
>>extraterrestrials.

>Oh, really? The Exopolitics crowd has nothing to do with the  
>ETH, which is not a hypothesis for it any more than contactee  
>conjecture about ETs is a mere hypothesis. Let me stress again.  
>Everybody who discusses ET is not talking about an ET  
>Hypothesis. Contactees and exopoliticians consider the presence  
>of ETs a fact, not a scientifically based speculation.

Hi Jerry,

A lot of these reports end up solved but a good 25% or more  
don't. When one factors in the behavior and the technology  
involved it brings up the questions; who and from where?

Since the Top Secret, Earth based technology has never worked  
(it has been bandied about for about 70 years with no resolution  
after all) except for those who don't have any real knowledge of  
what's really in the arsenals of the world; and no other  
sensible solution has come along to fit the bill, we are usually  
left asking if this phenomenon is from some extraterrestrial  
source.

Resistance to this ET 'hypothesis' is usually based on some mind  
set that can't accept the possibility of this being the case for  
spiritual, political reasons or due to a fear of the unknown.  
Hence we see the usual turnip thrown out; why would any  
extraterrestrial species come all the way to Earth from their  
planet to do anal probes? Whether this is a ploy or just plain  
ignorance is in question but it asks the wrong question based on  
faulty and short sighted reasoning that implies that this is one  
planet interacting with another planet rather than this being  
some ancient and still ongoing process involving intelligence  
that does what it does as part of a project that is  
dispassionate by its nature and interesting to those doing the  
observations and experiments or whatever.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 30](#)

## Re: The Project Portal Fraud Is Back!

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 17:14:05 +0000  
Archived: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 07:57:32 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Project Portal Fraud Is Back!

>From: Thiago Luiz Ticchetti <[ticchetti.nul](mailto:ticchetti.nul)>  
>To: ufo update <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 03:39:46 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: The Project Portal Fraud Is Back!

<snip>

>At that occasion, unexpectedly by the Brazilian UFO Community,  
>US researcher Linda Moulton Howe came to Brazil to  
>"evaluate" the case. While here, she contacted no UFO  
>researcher (all could give her info about the sect and its  
>leader), spoke to no member of the Brazilian media and yet she  
>immediately supported that 'case', that now is generally  
>known and even admitted by several of the sect followers as a  
>staged fake.

><http://www.earthfiles.com/news.php?ID=447&category=Real> X-Files  
><http://www.earthfiles.com/news.php?ID=448&category=Real> X-Files

>At that time, Brazilian UFO researcher A. J. Gevaerd, editor of  
>the Brazilian UFO Magazine, had a serious argument with Linda  
>Moulton Howe about it on the Net. He had been investigating  
>Project Portal for years and found that every little piece of  
>their long repertory of stories is nothing but poor inventions,  
>some ridiculous. Including the faked UFO crash, the faked  
>abduction supported by LMH and a few other stuff. He was  
>contacted by her while she was still in the State and spent time  
>explaining to her what the stories are all about.

>Despite that, as Gevaerd tells, she came to Brazil without  
>letting any of the local UFO researchers aware of that. Even  
>Gevaerd, who informed her about the sect. And even despite the  
>fact that he lived in the town where she landed, "just a few  
>minutes from the airport", he told me, and could go see her as  
>a courtesy visit, she did not contacted him at all. A. J. quit  
>investigating and exposing the sect Project Portal and its  
>leader Urandir Fernandes de Oliveira in 2004, after a second  
>anonymous life threat he received, but others kept doing so and  
>kept exposing the hoaxes. They are many.

><http://www.rense.com/general65/brazilufologyoutrage.htm>  
><http://ufoupdateslist.com/2003/jun/ml3-019.shtml>  
><http://www.seattlechatclub.org/urandir.html>

<snip>

Thiago:

I love listening to people speak Portuguese. It brings back  
memories of a long ago lover. I refer to link of the TV video.

As I listened to Linda Howe, last night on Coast to Coast, I  
thought: doesn't the woman ever challenge the story she is  
hearing. This woman is suppose to be an award winning reporter.

Yet, when it comes to a UFO story, she breathlessly reports  
without asking in depth questions. However, that is not true on  
any other subject, such environmental issues. With that type of  
story, she interviews people who are actually are involved in

the field work.

I have learned to be very skeptical of her UFO and crop circle reports. To my mind, the woman is close to hysteria when she reports on those subjects.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 30](#)

## Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 17:19:43 +0000  
Archived: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 07:59:07 -0400  
Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 16:34:06 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>>From: Stephen Bassett <[PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 13:18:32 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Missile Silos "Power Failure"?

>>There are two rather remarkable aspects of the recent nuclear  
>>missile stand down.

>>1) I do not recall the U.S. military EVER acknowledging a  
>>multiple nuclear missile shut down prior to this.

>>2) Such an acknowledgment comes just 30 days after a press  
>>conference claiming past UFO/ET interference with nuclear  
>>weapons and two weeks after the end of an international news  
>>cycle about that press conference.

>>We live in a time when all such 'coincidences' require much  
>>consideration.

>Good thinking and applying the age-old test of 'cui bono' (to  
>whose benefit is this?), it seems that the present release of  
>'information' is simple mis-direction and diversion.

Dear List:

Because of the times we live in, my first thought was that some brilliant Chinese hacker had back engineered the computer technology - some American company sold to a Chinese company - and was able to hack into American defense systems. I have put the incident down to terrorism from a hacker in Chinese military employ.

If that is what happened, it would be a very good reason not to disclose the real events behind the glitch in the computer system.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 30](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: **Stephen Bassett** <[PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 22:52:10 +0100  
Archived: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 08:01:52 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 08:49:16 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

>Oh, really? The Exopolitics crowd has nothing to do with the  
>ETH, which is not a hypothesis for it any more than contactee  
>conjecture about ETs is a mere hypothesis. Let me stress again.  
>Everybody who discusses ET is not talking about an ET  
>Hypothesis. Contactees and exopoliticians consider the presence  
>of ETs a fact, not a scientifically based speculation.

Yes! Thank you for stating the matter precisely. The reality is  
ufologists (note: not ufology crowd) succeeded in establishing  
a principal hypothesis as fact - the presence of non-human  
intelligence engaging the planet. It was the finest example of  
citizen/science in history and done at great cost and personal  
sacrifice. However, with their own government in direct  
opposition to this result, they had to prove it over and over  
again. The state's opposition was not based on science. It  
was a matter of government policy. So the resolution of the  
issue must be political.

Those ufologists who believe the ETH has not been established  
have every right to feel that way. In either case, to the best of  
my knowledge, I and my colleagues have great respect for the  
collective accomplishments of ufologists and ufology over the  
past sixty years.

That such respect is often not reciprocated, while unfortunate,  
is of little consequence to the larger outcome.

One more point. For most of his life, perhaps the finest  
ufologist of his or any other time, James McDonald, could not  
bring himself to believe his government had been lying to  
him and his fellow citizens regarding the UFO issue. Near the  
end of his life he finally realized the truth of that, likely one of  
three reasons why he committed suicide (the other two being  
the humiliation he experienced at the SST congressional  
hearing and the estrangement from his wife.)

The UFO/ET truth is not a science matter, it is an exopolitical  
matter - the politics of the manipulation of and interference  
with science by the state .

SB

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 30](#)

## Re: Raytheon Defense Division Update

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 01:13:06 +0100  
Archived: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 08:03:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: Raytheon Defense Division Update

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 19:42:52 +0000  
>Subject: Raytheon Defense Division Update

<snip>

>Gen. Keck related a list of the types of air craft he has flown.  
>It included the SR-71, commonly known as Blackbird. Plus, his  
>meeting with Pres. Bush on 9/11/2001 when the President landed  
>at Barstow AFB in Shreveport, LA to review the troops. It was  
>during Barstow's week long drill in war preparedness. All pilots  
>were in their cockpits ready to take off when the President  
>landed after informing the base twenty minutes earlier he would  
>be at Barstow AFB. Gen. Keck thought that the President landed  
>to give the order to "Go".

Go where for Christ's sake?

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO Updates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

**UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 30](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 14:04:44 +0100 (BST)  
Archived: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 11:16:26 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Stephen Bassett <[PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 22:52:10 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>Yes! Thank you for stating the matter precisely. The reality is  
>ufologists (note: not ufology crowd) succeeded in establishing  
>a principal hypothesis as fact - the presence of non-human  
>intelligence engaging the planet. It was the finest example of  
>citizen/science in history and done at great cost and personal  
>sacrifice.

"Great cost and personal sacrifice?" You're 'avin' a larf, as we  
say in south London.

--  
John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 31](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 08:18:47 -0700  
Archived: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 07:07:48 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Stephen Bassett <[PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 22:52:10 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>The UFO/ET truth is not a science matter, it is an exopolitical  
>matter - the politics of the manipulation of and interference  
>with science by the state.

Stephen,

I appreciate everything you and others have done to establish this "manipulation of and interference" as fact. But what if it really is for our own good? What if 'Knowing' would disrupt the entire social fabric? What if the 'others' gave our government a clear picture of what might result if disclosure occurred and it wasn't pretty.

Ed

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 31](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 11:39:03 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 07:09:44 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 08:52:34 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 00:19:39 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 12:51:45 -0400  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2010 10:38:36 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 23:01:09 EDT  
>>>>Subject: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>Nowadays if you don't support the ETH and it's offspring you get  
>>lambasted but I remember when supporting the ETH and any related  
>> matters would get you tossed into a psychiatric hospital, fired,  
>>beaten up and ostracized.

>Opposition to the ETH has been pretty much arguably, the  
>mainstream position in ufology since the heyday of Jacques  
>Vallee and John Keel. So has the rhetorical trope that one has  
>made oneself a martyr by opposing the ETH.

>But in your case, Greg, you don't even seem to grasp what the  
>ETH is or what it's based upon. Perhaps it's a subject you ought  
>just to let be.

>Jerry Clark

Please, your attempts at control through invalidation are about  
as effective as putting a fake mustache on a cowpie to fool the  
flies.

I'm fully aware of the origins of the ETH.

My point is we have no proof, and it's been over 60 years of  
searching.

In the beginning of the UFO/Flying Saucer phenomenon it was our  
own government who introduced extraterrestrials as a source of  
then termed Flying Saucers and then hushed up. It may well be  
they were correct, however no physical proof had or has yet to  
emerge.

The public, media, pop culture, tabloids, picked up on it and in  
came the snake oil by the barrel full. It's turned into an

industry but as we know popular fiction was making a ton of money off extraterrestrial stories long before and Jerry Siegle and Joe Shuster's Superman story is proof of that.

The bottom line is ufologists have been chasing the ET trail since the 40's and nothing solid has turned up yet. How long is a guy supposed follow an elk's trail when in fact it's a bear he's tracking?

We need a reboot in ufology and look maybe we need to wait until our physicists and behaviorists advance in their research before we can continue.

Right now it's best to collect the observable raw data without the one-third raw data and two-thirds speculative evaluations. It keeps the arbitraries out of the soup.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com/blog

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 31](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 11:52:34 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 07:21:34 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jeff Ritzmann <[jeff.ritzmann.nul](mailto:jeff.ritzmann.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 11:45:31 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 08:52:34 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 00:19:39 EDT  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

><snip>

>>Nowadays if you don't support the ETH and it's offspring you get  
>>lambasted but I remember when supporting the ETH and any related  
>> matters would get you tossed into a psychiatric hospital, fired,  
>> beaten up and ostracized.

>>Opposition to the ETH has been pretty much arguably, the  
>>mainstream position in ufology since the heyday of Jacques  
>>Vallee and John Keel. So has the rhetorical trope that one has  
>>made oneself a martyr by opposing the ETH.

>>But in your case, Greg, you don't even seem to grasp what the  
>>ETH is or what it's based upon. Perhaps it's a subject you ought  
>>just to let be.

>Greg is precisely correct about the reaction to ETH opposition -  
>and Jerry, with all due respect sir, if you think otherwise  
>you're completely out of touch. I don't think we're speaking in  
>terms exclusive of researchers, but in terms of public view and  
>reaction. (right Greg?) But, some researchers too, can be and  
>are just as closed to the notion that we're not talking about  
>spacemen. Try bringing up non-nuts and bolts issues to these  
>folks and watch what you get.

>This nasty reaction of alternate ideas isn't new, but might be  
>based on who is "center stage" as far as popular view - and  
>right now the exopolitics bunch is getting the most mainstream  
>attention. And, again, I can tell you from personal experience,  
>that crowd doesn't like the notion that this may not be  
>extraterrestrials.

>At a gathering in DC, my broadcast partner Jeremy Vaeni stood up  
>and asked the speaking panel what evidence was there that  
>solidified this as an ET based phenomenon - and how one could  
>build a foundation of protocol based on a theory? (at best).

>The crowd got extremely aghast, with more than one attendee  
>saying "what the hell are you here for?" Stephen Bassett then  
>went on to proclaim it was confirmed extraterrestrials at high  
>volume (among other vernaculars of alleged "fact") as he pounded  
>his fist into his hand. Bassett also said he'd debate this  
>anytime, anyplace, and anywhere.

>As he's on this List, perhaps we could find out just how the  
>hell he's got the answers. Same old thing: people who have  
>elevated themselves in ufology to a position of public influence  
>spouting unfounded proclamations.

>Now we can easily say exopols don't represent the whole and  
>that's true. However to most of the interested UFO public, this  
>is still the end-all answer to their own wish fulfillment. It  
>then morphs into belief, and we all know what happens after  
>that. There's no talking sense.

>So Greg - You're absolutely right about the opposition - it's  
>venomous reactions to a belief system being questioned, and one  
>that doesn't seem to represent the data very well in my opinion.  
>There may not be, as Jerry has said, one unified answer - but  
>any questioning of the status quo of theories quickly earns you  
>the ire of many.

>Thankfully, there are those open to abstract views and the  
>complexities within this phenomenon, willing to question.

Thanks Jeff,

Great account you've shared with us.

My point is that it may well be that extraterrestrials are  
involved but there is no physical proof to come forth yet. That  
has been the fly in the ointment for over half a century.

Any hunter will tell you, if it's real, it's got tracks. We do  
have trace cases but all those trace cases say is that something  
was where something was seen or experienced. It doesn't say ET.

The ET component of ufology is now a massive industry with  
Hollywood ripping off discoveries and theories from UFOlogists  
for decades on end. Ask yourself, how many ET/UFO based movies  
and tv shows have there been that have made millions and  
billions of dollars and then count on one hand the number of  
hardworking, self sacrificing UFOlogists are multi millionaires?

UFOlogists do the grunt work, the dirty work, the risk of life,  
limb, fortune and are overrun by marketing and Hollywood types.

The ETH is a big ass money maker and the fact the highest  
grossing movie of all time has that element to it is point in  
fact.

But you didn't see James Cameron forking over any dough to help  
Pete Davenport or the hundreds of other dedicated people out  
there.

Bottom line is because the ETH is such a money maker now to even  
suggest any alternative theory now gets hammered.

Again, I remember well into my adult years, if you mentioned any  
form of belief or contact with ETs or even if you had seen a  
UFO, you could be hauled off and dumped into a psych hospital.  
Those days were cruel and brutal to say the least.

Since there are now cottage industries and more utilizing the  
ETH and it's offshoots, which many a time have proven to be a  
racket, we need to sit back, go over the raw data and look at  
alternatives or at least put it on the back burner until our  
scientists finish or gain more knowledge about our universe and  
especially ourselves.

We know the modus operandi of the reported contacts but without  
something to put under a microscope it's still just anecdotal  
and open to abuse.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com/blog

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 31](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 16:32:57 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 07:23:57 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 13:36:36 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 08:49:16 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

><snip>

>Since the Top Secret, Earth based technology has never worked  
>(it has been bandied about for about 70 years with no resolution  
>after all) except for those who don't have any real knowledge of  
>what's really in the arsenals of the world; and no other  
>sensible solution has come along to fit the bill, we are usually  
>left asking if this phenomenon is from some extraterrestrial  
>source.

Don't you think you're out on a limb, Don, when you say that  
secret Earth-based technology has never worked? Are you  
suggesting that people who are not in the loop should  
nevertheless always know what has been developed successfully in  
secret?

As is well known, Dr. Ben Rich at Lockheed is reported to have  
said before his death in 1995 that "We already have the means to  
travel among the stars, but these technologies are locked up in  
black projects and it would take an act of God to ever get them  
out to benefit humanity. Anything you can imagine we already  
know how to do." Is there reason to think he was lying? I'm sure  
I'm not the only one who would like to know.

Why has there been such a dearth of public domain research on  
gravity since the early 1960's? Has this topic been actively  
discouraged by the funding agencies?

People report seeing large, unidentified craft in various  
countries that no military seems overly concerned about (e.g.,  
Phoenix, Stephenville). Why is that? Could it be the craft are  
homemade?

It is easy to connect the dots and infer that secret skunk works  
have done a lot of catching up to the non-human technology that  
appears to have been with us for a much longer time. Trillions  
of dollars have been spent there. If the requisite human  
technology exists, most of us are just not aware of it, so we  
tend to credit all UFO sightings to non-humans. Maybe the credit  
should be shared with those closer to home.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 31](#)

## Re: The Project Portal Fraud Is Back!

From: **Thiago Ticchetti** <[ticchetti.nul](mailto:ticchetti.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 21:11:59 -0200  
Archived: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 07:27:08 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Project Portal Fraud Is Back!

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 17:14:05 +0000  
>Subject: Re: The Project Portal Fraud Is Back!

>>From: Thiago Luiz Ticchetti <[ticchetti.nul](mailto:ticchetti.nul)>  
>>To: ufo update <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 03:39:46 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: The Project Portal Fraud Is Back!

><snip>

>>At that occasion, unexpectedly by the Brazilian UFO Community,  
>>US researcher Linda Moulton Howe came to Brazil to  
>>"evaluate" the case. While here, she contacted no UFO  
>>researcher (all could give her info about the sect and its  
>>leader), spoke to no member of the Brazilian media and yet she  
>>immediately supported that 'case', that now is generally  
>>known and even admitted by several of the sect followers as a  
>>staged fake.

>><http://www.earthfiles.com/news.php?ID=447&category=Real> X-Files

>><http://www.earthfiles.com/news.php?ID=448&category=Real> X-Files

>>At that time, Brazilian UFO researcher A. J. Gevaerd, editor of  
>>the Brazilian UFO Magazine, had a serious argument with Linda  
>>Moulton Howe about it on the Net. He had been investigating  
>>Project Portal for years and found that every little piece of  
>>their long repertory of stories is nothing but poor inventions,  
>>some ridiculous. Including the faked UFO crash, the faked  
>>abduction supported by LMH and a few other stuff. He was  
>>contacted by her while she was still in the State and spent time  
>>explaining to her what the stories are all about.

>>Despite that, as Gevaerd tells, she came to Brazil without  
>>letting any of the local UFO researchers aware of that. Even  
>>Gevaerd, who informed her about the sect. And even despite the  
>>fact that he lived in the town where she landed, "just a few  
>>minutes from the airport", he told me, and could go see her as  
>>a courtesy visit, she did not contact him at all. A. J. quit  
>>investigating and exposing the sect Project Portal and its  
>>leader Urandir Fernandes de Oliveira in 2004, after a second  
>>anonymous life threat he received, but others kept doing so and  
>>kept exposing the hoaxes. They are many.

>><http://www.rense.com/general65/brazilufologyoutrage.htm>

>><http://ufoupdateslist.com/2003/jun/m13-019.shtml>

>><http://www.seattlechatclub.org/urandir.html>

><snip>

>Thiago:

>I love listening to people speak Portuguese. It brings back  
>memories of a long ago lover. I refer to link of the TV video.

>As I listened to Linda Howe, last night on Coast to Coast, I  
>thought: doesn't the woman ever challenge the story she is  
>hearing. This woman is suppose to be an award winning reporter.

>Yet, when it comes to a UFO story, she breathlessly reports  
>without asking in depth questions. However, that is not true on  
>any other subject, such environmental issues. With that type of  
>story, she interviews people who are actually are involved in  
>the field work.

>I have learned to be very skeptical of her UFO and crop circle  
>reports. To my mind, the woman is close to hysteria when she  
>reports on those subjects.

Kathy,

You get the point: investigation! Linda did no investigation of  
the case!

She had all the support from Brazilian ufologists, but ignored  
it. This was strange. She dissapointed us.

My email was only an advisory about Project Portal. They are  
getting rich cheating people!!

Thiago

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 31](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 01:58:33 +0100  
Archived: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 07:29:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Stephen Bassett <[PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 22:52:10 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

>The UFO/ET truth is not a science matter, it is an exopolitical  
>matter - the politics of the manipulation of and interference  
>with science by the state .

That's not an "exopolitical matter" at all. It's a  
straightforward political matter - just like The Vatican and  
Galileo...

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 28](#)

## News Links - 27-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 20:15:26 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 20:15:26 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 27-10-10

News Links - 27-10-10

Triangle UFO Passes Over New Hampshire Freeway  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/28bfz2d>

UFO Mania Continues: Triangle UFO Over New Hampshire Freeway  
Sighted  
USA NewsWeek.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/25rhyd4>

Triangle UFO Returns To Hover Over Louisiana Couple's Back Yard  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/23cho56>

'Transparent' Tube-Like UFO Photographed Over California  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/28vu9lw>

My Close Encounter With UFO Has Left Me Baffled  
Express & Echo  
<http://tinyurl.com/38cotj6>

UFO Over California: Resident Reports UFO Sighting  
LA News Monitor.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/3aj5b5g>

UFO Sighting Over Knotts Berry Farm?  
NBC Los Angeles  
<http://tinyurl.com/3yce8fg>

UFO Traffic Report: October 26, 2010  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/39zqc5s>

Vallee & Aubeck Study Ancient UFOs  
msnbc.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/3yz897n>

Nuclear Missiles Go Offline after Computer Error  
CBS News  
<http://tinyurl.com/24cexod>

Triangle UFO Spotted Over Louisiana  
NY News Post  
<http://tinyurl.com/3451l1fw>

Filer's Files # 44 - 2010  
<http://tinyurl.com/36keqkc>

UFO Sighting In Phoenix Explained? No Surprise There  
USANewsWeek.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2w3uyyu3>

UFO Sighting In Rotherham (South Yorkshire) UK After China, New  
York, Texas And Florida UFO Sightings

NYNewsPost

<http://tinyurl.com/23jbg7>

Battle Between UFO Moviemakers Becomes Bizarre By Way Of  
Blogosphere

Tucson Citizen

<http://tinyurl.com/3yea5bp>

October 1973 'UFO Wave': Includes Trip To Hawaii And 'Spaceman'  
Capture In Ohio

Tucson Citizen

<http://tinyurl.com/2egmguy>

ET, Phone Denver

Claremont Port Side

<http://tinyurl.com/2buoj96>

The Life Of Zecharia Sitchin Revisited

UFODigest

<http://tinyurl.com/2bedgve>

From Scott Corrales Inexplicata:

Mexican Youth Videotapes Extraordinary UFO Evidence

<http://tinyurl.com/37q4mw7>

From 'The Norm':

Time-Travelling Alien? Mystery Woman In Chaplin Movie Clip

Toronto Star

<http://tinyurl.com/32wg5u3>

Falling Brains Dept:

Unexpected Development: MUFON Maverick Breaks Ranks, Supports  
Billy Meier UFO Case!

The San Francisco Chronicle

<http://tinyurl.com/23svfca>

Was First Iraq War 'Leak' By Swiss Man in...1958?

San Francisco Chronicle

<http://tinyurl.com/36w6zco>

DARPA DiscRotor Might Just Be UFO That Fooled Everyone All The  
While

UberGizmo

<http://tinyurl.com/29dx67w>

UFOs, Jesus, And A Message Of Sharing

Santa Cruz Patch

<http://tinyurl.com/3x7ptx5>

ET Global Community Future Of 2012

UFODigest

<http://tinyurl.com/29bmano>

Meet The Unarians, The Most Awesomely Bad UFO Cult Ever

io9

<http://tinyurl.com/333ly33>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 31](#)

## News Links - 30-10-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 10:17:24 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 10:17:24 -0400  
Subject: News Links - 30-10-10

News Links - 30-10-10

Rectangle UFO Reported 'a Little Higher Than The Rooftops'  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2cq53e7>

Oklahoma hUsband-Wife Miss Each Other's UFO Sighting  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/2chszea>

UFO Sighting In California: What's The Truth Of Rectangular UFO?  
LANewsMonitor.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/24geraz>

Six Years Later UFO Discussion Scheduled On 'Tinley Park Lights'  
Chicago Tribune  
<http://tinyurl.com/2ea6ser>

UFO Sightings: From Roswell To New York  
LANewsMonitor.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/3yg8beg>

Hear The Mystery Humming Noise! UFO Society Pins It On Military  
Aircraft  
Hull Daily Mail  
<http://tinyurl.com/2anfanz>

Sky Is Not The Limit For UFO Conference Plan  
NTNews.com.au  
<http://tinyurl.com/3xdrobn>

No Halloween Trick Or Treat When It Comes To 'Real' UFO...  
HULIQ  
<http://tinyurl.com/2vh5o8e>

UFO Flying Saucer Shows Itself In Infra Red  
realalienvideos.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/29kkxe4>

Free Extraterrestrial And UFO eBooks To Download  
By Shirley E Hardy  
<http://tinyurl.com/2aueau5>

Roswell's Learned To Embrace The Extraterrestrial  
Toronto Sun  
<http://tinyurl.com/39ox2gf>

BC Woman Claims 'Visitations' From UFOs  
Toronto Sun  
<http://tinyurl.com/2wgzyez>

Ronald Reagan, UFOs, And The Alien Threat  
<http://tinyurl.com/2vaxphg>

Newly Revealed NASA Cover-up: Another Possible Future UFO?  
Suite101.com

<http://tinyurl.com/29ycqtm>

Monsters =96 The Most Realistic Alien Movie Ever?

<http://tinyurl.com/2f3nqfh>

=46rom 'The Norm':

Getting the Big Picture Quickly: Software Edits Huge Images in Seconds Instead of Hours  
Science

<http://tinyurl.com/25t4szf>

No Lack Of Exo-Earths Out There  
Science Magazine

<http://tinyurl.com/2vb825a>

Study Says Solar Systems Like Ours May Be Common

<http://tinyurl.com/32wc2jv>

Falling Brains Dept:

ET Council: We Will Increase UFOs, Address U.N. in 2014, Renew Ecology In 2015  
Examiner.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/2vl6jax>

Colorado Flying-Saucer Believers Have Ghost Hunters In Their Sights

Wall Street Journal

<http://tinyurl.com/3222lx7>

New Yorker Wants Vote on Big Apple ET Affairs Commission

AOL News

<http://tinyurl.com/24ftf6u>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 31](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: **Stephen Bassett** <[PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 13:03:46 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 10:19:19 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 08:18:47 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Stephen Bassett <[PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 22:52:10 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>The UFO/ET truth is not a science matter, it is an exopolitical  
>>matter - the politics of the manipulation of and interference  
>>with science by the state.

>Stephen,

>I appreciate everything you and others have done to establish  
>this "manipulation of and interference" as fact. But what if it  
>really is for our own good? What if 'Knowing' would disrupt the  
>entire social fabric? What if the 'others' gave our government a  
>clear picture of what might result if disclosure occurred and it  
>wasn't pretty.

>Ed

There are two fundamental bases for opposing the Disclosure  
process. One is simple to assess - the ETH is false and there  
is nothing to disclosure worth such an effort. The second is  
anything but simple - the full story attached to the ET presence  
is too dangerous to reveal.

Many years ago I talked with the producers of Coast to Coast AM  
with Art Bell about doing an "awful truths" show and run down  
the top ten awful truth scenarios in play. They liked the idea  
but for some reason or another the show didn't happen.

A proper response is a very lengthy essay, but boiled down it  
comes to this: each person who now accepts the ETH as fact has  
to make a personal decision as to whether the truth embargo is  
or is not now in their, the nation's or the world's best  
interest to maintain. Unfortunately the very nature of a truth  
embargo prevents having all the needed information to make an  
appropriately informed decision. So you do the best you can  
with what you know.

I have considered the actions and policies of governments in the  
past, particularly the last hundred years; the manner in which  
the truth embargo was conducted; the changes that have taken  
place in the societies of developed and not so developed  
nations; and perhaps most importantly, what we have learned  
about the ET presence from the information we do have. My  
personal decision is we can no longer give government the  
benefit of the doubt in this matter.

There has never been an activist movement that could not be  
challenged as to its merits with reasoned argument. Civil  
rights, independence, suffrage, anti-war (peace), and religious  
freedom movements were all challenged as being a mistake.  
Upsetting the status quo was always one of the arguments put

forward.

Finally, history has clearly shown us something very important. Life is difficult and dangerous, yes, but institutional lying and misrepresentation of reality has always taken the human story to bad outcomes. Knowing the true nature of things does not guarantee a good outcome, it is just the best path we have to achieving one.

SB

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 31](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 10:02:34 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 11:14:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 11:39:03 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 08:52:34 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 00:19:39 EDT  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

<snip>

>>>Nowadays if you don't support the ETH and it's offspring you get  
>>>lambasted but I remember when supporting the ETH and any related  
>>> matters would get you tossed into a psychiatric hospital, fired,  
>>>beaten up and ostracized.

>>Opposition to the ETH has been pretty much arguably, the  
>>mainstream position in ufology since the heyday of Jacques  
>>Vallee and John Keel. So has the rhetorical trope that one has  
>>made oneself a martyr by opposing the ETH.

>>But in your case, Greg, you don't even seem to grasp what the  
>>ETH is or what it's based upon. Perhaps it's a subject you ought  
>>just to let be.

>Please, your attempts at control through invalidation are about  
>as effective as putting a fake mustache on a cowpie to fool the  
>flies.

Apparently, to you an effort to clarify issues amounts to an  
"attempt to control through invalidation." I guess this is the  
same impulse that leads you to charge the ETH with being not  
just a mistaken idea - which it may be; we just don't know yet  
one way or another, which is why we talk about UFOs and not ET  
spacecraft - but an actual, in your lurid imagination, "scam." I  
would demand proof of that charge, but I know you can't supply  
it; it's just a rhetorical device that sounds cool to you.  
Sadly, your understanding of confidence crime is as lacking as  
your grasp of what a scientific hypothesis is.

>I'm fully aware of the origins of the ETH.

You sure could have fooled me.

>My point is we have no proof, and it's been over 60 years of  
>searching.

Aside from how one defines the tangled issue of "proof" - which  
Capt. Ruppelt raised more effectively and pointedly in a single  
short paragraph than you have in many or will in the many more  
sure to follow - let us note that you are the one who's using  
the word. The rest of us are discussing a hypothesis that arises  
from a body of evidence. If "proof" existed one way or another,

there wouldn't be a controversy about UFOs. There would be a settled truth about objects that had been conclusively identified.

If you knew anything about the history of science, you'd appreciate how laughable your complaint about a mere "60 years" is.

>In the beginning of the UFO/Flying Saucer phenomenon it was our  
>own government who introduced extraterrestrials as a source of  
>then termed Flying Saucers and then hushed up. It may well be  
>they were correct, however no physical proof had or has yet to  
>emerge.

Actually, the first public statements about the possible extraterrestrial origin of the then seemingly novel flying saucers are in press accounts citing Fortean Society members in early July 1947. Only Forteans had the historical memory to know that reports of extraordinary aerial objects had not sprung out of nowhere.

As polling data from the period attest, the public thought that the discs - if they existed at all as other than hoaxes, delusions, and mistakes - were secret experimental aircraft. Inside the first Air Force UFO project which formed months later, personnel knew that no such aircraft existed; consequently, a faction within Sign deduced that since some of the sightings seemed credible and appeared to indicate intelligent control, they might be of vehicles from other planets.

That faction was well ahead of the public, which didn't widely embrace the UFO = ET visitation idea till the 1950s. Albeit in more sophisticated form reflecting subsequent developments in planetary science and exobiology, Sign laid down an interpretation still held by, among others, sympathetic scientists who have examined the data and drawn tentative conclusions.

Even SETI pioneer and public UFO skeptic Frank Drake has said that if UFOs exist, they're of extraterrestrial origin. He sees nothing wrong with the ETH as such, but dismisses it (publicly anyway) on a priori grounds, namely that in his (disputable) estimation ETs couldn't get here from there.

Among scientists open to UFO reports, the ETH continues to hold more appeal than Keel and Vallee's supernaturalist alternatives. Then, perhaps what you're telling us is this: you'd be happy if ufology turned out not to be, as its hopeful advocates contend, a proto-science but rather, as its hostile critics argue, merely a branch of the occult.

>The public, media, pop culture, tabloids, picked up on it and in  
>came the snake oil by the barrel full. It's turned into an  
>industry but as we know popular fiction was making a ton of  
>money off extraterrestrial stories long before and Jerry Siegle  
>and Joe Shuster's Superman story is proof of that.

I have no idea what this paragraph is doing here. It seems to be about popular science fiction, which (I hope) you're not accusing of being the vile spawn of the ETH. I assume that the misspelling of Jerry Siegel's last name is a typo.

Let me say here that while I have little interest in science fiction myself, I have no quarrel with those who write it or who turn it into film or television. These are surely perfectly honorable human activities, to be judged solely by whether the result turns out well or poorly. I'm sorry you appear to feel otherwise.

>The bottom line is ufologists have been chasing the ET trail  
>since the 40's and nothing solid has turned up yet. How long is  
>a guy supposed to follow an elk's trail when in fact it's a bear  
>he's tracking?

"Nothing solid has turned up"? That's even more vacuous than your charge that ufologists sympathetic to the ETH are scam artists. Then, I guess you would get that impression if your views on what ufology is about are shaped by contactees and their followers. And I suspect you don't even understand what

contactees are about.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 31](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 12:03:13 -0400 (EDT)  
Archived: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 12:19:15 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 10:02:34 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 11:39:03 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 08:52:34 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 00:19:39 EDT  
>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

><snip>

>>>>Nowadays if you don't support the ETH and it's offspring you get  
>>>>lambasted but I remember when supporting the ETH and any related  
>>>> matters would get you tossed into a psychiatric hospital, fired,  
>>>> beaten up and ostracized.

>>>>Opposition to the ETH has been pretty much arguably, the  
>>>>mainstream position in ufology since the heyday of Jacques  
>>>>Vallee and John Keel. So has the rhetorical trope that one has  
>>>>made oneself a martyr by opposing the ETH.

>>>>But in your case, Greg, you don't even seem to grasp what the  
>>>>ETH is or what it's based upon. Perhaps it's a subject you ought  
>>>>just to let be.

>>>Please, your attempts at control through invalidation are about  
>>>as effective as putting a fake mustache on a cowpie to fool the  
>>>flies.

>Apparently, to you an effort to clarify issues amounts to an  
>"attempt to control through invalidation." I guess this is the  
>same impulse that leads you to charge the ETH with being not  
>just a mistaken idea - which it may be; we just don't know yet  
>one way or another, which is why we talk about UFOs and not ET  
>spacecraft - but an actual, in your lurid imagination, "scam." I  
>would demand proof of that charge, but I know you can't supply  
>it; it's just a rhetorical device that sounds cool to you.  
>Sadly, your understanding of confidence crime is as lacking as  
>your grasp of what a scientific hypothesis is.

<snip>

See there you go again with your low-brow evaluations of other  
people. As though you sit on some high throne of superiority or  
something.

If I were lacking in what a scientific hypothesis is I wouldn't  
have passed the full body of science courses I did in school and

had gotten exempt from final exams.

I wouldn't have been able to illustrate hundreds of news stories written by top science journalists when I worked at the Gannett News Service. I wouldn't have been able to jaw it up with some of the leading scientists who lived and worked with me growing up.

Matter of fact, if I had a lacking, as you so impolitely implied in scientific theory, how did I beat out over a thousand writers last week to write exclusive articles for one of the world's top mathematicians? He chose me for his exclusive and advanced courses in everything from algebra to physics to advanced statistics?

How could I have just created and illustrated a groundbreaking childrens book for the world's foremost stem cell advocacy group and passed muster with biologists around the world?

Oh and don't forget all those science fairs I won growing up starting at age six.

But Jerome Clark says I have a lack in grasping scientific theory.

That's about as much credibility if it were coming from a homeless Muppet from the lower east side of Sesame Street.

I don't have to go into insults, you do more damage to yourself than any of us.

Greg  
ufomafia.com/blog

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 31](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 13:58:48 -0300  
Archived: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 15:03:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 16:32:57 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 13:36:36 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Jerome Clark<[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To:<[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2010 08:49:16 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>><snip>

>>Since the Top Secret, Earth based technology has never worked  
>>(it has been bandied about for about 70 years with no resolution  
>>after all) except for those who don't have any real knowledge of  
>>what's really in the arsenals of the world; and no other  
>>sensible solution has come along to fit the bill, we are usually  
>>left asking if this phenomenon is from some extraterrestrial  
>>source.

>Don't you think you're out on a limb, Don, when you say that  
>secret Earth-based technology has never worked?

The view is better from out on the limb.

What I said was that the Earth based technology claim that the  
phenomenon has never worked. It didn't work in the 1940s, it  
didn't work in the Ken Arnold case and it doesn't work now. If  
it was Earth based, who had the technology back in the war years  
and where did it come from?

>Are you suggesting that people who are not in the loop should  
>nevertheless always know what has been developed successfully in  
>secret?

>As is well known, Dr. Ben Rich at Lockheed is reported to have  
>said before his death in 1995 that "We already have the means to  
>travel among the stars, but these technologies are locked up in  
>black projects and it would take an act of God to ever get them  
>out to benefit humanity. Anything you can imagine we already  
>know how to do." Is there reason to think he was lying? I'm sure  
>I'm not the only one who would like to know.

More like out of context. And just who has this technology? I'm  
guessing you are going with the United States. Stan Friedman  
worked on Nuclear Rocket Engines for some years. These were  
dramatically more powerful than their chemical and solid  
propellant brothers but the program was dropped. These rockets  
would have shortened travel times to Mars and beyond. The travel  
among the stars quote is nothing more than a romanticised take  
on our ability to travel outward in the solar system.

>Why has there been such a dearth of public domain research on  
>gravity since the early 1960's? Has this topic been actively

>discouraged by the funding agencies?

Not sure the point you are trying to make. So they are researching gravity. Science has been studying gravity for a few hundred years or at least since Newton.

>People report seeing large, unidentified craft in various >countries that no military seems overly concerned about (e.g., >Phoenix, Stephenville). Why is that? Could it be the craft are >homemade?

Simple answer; embarrassment. The air forces of the world have been embarrassed by these things for years. The air force's main is to guarantee air space superiority over their own country. When unknowns repeatedly outclass in every respect the air technology of that country and fly in that country's airspace with impunity and no reasonable answer as to why that air force can't guarantee superiority then that air force falls back on the claim that these unknowns pose not treat to that country then go on to come up with every outrageous scenario to make it appear that witnesses are feeble minded and reporting the most ordinary of things.

Do I have to go into that?

Phoenix, no given the size and capability. The jury is still out on Stephenville as it is with Phoenix. But not top secret technology.

>It is easy to connect the dots and infer that secret skunk works >have done a lot of catching up to the non-human technology that >appears to have been with us for a much longer time. Trillions >of dollars have been spent there. If the requisite human >technology exists, most of us are just not aware of it, so we >tend to credit all UFO sightings to non-humans. Maybe the credit >should be shared with those closer to home.

My biggest problem with the black funding is not that it doesn't exist because it does across the board on many different projects, but I ask why is it that billions more are being wasted on known conventional projects. Even now tens of millions have been allocated to explore new Lighter Than Air (LTA) technology that has been around since 1904. Billions more have been spent on conventionally powered defence aircraft and bombers.

Though advances have been made in polymer and engine technology reducing weight, LTA craft still look pretty much the same as they did in circa 1904 and are either giant gas backs or rigid technology. We float 400 foot diameter scientific balloons - outgrowths of the Skyhook of 70 feet back in 1948 - in the upper atmosphere at 100,000 feet with 600 pound payloads and upward, the P-971 has flown and will fly again unless the winds are over 9 mph. This rig is heavier than air, is triple hulled soft-bag technology that relies on it's hull shape to give it some lift but it seems to be falling by the wayside.

Unless you can give me sound reasons first how the US came by this technology before WWII yet decided to keep it back and let a million plus US soldiers die or suffer casualties needlessly, a million deaths and casualties in the UK, and millions more in Western and Eastern Europe and then spent 2 billion dollars on the invention of the A-bomb, I'll pass on that tired old theory that these are secret military technology. That theory failed 70 years ago and falls flat now.

Anyway, it's not 'out on a limb' for me. It's just common sense.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Oct](#) > [Oct 31](#)

## Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 12:12:13 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 15:13:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 12:03:13 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 31 Oct 2010 10:02:34 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2010 11:39:03 -0400 (EDT)  
>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 08:52:34 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>>>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>>Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2010 00:19:39 EDT  
>>>>>Subject: Re: Again The ETH Is A Scam

>><snip>

>>>>>Nowadays if you don't support the ETH and it's offspring you get  
>>>>>lambasted but I remember when supporting the ETH and any related  
>>>>>matters would get you tossed into a psychiatric hospital, fired,  
>>>>>beaten up and ostracized.

<snip>

>>Please, your attempts at control through invalidation are about  
>>as effective as putting a fake mustache on a cowpie to fool the  
>>flies.

>Apparently, to you an effort to clarify issues amounts to an  
>"attempt to control through invalidation." I guess this is the  
>same impulse that leads you to charge the ETH with being not  
>just a mistaken idea - which it may be; we just don't know yet  
>one way or another, which is why we talk about UFOs and not ET  
>spacecraft - but an actual, in your lurid imagination, "scam." I  
>would demand proof of that charge, but I know you can't supply  
>it; it's just a rhetorical device that sounds cool to you.  
>Sadly, your understanding of confidence crime is as lacking as  
>your grasp of what a scientific hypothesis is.

<snip>

>See there you go again with your low-brow evaluations of other  
>people. As though you sit on some high throne of superiority or  
>something.

>If I were lacking in what a scientific hypothesis is I wouldn't  
>have passed the full body of science courses I did in school and  
>had gotten exempt from final exams.

>I wouldn't have been able to illustrate hundreds of news stories  
>written by top science journalists when I worked at the Gannett  
>News Service. I wouldn't have been able to jaw it up with some  
>of the leading scientists who lived and worked with me growing  
>up.

>Matter of fact, if I had a lacking, as you so impolitely implied  
>in scientific theory, how did I beat out over a thousand writers  
>last week to write exclusive articles for one of the world's top  
>mathematicians? He chose me for his exclusive and advanced  
>courses in everything from algebra to physics to advanced  
>statistics?

So I guess it was all that scientific training that caused you  
to accuse those who have views different from yours of being  
scam artists. Or to confuse established fact with provisional  
hypothesis. Or to think that a mere six decades is enough to  
settle an enormously complicated scientific controversy.  
(Actually, more like six months, according to Brad Sparks's  
estimate of the duration of actual scientific research into the  
UFO phenomenon in the United States since 1947.) Or, my personal  
favorite, to think that contactees are at the core of the ETH.

I notice that aside from your remarks above - from which you  
seem to have learned remarkably little - you address not a  
single substantive point I raised.

Incidentally, in reference to your strange allegation, as I  
understand it, that the Air Force shoved the ETH down our  
throats, I should elaborate on a point I made in my previous  
post. I ought to have stressed that the pro-ETH conclusion (in  
1948) of a Sign faction - whose members got sacked when its  
estimate of the situation ran up the command chain - remained a  
secret until Ruppelt exposed it in 1956 book. (To this day, as  
most ufologists are aware, not a single copy of it has  
surfaced.) The estimate, in short, played no role in shaping  
public opinion. By 1956 the public had made up its own mind  
about the ETH even as the Air Force vigorously sought to  
discredit it.

>How could I have just created and illustrated a groundbreaking  
>childrens book for the world's foremost stem cell advocacy group  
>and passed muster with biologists around the world?

Congratulations, Greg. I'm glad you're a good illustrator. I'd  
encourage you to stick to what you know.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)